

4.2 Wet Pond BMP Summary Fact Sheet



Description: Constructed storm water wet ponds have a permanent pool, a temporary pool and typically a littoral shelf with planted vegetation. Runoff from each rain event is detained and pollutants are treated in the pond. Temporary storage (live storage) is provided above the permanent pool elevation and is released at a controlled rate. Pollutant removal is primarily accomplished through sedimentation and biological processing.

IMPORTANT CONSIDERATIONS

DESIGN CRITERIA:

- Minimum contributing drainage area of 25 acres, unless a water balance computation is performed that shows the pond does not go more than one foot below permanent pool lelevation.
- A sediment forebay must be provided.
- Inflow energy dispersion structure is required to distribute flow and prevent short-circuiting and resuspension.
- Maximum average depth of permanent pool not to exceed 9 feet. Minimum average permanent pool depth must be 3 feet.
- Side slopes to the basin must not exceed 3:1 (h:v).
- Ten foot littoral shelves (safety ledges) must be provided and wetland plants should be incorporated.
- A landscaping/vegetation and maintenance plan is required.
- Minimum length to width ratio of 1.5:1.

ADVANTAGES/BENEFITS:

- Moderate to high removal rate of urban pollutants.
- High general and technical community acceptance.
- Opportunity for wildlife habitat.
- Can have aesthetic value within developments.
- Extensive experience in using these facilities.

DISADVANTAGES/LIMITATIONS:

- Potential for thermal impacts/downstream warming.
- Dam height design requirements for high relief areas.
- Basin drainage can be problematic for low relief terrain.
- Can increase downstream drainage and flooding problems if not properly designed and sited.
- In soils with high permeability, infiltration devices should be considered instead of wet ponds
- Outlet orifice clogging may be a problem
- Will require maintenance to preserve the aesthetic value.
- Permanent pool elevation should be no higher than 6" above the seasonally high water table (SHWT).

MAINTENANCE CONSIDERATIONS:

- Inspect outlet facilities for damage and clogging.
- Detention basins must be cleaned out after 25 percent of the main pond storage capacity is lost and forebays cleaned out after 50 percent of forebay capacity is lost.
- Adequate access must be provided and maintained for inspection and maintenance.
- General trash removal.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SUITABILITY



H Peak Attenuation Control for 10-yr, 6-hr Storm

H Peak Attenuation Control for 25-yr, 6-hr storm

Wet detention basins facilities are highly effective in controlling pollution removal for the 1-inch, 6-hr storm (WQ_v) and can be designed to control the volume for the 1-yr, 24-hr storm (CP_v) and peak attenuation for the 10- and 25-yr, 6-hr storms.

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

- H Land Requirements
- L Capital Cost
- M Maintenance Cost
- M Clogging Issues with Orifices

PRIMARY POLLUTANT REMOVAL PROCESSES

Settling

POLLUTANT REMOVAL RATES

Effectiveness	Detention Time	WQ _v /PP _v	Pollutant Removal Rates
Optimal Efficiency	4 days	0.3	85% TSS 70% TP
Standard Efficiency	2 days	0.6	60% TSS 40 % TP
TSS-Only Efficiency	4 days	0.4	85% TSS



4.2 Wet Ponds

4.2.1 General Description

Wet ponds (also referred to as retention basins, storm water ponds, or wet extended detention basins) are constructed storm water basins that have a permanent (dead storage) pool of water throughout the year and a temporary storage pool that fills during storm events. They can be created by excavating an already existing natural depression or through the construction of embankments.

Runoff from each rain event is detained by either displacing the permanent pool or by temporarily filling the temporary pool. Pollutant removal occurs in the permanent and temporary pool through gravitational settling and potentially through biological uptake by the vegetation and plants that surround the permanent pool on the littoral shelf. The permanent pool also serves to protect deposited sediments from re-suspension. The upper stages of a storm water detention basin are designed to provide extended detention of the water quality control volume (WQ_v), channel protection control volume, 1-year, 24 hour storm (CP_v), as well as normal detention of larger storm events to meet Q_p requirements.

Wet ponds are among the most cost-effective and widely used storm water practices. A well-designed and landscaped wet pond can be an aesthetic feature on a development site when planned and located properly. In some cases, wet ponds may be used for irrigation.

For design purpose in this manual, a wet pond is where 100% of the water quality volume is stored in the extended detention (ED) storage (temporary pool) provided above the permanent pool. During storm events, water is detained above the permanent pool and released over 2 to 4 days, depending on the pollutant removal goals of the designer. In addition, the permanent pool volume must be several times greater than the temporary pool volume, also depending on the pollutant removal goals of the designer.

Multiple wet ponds systems consist of constructed facilities that provide water quality and quantity volume storage in two or more cells. The additional cells can create longer pollutant removal pathways. The benefits of the additional cells can be designed through an assessment of the additional detention time that is provided. Figure 4.2.1 shows a typical local wet pond and Figure 4.2.2 show a schematic of a standard wet pond design.



Figure 4.2.1 Wet Pond Example





Figure 4.2.2 Wet Pond Schematic

4.2.2 Storm Water Management Suitability

Wet ponds can be designed to control both storm water quantity and quality. Thus, a wet pond can be used to address all of the design storms of interest.

Water Quality

Wet ponds treat incoming storm water runoff by physical, biological, and chemical processes. The primary removal mechanism is gravitational settling of particulates, metals, and organics as storm water runoff resides in the basin. Another potential mechanism for pollutant removal is uptake by wetland plants on the littoral shelf in the permanent pool – particularly of nutrients. Volatilization and chemical activity also work to break down and eliminate a number of other storm water contaminants such as hydrocarbons. Permanent pool elevation should be no higher than 6" above the seasonally high water table (SHWT).



Channel Protection

A portion of the storage volume above the permanent pool in a wet pond can be used to provide for the channel protection volume (CP_v). This is accomplished by releasing the 1-year, 24-hour storm runoff over 24 hours (a minimum of 5 percent of the CP_v must remain within the wetland temporary storage volume 24 hours after the 12th hour, the center of rainfall for projects within Mecklenburg County and the six Towns. Within the City of Charlotte and its ETJ, this release is over 48 hours.

On-Site Flood Control

A wet pond can attenuate the post-development peak flow of the 10- and 25-year, 6-hour storm (if required) (Q_p) to pre-development levels by using the water quality and channel protection storage volume and/or using additional storage volume above the water quality and channel protection volume. The wet pond emergency spillway design is the 50-year storm with 6 inches of freeboard for ponds less than 15 feet in height. More detailed design standards for embankments taller than 15 feet can be found in the North Carolina Dam Safety Regulations.

4.2.3 Pollutant Removal Capabilities

Three wet pond designs have been developed for application in the Mecklenburg County area. The <u>optimal efficiency design</u> has the capability to remove 85% of the total suspended solids and 70% of the total phosphorus load. The <u>standard efficiency design</u> has the capability to remove 60% of the total suspended solids and 40% of the total phosphorus load. The <u>TSS-only efficiency design</u> has the capability to remove 85% of the total suspended solids and negligible total phosphorus load. All of these designs assume urban post-development runoff conditions that has been observed in the Mecklenburg County area and that the facilities are sized, designed, constructed and maintained in accordance with the recommended specifications contained in this manual. The design pollutant removal rates are derived from sampling data and computations completed for the development of this manual. In a situation where a removal rate is not deemed sufficient, additional controls may be put in place at the given site in a series or "treatment train" approach. Pollution removal rates are affected by the choice of design variables. See Section 4.2.4 for a discussion of design variables and appropriate pollution removal rates for specific designs.

4.2.4 Planning and Design Criteria

The following criteria are to be considered minimum standards for the design of a wet pond. Items listed in Section 4.1.4.A through 4.1.4.H. are requirements and must be addressed in the design. Items listed in Section 4.1.4.I. are recommendations and are optional.

A. Design Requirements

Following is a list of design requirements that must be followed in the design of wet pond facilities.

• Following are the design values that are required for the three wet pond facility designs that are available for application in Mecklenburg County. The appropriate minimum design values and associated pollutant removal rates for each of the three designs are given in Table 4.2.1.



	Min. WQ _v		
Threshold	Detention Time	WQ _v /PP _v Ratio	Pollution Removal Rate
			85% TSS
Optimal Efficiency	4 days	0.3	70% TP
			60% TSS
Standard Efficiency	2 days	0.6	40% TP
			85% TSS
TSS-Only Efficiency	4 days	0.4	

Table 4.2.1 Design Values and Pollution Removal Rates

- Water quality treatment volume (WQ_v) should be between 30% and 60% of the permanent pool volume, depending on the pollutant removal goals of the BMP. A portion of the WQv may be used for irrigation, which may be approved on a site-by-site basis.
- Five percent of the water quality treatment volume (WQ_v) must remain within the wet pond temporary storage volume for a duration between 2 and 4 days beyond the center of the storm event (center of the 1-inch, 6-hour storm event is assumed to be 3 hours), depending on the pollutant removal goals of the BMP.
- The routing of the channel protection volume (CP_v) is to be designed per this manual. Designs that account for a 4-day detention time for the WQ_v, but which release the additional volume of the CP_v in a manner that does not meet the intent of the slow release rate of the additional volume are not acceptable.
- A wet pond must have a minimum contributing drainage area of 25 acres or more to maintain a permanent pool unless water balance calculations are performed for wet ponds that receive runoff from drainage areas less than 25 acres. The water balance computations must show that the pond will maintain a water level no lower than 1 foot below the permanent pool elevation.
- Permanent pool elevation should be no higher than 6" above the seasonally high water table (SHWT). It is expected that a sufficient analysis will have the following minimum elements: (1) multiple soil samples serving to identify the soil type, (2) multiple field K results, (3) multiple soil samples to establish the SHWT at the proposed pond location, (4) a clear statement of the conservative aspects of the design case subjected to the hydrogeological analysis.
- An anti-clogging device must be provided for the wet pond outlet. Refer to Chapter 5 for more information.
- A sediment forebay must be provided to allow heavier sediments to drop out of suspension before the runoff enters the permanent pool. All forebays must be sized to hold a volume equal to 0.2 inches per impervious acre of contributing watershed.
- Inflow energy dispersion control structure is required to distribute flow and prevent short-circuiting and re-suspension of pollutants. This is normally accomplished by including a rip-rap apron at the forebay inlet. The pipe invert at the flared end section or endwall should be at the permanent pool, not submerged.
- Wet pond main pond areas must be cleaned out after 25 percent of the storage capacity is lost and forebays cleaned out after 50 percent of storage capacity is lost. The designer must convert these storage volume thresholds to site-specific depth thresholds that can be easily measured in the field.

Charlotte-Mecklenburg BMP Design Manual



- To avoid stratification and anoxic conditions, maximum average depth of the permanent pool should not exceed 9 feet. However, deeper depths near the outlet will yield cooler bottom water discharges that may mitigate downstream thermal effects.
- Minimum average depth for the wet pond must be 3 feet.
- Side slopes to the wet pond must not be steeper than 3:1 (h:v) without safety precautions. If mowing is anticipated, the side slopes should terminate on a safety bench. The safety bench requirements may be waived if slopes are 4:1 or gentler. See Figure 4.2.3.
- Littoral shelves (aquatic shelf) must be provided around the main permanent pond perimeter as minimum safety benches and to potentially increase biological uptake through recommended shallow water plantings. The minimum width of the littoral shelf is 10 feet with a slope of 10:1 (horizontal : vertical). Half of the shelf should be submerged below the permanent pool, while the other five feet should be above water. Appropriate plantings should be provided on the littoral shelf, but alternate vegetation plans may be submitted for review. Alternative vegetation plans may include floating islands and bank stabilization of wet ponds used for irrigation.
- A landscaping/vegetation and maintenance plan is required.
- The minimum length to width ratio of 1.5:1 is required.
- Wet ponds cannot be located within a stream or any other navigable waters of the U.S., including wetlands, without obtaining a Section 404 permit under the Clean Water Act, and any other applicable State and/or Federal Permits.
- In no case should a building be located within the impoundment area of the storm water facility
- All embankments shall be designed per the North Carolina Dam Safety Law of 1967, if applicable, and designed according to the requirements in Section 4.0.6. of this manual.
- No utilities (sewer lines, power lines, water lines, etc.) shall be located within or under the storm water facility
- Minimum setback requirements for wet pond facilities (when not specified by other ordinance or criteria):
 - From a property line 10 feet
 - From a private well 100 feet; if well is down gradient from a hotspot land use then the minimum setback is 250 feet.
 - From a septic system tank/leach field/spray area 50 feet
- A water-tight seal (rubber boot or equivalent) must be provided between all riser and pipe joint connections to minimize leakage.
- Outlet structures should have protected access that may be accessed easily from the shore for inspection. Boats and ladders should not be needed to access outlet structures.

B. Physical Specifications/Geometry

In general, wet pond designs are unique for each site and application. However, there are a number of geometric ratios and limiting depths for wet pond design that must be observed for adequate pollutant removal, ease of maintenance, and improved safety.



- Proper geometric design is essential to prevent hydraulic short-circuiting, which results in the failure of the wet pond to achieve adequate levels of pollutant removal. The minimum length-to-width ratio for the permanent pool shape is 1.5:1, and should ideally be greater than 3:1 to avoid short-circuiting. In addition, wet ponds should be wedge-shaped when possible so that flow enters the permanent and temporary storage area and gradually spreads out, improving the sedimentation process. Baffles, wet pond shaping or islands must be added within the permanent pool to increase the flow path, if the minimum length-to-width ratios are not met. The addition of baffles, wet pond shaping or islands must be assessed to ensure that the permanent pool and/or temporary pool design requirements are met.
- The perimeter of all deep pool areas (4 feet or greater in depth) should be surrounded by the aquatic bench (littoral shelf). A littoral shelf (aquatic bench) extends inward from the normal pool edge (5 feet) and has a maximum depth of 6 inches below the normal pool water surface elevation (see Figure 4.2.3). When floating islands are used, the planted area should be between 8 and 10% of the total surface are of the wet pond.
- The contours and shape of the permanent pool should be irregular to provide a more natural landscaping effect.





Figure 4.2.3 Typical Wet Pond Side Slope Geometry

C. Pretreatment/Inlets

Each wet pond must have a sediment forebay at each concentrated flow location. A sediment forebay is designed to remove incoming sediment from the storm water flow prior to dispersal in a larger permanent pool. For each major inflow location, the forebay is a separate cell, formed by a barrier to control flow into the main basin.

The forebay is sized to contain 0.2 inches per impervious acre of contributing drainage and should be 4 to 6 feet deep. The pretreatment storage volume is part of the total WQ_v requirement and may be included in WQ_v for permanent pool sizing.

A fixed vertical sediment depth marker must be installed in the forebay to measure sediment deposition over time. The bottom of the forebay may be hardened (e.g., using concrete, paver blocks, etc.) to make sediment removal easier. Information for clean-out depth for forebay should be included on maintenance sign.



Inflow channels are to be stabilized with flared riprap aprons or an equivalent energy dissipation device. Inlet pipes to the wet detention basin cannot be partially submerged. Inflow pipe, channel velocities, and exit velocities from the forebay must be non-erosive.

Forebay berms constructed in fill should have the same compactions and soil standards as the main pond berm. The forebay berm must be constructed to the elevation of the Water Quality Event (WQ_v). A weir is then recommended that is a minimum of five feet wide (or 1/3 the length of the forebay berm, whichever is larger) and located to maximize the flow path of the runoff from the water quality storm. The weir should be lined with NCDOT Class 'B' riprap and underlain with an appropriate filter fabric. The top surface of the riprap is recommended to be located at the normal pool of the pond.

D. Outlet Structures

Flow control from a wet pond is typically accomplished with the use of a concrete or aluminized steel riser and barrel. The riser is a vertical pipe or inlet structure that is attached to the bottom of the wet pond with a watertight connection. The outlet barrel is a horizontal pipe attached to the riser that conveys flow under the embankment (see Figure 4.2.4).







A number of outlets at varying depths in the riser provide internal flow control for routing of the water quality, channel protection volume control, and on-site flood control runoff volumes. The number of orifices can vary and is a function of the wet pond design.

For the wet pond there is a need for an orifice (usually) that is sized to pass the water quality volume (WQ_v) that is temporarily stored on top of the permanent pool. Flow will first pass through this orifice, which is sized to release the water quality volume (WQ_v) in 2 – 4 days, depending on the pollutant removal goals of the BMP design. The preferred design is a reverse slope pipe attached to the riser, with its inlet submerged 1 foot below the elevation of the permanent pool to prevent floatables from clogging the pipe and to avoid discharging warmer water from the surface of the wet detention basin. The next outlet is sized for the release of the channel protection storage volume (CP_v) . The outlet (often an orifice) invert is located at or above the peak stage associated with the water quality volume (WQ_v) and is sized to release the channel protection storage volume (CP_v) over a 24-hour period within Mecklenburg County and the six Towns and over a 48-hour period in Charlotte and its ETJ.

Alternative hydraulic control methods to an orifice can be used and include the use of a broad-crested rectangular weir, V-notch weir, proportional weir, or an outlet pipe protected by a hood that extends at least 12 inches below the normal pool. Other approved non-clogging outlet designs are presented in Chapter 5.

Higher flows pass through openings or slots further up on the riser.

After entering the riser, flow is conveyed through the barrel and is discharged downstream. Anti-seep collars must be installed on the outlet barrel to reduce the potential for pipe failure.

Riprap, or other energy dissipators must be placed at the outlet of the barrel to prevent scouring and erosion. If a wet pond outlet daylights to a channel with dry weather flow, care should be taken to minimize tree clearing along the downstream channel, and to reestablish a forested riparian zone in the shortest possible distance. See Chapter 8, Energy Dissipation, in the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Storm Water Design Manual for more guidance.

Each wet pond must have a bottom drain pipe with an adjustable valve that can drain the permanent pool within 24 hours. The bottom drain valve must be accessible by maintenance personnel during the 50-year storm event by either being located within the embankment or through alternative maintenance access. Some jurisdictions may allow the option of mechanical pumping of ponds for maintenance in lieu of providing a bottom drain. This option may not be allowed for BMPs that will be maintained by the jurisdiction.

The wet pond bottom drain should be sized one pipe size greater than the calculated design diameter. It is recommended that the drain valve be a plug valve conforming to AWWA C-504 Section 5.5 and operable from a land-accessible location via a handwheel.

The outlet system must be designed to be water-tight. A water-tight seal (rubber boot or equivalent) must be provided between all riser and pipe joint connections to minimize leakage. This is particularly crucial in the connection between the riser and barrel of the spillway.

E. Emergency Spillway

An emergency spillway must be included in the wet pond design to safely pass the 50-year storm event (or higher storm events, if applicable). The spillway prevents wet pond peak stages from overtopping the embankment and causing structural damage. The emergency spillway must be located so that downstream structures will not be impacted by spillway discharges.

A minimum of 0.5 feet of freeboard must be provided, measured from the peak stage for the 50-year storm event to the lowest point of the dam embankment.



F. Maintenance Access

A maintenance right of way or easement must be provided to a wet pond from a public road or easement. Maintenance access should be at least 12 feet wide, maximum longitudinal slope of 15 percent, and maximum cross slope of 5 percent, and be stabilized to support maintenance vehicles. A 20-foot wide maintenance access easement must be provided to ensure that the access remains in place.

The maintenance access must extend to the forebay, safety bench, riser, and outlet and, to the extent feasible, allow vehicles to turn around.

Access to the inside of the riser must be provided by manhole covers, and manhole steps should be within easy reach of valves and other controls. Outlet structures should have protected access that may be accessed easily from the shore for inspection. Boats and ladders should not be needed to access outlet structures.

G. Safety Features

Fencing of wet detention basins is not generally desirable, but may be allowed depending upon the jurisdiction. If a fence is included in the design, access must be provided for inspections. A preferred method to increase the safety features is to manage the contours of the wet detention basin through the inclusion of a safety bench (see Figure 4.2.3) to eliminate dropoffs and reduce the potential for drowning. In addition, the safety bench may be landscaped to deter access to the permanent pool.

The outlet structure openings should not permit access by people not providing maintenance to the facility. Warning signs should be posted near the wet pond to prohibit swimming and fishing in the facility.

H. Landscaping

Aquatic vegetation plays an important role in pollutant removal in a wet pond. In addition, vegetation can enhance the appearance of the wet pond, stabilize side slopes, serve as wildlife habitat, and can temporarily conceal unsightly trash and debris. Therefore, wetland plants should be used in a wet pond design, along the aquatic bench (fringe wetlands), the safety bench and side slopes, and within shallow areas of the permanent pool itself. The best elevations for establishing wetland plants, either through transplantation or volunteer colonization, are within 6 inches (plus or minus) of the normal pool elevation.

For planting within wet ponds, it is necessary to determine what hydrologic zones will be created. Hydrologic planting zones describe the degree to which an area is inundated by water. The hydrologic planting zones are illustrates for a typical wet pond in Figure 4.2.5. Plants have differing tolerances to inundation and the six zones described in this section will dictate which plants will survive where. Chapter 6 of this manual provides detailed description of zones.

- In Zone 1 plant material must be able to withstand constant inundation of water of one foot to a maximum of three feet in depth.
- In Zone 2 plant material must be able to withstand constant inundation of water to depths between six inches and one foot deep.
- In Zone 3 plant material must be able to withstand frequent inundation with water, as well as
 occasional drought.
- In Zone 3 plant will be partially submerged at certain times.
- In Zone 3 plant should be located to reduce human access where there are potential hazards, but should not block the maintenance access.
- In Zone 3 plant should be resistant to disease and other problems which require chemical applications (since chemical application is not advised in storm water ponds). Native plants are preferred because they are low maintenance and disease resistant.
- In Zone 3, where shoreline plants will be susceptible to being smothered by floating trash, provisions for routine maintenance, including removal of trash and other wrack, should be a part



of the maintenance plan.

- If shading is needed along the shoreline, the more rapidly-growing species such as Sycamore are
 preferred over the more slowly developing species, such as Swamp White Oak. For this purpose,
 trees should not be planted in Zone 3, but rather in the adjacent Zone 4.
- In Zone 3 and 4 plants material should have very low maintenance requirements, since they may be difficult to access.
- In Zone 4 plants must be able to withstand periodic inundation of water after storms, as well as
 occasional drought during the warm summer months.
- In Zone 4 plants should stabilize the ground from erosion caused by run-off.
- In Zone 5 plant material should be able to withstand occasional but brief inundation during storms. In between storms, typical moisture conditions may be moist, slightly wet, or even exhibit drought conditions during the dry weather periods.
- In Zone 5 plants should stabilize the basin slopes from erosion.
- Ground cover in zone 5 and 6 should be very low maintenance, since they may be difficult to access on steep slopes or if frequency of mowing is limited.
- In Zone 6 plant material should be able to withstand occasional but brief inundation during storms. In between storms, typical moisture conditions may be moist to slightly wet, with the potential for drought like conditions during extended dry weather periods.
- In Zone 6 plants should be used to stabilize the basin slopes from erosion.





Woody vegetation must not be planted on the embankment or allowed to grow within 15 feet of the toe of the embankment and 25 feet from the principal spillway structure.

No buildings should be located within 25 feet of the maximum water surface elevation.



Existing trees must be preserved in the buffer area during construction. It is desirable to locate forest conservation areas adjacent to wet ponds. To discourage resident geese populations or other detrimental wildlife, the buffer can be planted with trees, shrubs and native ground covers.

The soils of a wet pond buffer are often severely compacted during the construction process to ensure stability. The density of these compacted soils is so great that it effectively prevents root penetration and therefore may lead to premature vegetation mortality or loss of vigor. Consequently, it is advisable to excavate large and deep holes around the proposed planting sites and backfill these with uncompacted topsoil.

Other Landscaping considerations include the following:

- Plants should be used to stabilize the bottom of the pond, as well as the edge of the pond, absorbing wave impacts and reducing erosion, when water level fluctuates.
- Plants should be used to stabilize the shoreline to minimize erosion caused by wave and wind action or water fluctuation.
- Plant material should, whenever possible, shade the water surface, especially the southern exposure.
- Plants should be used to shade the low flow channel to reduce pool warming whenever possible.
- Plants should be used to reduce pedestrian access to the deeper pools as a natural barrier.
- Fish can be stocked in a wet pond to aid in mosquito prevention.
- A fountain or solar-powered aerator may be used to oxygenation of water in the permanent pool.
- Compatible multi-objective use of wet ponds is strongly encouraged.

I. Design Recommendations

In addition to the design requirements and parameters, following are some design recommendations that should be considered for wet pond facility design:

- Upstream pre-treatment throughout the contributing watershed is encouraged.
- A wet pond should be sited such that the topography allows for maximum runoff storage at minimum excavation or construction costs. Wet pond siting should also take into account the location and use of other site features such as buffers and undisturbed natural areas and should attempt to aesthetically "fit" the facility into the landscape. Bedrock close to the surface may prevent excavation.
- The principle spillway should be located so that discharges are drawn from the deeper permanent pool areas.
- Wet ponds should be designed with shading to minimize thermal impact.

Additional wet pond design features include an emergency spillway, maintenance access, safety bench, detention basin buffer, and appropriate native landscaping. Wet ponds are generally applicable to most types of new development and redevelopment, and can be used in both residential and non-residential areas. Wet ponds can also be used in retrofit situations. The following criteria should be evaluated to ensure the suitability of a wet pond for meeting storm water management objectives on a site or development.



4.2.5 Design Procedures

- Step 1 Using the BMP Selection Matrix presented at the beginning of Chapter 4, determine if the development site and conditions are appropriate for the use of a wet pond.
- Step 2 Consider any special site-specific design conditions and any additional restrictions and/or surface water or watershed requirements that may apply.
- Step 3 Compute water quality volume (WQ_v) using equations 3.2 and 3.3.
- Step 4 Compute site hydrologic parameters using the SCS procedures and/or computer models that use the SCS procedures.
- Step 5 Compute water quality peak flow (WQ_p) using equation 3.4 for a modified curve number and the SCS hydrograph procedures with a 1-inch, 6-hr, balanced storm event.
- Step 6 Compute channel protection volume (CP_v) using the SCS method and a 1-yr, 24-hr storm event. Estimate approximate storage volume for channel protection volume using the Static method.
- Step 7 Compute the release rates for the water quality control (WQ_v) and channel protection control (CP_v) volume.
- Step 8 Compute pretreatment volume.

A sediment forebay is provided at each inlet, unless the inlet does not concentrate flow to the wet detention basin. The forebay should be sized to contain 0.2 inches per impervious acre of contributing drainage and should be 4 to 6 feet deep. The forebay storage volume counts toward the total WQ_v requirement and may be subtracted from the WQ_v for subsequent calculations.

- Step 9 Compute the permanent pool volume and water quality extended detention volume for either of the three design thresholds for TSS and TP control. Size the extended pool volume to contain the greater of the WQ_v or the CP_v.
- Step 10 Determine wet detention basin location and preliminary geometry. Conduct basin grading and determine storage available for permanent pool, extended detention, and flood control.

This step involved initially grading the basin (establishing contours) and determining the elevation storage relationship for the basin.

- Include safety and aquatic benches.
- Set permanent pool elevation and WQ_v elevation for extended wet detention based on volumes calculated earlier.
- Step 11 Set basic elevations for pond structures, including pond bottom and pond drain, elevation of the permanent pool, forebay volume, and the elevation of extended detention for water quality control volume and channel system stability control volume.
- Step 12 Compute wet detention basin orifice release rate(s) and size(s), and establish CP_{ν} elevation.



Based on the elevations established for the extended wet detention basin portion of the water quality volume, the water quality orifice is sized to release this extended detention volume in 2 - 4 days, depending on the selected design threshold. The water quality orifice should be adequately protected from clogging by an acceptable external trash rack. A reverse slope pipe attached to the riser, with its inlet submerged 1 foot below the elevation of the permanent pool, is a recommended design.

- Step 13 The CP_v elevation is then determined from the stage-storage relationship. The invert of the channel protection control orifice is located at the water quality extended detention elevation, and the orifice is sized to release the channel protection control storage volume over a 24-hour period within Mecklenburg County and the six Towns or over a 48-hour period in Charlotte and its ETJ.
- Step 14 Calculate Q_p (10-year and 25-year storms if required) release rate(s) and water surface elevation(s).

Set up a stage-storage-discharge relationship for the control structure for the extended detention orifice(s) and the 10-year and 25-year (if required) storms. Routing procedures must be used in the calculation of release rates and water surface elevations in this step.

Using a hydrologic/hydraulic computer program perform routing calculations of all design storms and make appropriate changes to outlets in order to comply with water quality and quantity requirements. For water quality control the goal is to have 5% of the WQ_v remain in the basin at the end of the design detention time.

Size emergency spillway, calculate 50-year water surface elevation, set top of embankment elevation, and analyze safe passage of the 50-year flood (Q_{50}).

- Step 15 Investigate potential wet detention basin hazard classification
- Step 16 Assess maintenance access and safety features.
- Step 17 Prepare Vegetation and Landscaping Plan

A landscaping plan for a wet detention basin and its buffer should be prepared to indicate how aquatic and terrestrial areas will be stabilized and established with vegetation.

4.2.6 Inspection and Maintenance Requirements

Specific maintenance inspections and requirements are contained in the Administrative Manual of the local jurisdiction.



4.2.7 Design Procedure Form

Design Procedure Form: Wet pond

WET POND FEASIBILITY	NOTES:
1. Is the use of a wet pond appropriate?	
2. Confirm other design criteria and applicability.	
PRELIMINARY HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS	
 Compute, WQ_v water quality volume requirements Compute Runoff Coefficient, R_v Compute WQ_v Volume requirements 	Rv = WQ _v = acre-ft
 Compute WQ_p peak flow Compute modified SCS curve number 	WQ _p = cfs CN =
5. Compute CP_v Compute S (maximum retention) Compute 1-yr, 24-hr total rainfall depth Compute Q_d (runoff volume) Compute CP_v (chnnnel protection volume) Estimate t_c (time of concentration) Estimate q_u Compute approximate storage volume	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
 Compute release rates Compute WQ_v release rate Compute CP_v release rate 	Release Rate = cfs Release Rate = cfs
 7. Compute site hydrologic input parameters Development Conditions Area CN (SCS curve number) Adjusted CN (curve number adjusted for 1-inch storm) Time of concentration 	Pre-developed Post-developed acresacres hourshours
STORM WATER DETENTION BASIN DESIGN	
 Pretreatment volume Vol_{pre} = Acres of Impervious Area(0.2")(1'/12") 	WQ _{pre} = acre-ft
 9. Compute Permanent Pool Volume and Water Quality Extended Volume Compute WQv/PPv Compute PPv Size extended detention – pool volume is greater of WQv or CPv 	$WQ_v/PP_v = $ $PP_v = $ acre-ft ED Pool Volume = acre-ft Dreagers on elevation stores table and sume using
 Conduction grading and determine storage available for permanent pool (and WQ_v.ED volume if applicable) 	the average area method for computing volumes.



E	levation	Area	Average Area	Depth	Incremental Volume	Cumulative Volume	Volume above Permanent Pool	
	MSL	(acres)	(acres)	(ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	(acre-ft)	
12.	12. WQ_v Orifice Computations Average ED release rate Average head, h = (ED elev. – Permanent pool elev.) / 2 Area of orifice from orifice equation: $Q = CA(2gh)^{0.5}$ Release Rate =cfs h =ft A =ft^2 Diameter =in13. Compute release rate for CP_v control and Establish CP_v elevation Release rate Average head h = CP_v elev. – Permanent pool elev.) / 2 Area or orifice from orifice equation: $Q = CA(2gh)^{0.5}$ WSEL =cfs h =ft Release Rate =cfs h =ft A =ft^2 Diameter =in							
	Calculate		Set un	a stage-stora	ne-discharge	relationshins		
	Set up a stage-storage Peak stage for (WQ _v), the 1-inch, 6-hour storm Peak stage for (CP _v), the 1-yr, 24-hour storm Peak Q ₁₀ – Undeveloped Peak Q ₂₅ – Undeveloped Peak Q ₂₅ – Undeveloped Peak Q ₂₅ – Developed Size emergency spillway, calculate 50-year WSEL and set top of embankment elevation					Stage = State = cfs = cfs = cfs = cfs = cfs = cfs 50 = ft kment Elevatio	_ ft ft on =ft	
15.	15. Investigate potential wet detention basin hazard classification							
16.	Assess ma	aintenance acc	ess and safety	features.				
17.	Attach land	dscaping plan						



4.2.8 Design Example

The following design example is for a wet pond following the design procedures given in section 4.2. In this design example, the channel protection volume (CP_V) is required to be held for a minimum of 24 hours from the center of the rainfall event (as is the requirement for projects within Mecklenburg County and the six Towns); however, the user should note that within the City of Charlotte, the channel protection volume (CP_V) is required to be held for a minimum of 48 hours from the center of the rainfall event. Figure 4.2.6 shows the site plan for the development and base and hydrologic data that will be used in the design example.



Figure 4.2.6 Example Site Plan for Wet Pond Design

<u>Steps 1, 2</u> Determine if the development site and conditions are appropriate for the use of a wet pond and consider any site-specific design considerations.

Step 3 Compute Water Quality Volume (WQ_v)

• Compute Runoff Coefficient, R_v, using (Schueler's Method) Equation 3.1



 $R_v = 0.05 + 0.009(I) = 0.05 + (34.2)(0.009) = 0.36$

Compute Water Quality Volume, WQ_v, using Equation 3.2

WQ_v = 1.0R_vA/12 = (1.0 inches)(0.36)(10.0 acre)(1foot/12 inches) = 0.30 ac-ft

Convert Water Quality Volume, WQv to inches of runoff using Equation 3.3 •

 $WQ_v = 1.0(R_v) = 1.0(0.36) = 0.36$ inches

Steps 4, 5 Compute Water Quality Peak Flow (WQp)

• Compute modified SCS curve number, CN, using Equation 3.4

 $CN = 1000/[10 + 5P + 10WQ_v - 10(WQ_v^2 + 1.25 WQ_vP)^{0.5}]$ $CN = 1000/[10 + 5(1.0) + 10(0.36) - 10{(0.36^{2} + 1.25(0.36 \times 1.0))^{0.5}] = 91.0$

Compute WQ_p using SCS the hydrograph procedure documented in the CMSWDM and the HEC-1 model or equivalent hydrologic model as approved by the review engineer. A 1-inch, 6-hour balanced storm event is required.

] ********	*****	******
*	*	* *
 * FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAG 	E (HEC-1) *	* U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS *
* JUN 1998	*	* HYDROLOGIC ENGINEERING CENTER *
* VERSION 4.1	*	* 609 SECOND STREET *
* DINI DAME 11NADO7 MINE	*	* DAVIS, CALIFORNIA 95616 *
* RUN DATE IIMARU/ IIME	1/.01.58 *	* (916) /56-1104 *
******	*****	*********
	X X XXXXXXX XXXXX X	
	X X X X X X XX	
	X X X X X	
	XXXXXXX XXXX X XXXXX X	
	X X X X X	
	X X X X X X	
	X X XXXXXXX XXXXX XXX	
THIS PROGRAM REP	LACES ALL PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF HEC-1 KNOWN AS HEC1 (JAN 73). H	ECIGS, HECIDB, AND HECIKW.
THE DEFINITIONS	OF VARIABLES -RTIMP- AND -RTIOR- HAVE CHANGED FROM THOSE USED	WITH THE 1973-STYLE INPUT STRUCTURE.
THE DEFINITION O	F -AMSKK- ON RM-CARD WAS CHANGED WITH REVISIONS DATED 28 SEP 8	1. THIS IS THE FORTRAN77 VERSION
NEW OPTIONS: DAM	BREAK OUTFLOW SUBMERGENCE , SINGLE EVENT DAMAGE CALCULATION, D	SS:WRITE STAGE FREQUENCY,
DSS:READ TIME SE	RIES AT DESIRED CALCULATION INTERVAL LOSS RATE: GREEN AND AMP	T INFILTRATION
KINEMATIC WAVE: 1	NEW FINITE DIFFERENCE ALGORITHM	
1	HEC-1 INPUT	PAGE 1
LINE ID	1	.8910
1 ID	MECKLENBURG COUNTY BMP DESIGN MANUAL	
2 ID	ANALYZED BY ABC ENGINEERING	
3 ID	DATE: OCTOBER 2006	
*	******************	*******
*		
*	TIME SPECIFICATION CARD	
4 IT	1 0 0 365	
*	DIAGRAM	
*	TIME INTERVAL CARD	

IO

KK PRE1

PI PI PI PI PI

ΡI

PI

.000 .004 .007 .013

.028

.008

.004

6

OUTPUT CONTROL CARD

.003 .004 .007 .019 .023

.007

.004

0

1-INCH, ********

.003

.003

.020

.007

.004

.003 .004 .008 .025 .014

.007

.004

6 HOUR STORM EVENT *****

.003 .004 .008 .039 .012

.006

.004

.003 .005 .009 .050 .011 .005

.004

.003 .005 .009 .108 .010

.005

.004

.004 .005 .010 .188 .009

.005

.004

* * * * * * * *

.004 .005 .011 .075

.009

.005

.003

.004 .006 .012 .043 .008

.005

.003



_													_
	15	PT	.003	.003	.003	.000							
		* ***	******	******	*******	******	******	******	******	******	****		
	16	KM	10-ACRE	PRE-DE	VELOPED C	ONDITIONS							
	17	KO	5	0	0	0	21						
	18	BA	.0156										
	19	LS	0	65.0	0								
	20	UD	0.378										
	21	KK	POST1										
	22	KM	10-ACRE	POST-D	EVELOPED	CONDITIONS	- ADJUSTI	ED CURVE	NUMBER				
	23	KO	1	0	0	0	21						
	24	BA	.0156										
	25	LS	0	91.0	0								
	26	UD	0.121										
	27	ZZ											
1**	*****	******	******	****						******	******	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	***
*				*						*			
*	FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PA	ACKAGE	(HEC-1)	*						* U.S	. ARMY CORPS	OF ENGINEERS	
*	JUN 1	1998		*						* HYD	ROLOGIC ENGIN	EERING CENTER	
*	VERSION 4.	. 1		*						*	609 SECOND	STREET	
÷	DIDI DAME 11MAD07	mTMD 1	7.01.50	÷						÷.	DAVIS, CALIFO	1104 STOLE	
-	RUN DATE IIMARU/	IIME I	./.01.50	-						*	(910) /50	-1104	
**	*****	******	*******	****						*******	*****	*****	****
			CHARLOT	TTE-MEC	KELNBURG	POST CONST	NUCTION D	ESTGN MA	NITAT.				
			ANALVZE	D BY A	RC ENGINE	FRING	COCITON DI	SOTON NA	INOALI				
			DATE: 0	OCTOBER	2006								
***	*****	TLAG ******	*******	.12 LA	G * * * * * * * * *	*******	*******	******	*******	*******	*****	***********	***
	TOTAL RAINFALL =	1.00,	TOTAL LO	DSS =	.64, I	OTAL EXCES	S = .3	36					
						RUNOFI	F SUMMARY						
					FLC	W IN CUBIC	FEET PER	SECOND					
					TIME I	N HOURS,	AREA IN SQ	QUARE MI	LES				
				PEAK	TIME OF	AVERAGI	E FLOW FOR	R MAXIMU	M PERIOD	BASIN	MAXIMUM	TIME OF	
	OPERATION	STATI	ON	FLOW	PEAK					AREA	STAGE	MAX STAGE	
+						6-HOUI	R 24-I	HOUR	72-HOUR				
	UVDDOCDADU AT												
+		DR	E1	0	0.0	0		0	0	0.2			
				0.		0	-		0.	.02			
	HYDROGRAPH AT												
+		POS	ST1	7.	3.27	1		1.	1.	.02			

*** NORMAL END OF HEC-1 ***

Note that the previous HEC-1 model output indicates that the runoff volume is 0.36 inches using the SCS method which matches the Schueler method runoff volume results using Equation 3-2.

Step 6 Compute Channel Protection Volume (CP_v)

• Compute maximum soil retention using SCS methods shown in the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Storm Water Design Manual. Note that the CN value used is the original site CN value, not the adjusted CN value used during the water quality runoff volume computation.

S = 1000/CN-10 = 1000/77.8 - 10 = 2.85 inches

Compute total runoff for the 1-year, 24-hour storm event. Total rainfall depth is 2.58 inches.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{d}} &= (\mathsf{P}\text{-}0.2\mathsf{S})^2/(\mathsf{P}\text{+}0.8\mathsf{S}) \\ &= \left[(2.58-(0.2)(2.85)\right]^2/[2.58+(0.8)(2.85)] \\ &= 0.83 \text{ inches} \end{array}$

Compute watershed runoff

 $CP_v = (0.83 \text{ inches})(10 \text{ acres})(1 \text{ foot}/12 \text{ inches}) = 0.69 \text{ acre-feet}$

Estimate Approximate Storage Volume

The entire Channel Protection Volume (CP_v) is required to be held within the wet pond dry storage volume above the permanent pool for a minimum of 24 hours. The requirement is in addition to the Water Quality treatment, which requires holding the Water Quality Volume (WQ_v) for four (4) days. A design



method that is called the "Static Method" sets the storage volume equal to the runoff volume, assumes that the storage volume fills instantaneously and empties through the outlet structure orifices and weirs. Using the Static Method, the facility would require 0.30 acre-ft storage for the Water Quality Volume (WQ_v) treatment and 0.69 acre-feet for the Channel Protection Volume (CP_v) . These values can be used as estimates to develop approximate storage volumes and grading plans, but routing computations must be performed to complete the design.

<u>Step 7a</u> Compute Release Rates for Water Quality Control (WQ_v) and Channel Protection <u>Volume (CP_v) Control</u>

The following outlet hydraulic computations are performed using the Static Method. Routing computations must be performed to refine the design that show that a minimum of 5 percent of the runoff volume is held within the storage volume at the design duration time after the center of the design storm rainfall (3 hours for 1-inch, 6-hour storm event and 12 hours for 1-year, 24-hour storm event).

• Compute the release rate for water quality control.

The water quality control volume (WQ_v) is to be released over a 4-day duration beyond the center of rainfall (96 hours plus 3 hours) period.

Release rate = $(0.30 \text{ ac-ft x } 43560 \text{ ft}^2/\text{acre})/(99 \text{ hrs x } 3,600 \text{ sec/hr}) = 0.037 \text{ cfs}$

• Compute the release rate for channel protection volume control.

The channel protection volume control (CP_v) is to be released over a 24-hour period beyond the center of rainfall (24 hours plus 12 hours) period.

Release rate = $(0.69 \text{ ac-ft x } 43560 \text{ ft}^2/\text{acre})/(36 \text{ hrs x } 3,600 \text{ sec/hr}) = 0.232 \text{ cfs}$

Step 7b Compute Site Hydrologic Input Parameters

Using SCS hydrologic procedures and/or computer models the following data can be determined for the example development site.

Hydrologic input Data								
Condition	Area (acres) CN		CN (adjusted)	t _c (hours)				
			for 1-inch storm					
Pre-developed	10	65	N/A	0.631				
Post-developed	10	77.8	91.0	0.202				

Hydrologic Input Data

Results of Preliminary Hydrologic Calculations (From Computer Model Results Using SCS Hydrologic Procedures)

• • • •	in eempater me		ng eee nyareie	gierreeduiee	/
Condition	Q _{1-inch}	Q _{1-year}	Q _{10-year}	Q _{25-year}	Q _{50-year}
Runoff	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs
Pre-developed	N/A	1.5	13.4	19.3	24.7
Post-developed	5.9	9.8	58.8	77.5	92.4

Step 8 Compute Pretreatment Volume

Size wet forebay to treat 0.2 inch/impervious area.

Forebay volume = (3.4 acres of impervious area)(0.2 inch)((1 foot/12 inches) = 0.06 ac-ft



Note: The forebay volume is included in the WQ_v as part of the permanent pool volume.

Step 9 Compute Permanent Pool Volume and Water Quality Extended Detention Volume

• Size the permanent pool volume so that the $WQ_v/PP_v = 0.3$.

 $PP_v = WQ_v/0.30 = 0.30$ ac-ft/0.30 = 1.00 ac-ft (includes 0.06 ac-ft of forebay volume).

• Size extended detention pool volume to contain the greater of the WQ_v and CP_v.

Extended detention volume = either 0.30 acre-ft held for 4 day duration beyond 3 hours or 0.69 ac-ft for a duration of 24 hours beyond 12 hours

Note: This design approach assumes that all of the runoff volume for the 1-inch, 6-hour and 1year, 24-hour storm events will be in the pond at once. While this will not be the case, since there is a discharge during the early stages of storms, this conservative approach allows for extended detention control over a wider range of storms, not just the target rainfall.

Step 10 Develop Storage-Elevation Table and Curve

Figure 4.2.6 at the beginning of this section shows the pond location on site. Figure 4.2.7 shows the plan view of the pond grading and Table 4.2.2 shows the storage-elevation data that was developed for this example.



Figure 4.2.7 Plan View of Pond Grading (Not to Scale)

	Area	Area	Avg. Area	Height	Inc vol (ac-	Acc vol (ac-	Acc vol (ac-
Elevation	(sf)	(ac)	(ac)	(ft)	ft)	ft)	ft)
695	6400	0.147				0.000	
695.5	6889	0.158	0.153	0.5	0.076	0.076	
696	7396	0.170	0.164	0.5	0.082	0.158	
696.5	7921	0.182	0.176	0.5	0.088	0.246	
697.0	8464	0.194	0.188	0.5	0.094	0.340	
697.5	9025	0.207	0.201	0.5	0.100	0.441	
698.0	9604	0.220	0.214	0.5	0.107	0.547	
698.5	10201	0.234	0.227	0.5	0.114	0.661	
699.0	10816	0.248	0.241	0.5	0.121	0.782	
699.5	11449	0.263	0.256	0.5	0.128	0.910	
700.0	12100	0.278	0.270	0.5	0.135	1.045	0
700.5	12769	0.293	0.285	0.5	0.143	1.187	0.143
701.0	13456	0.309	0.301	0.5	0.151	1.338	0.293
701.5	14161	0.325	0.317	0.5	0.158	1.496	0.452
702.0	14884	0.342	0.333	0.5	0.167	1.663	0.618
702.5	15265	0.350	0.346	0.5	0.173	1.836	0.791
703.0	16384	0.376	0.363	0.5	0.182	2.018	0.973
703.5	17161	0.394	0.385	0.5	0.193	2.210	1.166
704.0	17956	0.412	0.403	0.5	0.202	2.412	1.367
704.5	18769	0.431	0.422	0.5	0.211	2.623	1.578
705.0	19600	0.450	0.440	0.5	0.220	2.843	1.798

Table 4.2.2 Storage-Elevation Data

Step 11 Set Basic Elevations For Pond Structures

Set basic elevations for pond structures.

- The pond bottom is set at elevation 695.0.
- Provide gravity flow to allow for pond drain, set riser invert at 694.5.
- Set barrel outlet elevation at 694.0.

Set water surface and other elevations.

- Required permanent pool volume = 1.00 ac-ft. From the elevation-storage table or curve, read the elevation that will have a cumulative volume of 1.00 ac-ft or greater = 700.0. This elevation has a cumulative volume of 1.045 ac-ft which is greater than 1.00 ac-ft to allow for a small safety factor.
- Forebay volume will be provided in two pools, each below the two major inflow location, with an average volume of 0.030 ac-ft in each. This will give the required forebay volume of 0.06 ac-ft.
- The required extended detention volume is the greater of the water quality volume and the channel system protection volume; 0.30 acre-ft held for 4 days beyond 3 hours or 0.69 ac-ft for 1 day beyond 12 hours. From the elevation-storage table or curve (volume above permanent pool), read the elevation that will allow 0.30 acre-ft of storage and 0.69 ac-ft of storage above the permanent pool at elevation 700. The preliminary elevation to hold the Water Quality Volume (WQ_v) is 701.1 and Channel Protection Volume (CP_v) is 702.3.

Perform water balance calculations to confirm pond can maintain a water level no lower than 1 foot below the permanent pool elevation (see Section 3.4 of the BMP Design Manual for example of water balance calculations).

Compute Required Outlet Structure and Stage-Discharge for Water Quality Volume Step 12 (WQ_v)

Compute the required water quality extended detention orifice diameter to release 0.30 ac-ft over 96 hours beyond 3 hours.

- Average extended detention release rate = $(0.30 \text{ ac-ft})(43,560 \text{ ft}^2/\text{ac})/(99 \text{ hr})(3600 \text{ sec/hr}) = 0.037$ cfs
- Average head = (701.1 700.0)/2 = 0.55 ft
 - Use orifice equation to compute cross-sectional area and diameter of outlet.
 - $Q = CA(2gh)^{0.5}$, for Q = 0.037 cfs, h = 0.55 ft, and C = discharge coefficient = 0.6• Solve for A: A = 0.037 cfs / $[0.6((2)(32.2 \text{ ft/s}^2)(0.55))^{0.5}] = 0.010 \text{ ft}^2$

 - With A = $\pi d^2/4$, d = 0.113 ft = 1.3 inches 0
 - Use 1.3 inch orifice plate.

Compute the stage-discharge equation for the 1.3 inch diameter WQ_v orifice.

- $WQ_{v-ed} = CA(2gh)^{0.5} = (0.6)(0.010 \text{ ft}^2) [((2)(32.2 \text{ ft/s}^2))^{0.5}](h^{0.5}), = 0.048h^{0.5}$ $WQ_{v-ed} = (0.048)h^{0.5}$, where h = water surface elevation 700.05
- Note: account for one half of the orifice diameter when calculating the head (h).

Step 13 Compute Required Outlet Structure and Stage-Discharge for Channel Protection Volume (CP_v)

Compute a preliminary channel protection volume orifice diameter to release 0.69 acre-feet over 24 hours beyond 12 hours.

- Required $CP_v = 0.69$ ac-ft
- From the elevation storage table or curve, read elevation 702.5 (this includes the WQ_v of 0.30 acft). At elevation 702.3 there is 0.69 ac-ft of storage.
- Set CP_v storage volume at = 702.3

Size the CP_v orifice.

- Average extended detention release rate = $(0.69 \text{ ac-ft})(43,560 \text{ ft}^2/\text{ac})/(36 \text{ hr})(3600 \text{ sec/hr}) = 0.232$ cfs
- Average head = (702.3 700.0)/2 = 1.15 ft
- Use orifice equation to compute cross-sectional area and diameter of outlet.
 - \circ Q = CA(2gh)^{0.5}, for Q = 0.232 cfs, h = 1.15 ft, and C = discharge coefficient = 0.6
 - Solve for A: A = 0.232 cfs / $[0.6((2)(32.2 \text{ ft/s}^2)(1.15))^{0.5}] = 0.045 \text{ ft}^2$
 - With A = $\pi d^2/4$, d = 0.239 ft = 2.9 inches
 - Use 2.9 inch orifice plate. 0

Compute the stage-discharge equation for the 2.9 diameter CP_v orifice.

 $Q = CA(2gh)^{0.5} = 0.6(0.045 ft^2)[2(32.2 ft/s^2)]^{0.5}(h^{0.5})$ • $Q = 0.22h^{0.5}$ Where h = wsel - 700.12 (Note: Account for one half of the orifice diameter when calculation head).

Step 14 Calculate Q₁₀ and Q₂₅ (if required) Release Rate(s) and Water Surface Elevation(s)

In order to calculate the 10-year and 25-year (if required) release rate(s) and water surface elevation(s), the designer must set up a stage-storage-discharge relationship for the control structure for each of the low flow release pipes (WQ_v and CP_v) plus the 10-year and 25-year (if required) storm(s).

The first step is to route the 1-inch, 6-hour storm event through the facility using the orifice and stagestorage developed by the preceding Static Method design. The following HEC-1 output file illustrates the results. Note that the peak stage for the 1-inch, 6-hour storm event is 700.98 which is less than 701.1, the peak stage assumed during the Static Method design. In addition, export of the outflow hydrograph through the TAPE21 or HEC-DSS function indicates that 22% of the runoff volume remains within the basin at 4 days beyond the center of rainfall (3 hours), therefore, additional iterations of the 1-inch storm event design could be performed. The goal of the additional iterations could be to increase the outlet size and reduce the storage volume so that only 5% of the runoff volume remained in the basin at 4 days beyond the center of rainfall (3 hours). In addition, a reduced amount of storage volume could be used for the 1-inch storm event by performing the iterative routing design and re-sizing the outlet orifice.

1*	***********	* * * *	* * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	***
*	r	*	*		*
*	FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1)	*	*	U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	*
*	JUN 1998	*	*	HYDROLOGIC ENGINEERING CENTER	*
*	VERSION 4.1	*	*	609 SECOND STREET	*
*	r	*	*	DAVIS, CALIFORNIA 95616	*
*	RUN DATE 25MAY07 TIME 13:54:52	*	*	(916) 756-1104	*
*	r	*	*		*
*	******	* * * *	****	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	***

х	х	XXXXXXX	XX	XXX		х
Х	Х	х	х	х		XX
Х	Х	х	х			Х
XXXX	XXX	XXXX	х		XXXXX	х
Х	Х	х	х			Х
Х	Х	х	х	х		Х
Х	Х	XXXXXXX	XX	XXX		XXX

THIS PROGRAM REPLACES ALL PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF HEC-1 KNOWN AS HEC1 (JAN 73), HECIGS, HECIDB, AND HECIKW.

THE DEFINITIONS OF VARIABLES -RTIMP- AND -RTIOR- HAVE CHANGED FROM THOSE USED WITH THE 1973-STYLE INPUT STRUCTURE. THE DEFINITION OF -AMSKK- ON RM-CARD WAS CHANGED WITH REVISIONS DATED 28 SEP 81. THIS IS THE FORTRANTY VERSION NEW OPTIONS: DAMBERSK OUTFLOW SUMMERGENCE, SINCLE EVENT DAMAGE CALCULATION, DSS:WHITE STAGE FREQUENCY, DSS:READ TIME SERIES AT DESIRED CALCULATION INTERVAL LOSS RATE:GREEN AND AMPT INFILTRATION KINEMATIC MAVE: NEW FUNITE DIFFERENCE ALGORITHM

					HEC-1 I	INPUT						PAGE	1
LINE	ID	1	2		4	5	б	7	8	9	10		
1	ID	MEC	KLENBURG	COUNTY	BMP DESIG	SN MANUA	L						
2	ID	ANA	LYZED BY	ABC ENG	GINEERING								
3	ID	DAT	E: OCTOB	ER 2006									
	* *	*******	******	******	*******	******	******	******	*******	*******	* * *		
	*												
	*	TIME S	SPECIFICA	TION CAR	D								
	*	TOTAL	COMPUTAT	ION PER	IOD - 99 H	IOURS							
4	IT	4 0	8MAY99	0	1485								
	* I	DIAGRAM											
	*	TIME I	NTERVAL	CARD									
5	IN	5	0	0									
	*												
	*	OUTPUT	CONTROL	CARD									
6	10	5	0	0									
	•												
7	1010	DDD1											
/	* **	FRE1	*******	******	********	******	*******	*******	*******	*******	***		
	* **	*******	***** 1	-TNCH	UNTE STO	DM EVEN	ጥ *****	*******	*******	*******	***		
	* **	*******	*******	******	*******		_ ********	*******	*******	*******	***		
8	DT	000	003	003	003	003	003	003	004	004	004		
9	DT	.000	.005	.005	.005	.005	005	005	005	005	006		
10	PT	007	007	007	008	004	005	009	010	011	012		
11	PT	013	019	022	025	039	050	108	188	075	043		
12	PT	.028	.023	.020	.014	.012	.011	.010	.009	.009	.008		
13	PT	.008	.007	.007	.007	.006	.005	.005	.005	.005	.005		
14	PI	.004	.004	.004	.004	.004	.004	.004	.004	.003	.003		
15	PI	.003	.003	.003	.000								
	* **	******	******	******	*******	******	******	******	******	******	* * *		
16	KM	10-ACRE	PRE-DEV	ELOPED (CONDITIONS	3							
17	KO	5	0	0	0	21							
18	BA	.0156											
19	LS	0	65.0	0									
20	UD	0.378											
21	KK	POST1											
22	KM	10-ACRE	POST-DE	VELOPED	CONDITION	IS - ADJ	USTED CU	RVE NUMB	ER				
23	KO	1	0	0	0	21							
24	BA	.0156											

	25	LS	0 91.0	0							
	26	UD 0.1:	21								
	27	ZW A=OI	JT B=POST1	C=FLOW							
	28	KK DETE	LO								
	29	KO	5 0	0	0 2	1					
	30	KM DETI	ENTION BASI	N DESIGN 1	FOR 1-INCH, 6	-HOUR STOR	4 EVENT,	HELD FC	R 96 HOUR	RS	
	31	KM POS'	C-DEVELOPED	WITH CON	TROLS						
	32	KM 1.3	D INCH ORIF	ICE TO EL	EVATION 701.1	.; 2.9 INCH	ORIFICE	TO ELEV	ATION 702	2.3	
	33	KM BASI	D ON STATI	C METHOD							
	35	SU	0 143	293	324 45	2 618	721	791	973	1 166	
	35	SF 71	0 .143	.293	701 1 701	5 702	702 3	702 5	703	703 5	
	37	50 01	0 0 0 0 3 0	0 043	0 045 0 25	G 0 303	0 341	0 375	0 406	0 462	
	38	ZW A-01	TT B-DETE10	C-FLOW	0.045 0.2.	0.505	0.541	0.575	0.400	0.402	
	39	ZN A-01	JI D-DEIEIC	C-1.10M							
******	***********	*********	******						*******	*****	*****
			*						*		
FLOC	D HYDROGRAPH PA	ACKAGE (HE	2-1) *						* U.S.	ARMY CORPS	OF ENGINEERS
	JUN	1998	*						* HYDE	ROLOGIC ENGIN	NEERING CENTER
	VERSION 4	.1	*						*	609 SECOND) STREET
			*						* I	DAVIS, CALIFO	ORNIA 95616
RUN D	ATE 25MAY07	TIME 13:5	1:52 *						*	(916) 756	5-1104
			*						*		
		MEG	CKLENBURG C ALYZED BY A	OUNTY BMP BC ENGINE	DESIGN MANUA	L					
		5.20		2000	ERING						
*** ***	*** *** *** ***	DA:	re: octobef	*** *** *	** *** *** */	* *** *** :	*** *** *	** ***	*** *** :	*** *** *** *	*** *** *** *** *
** ***	*** *** ***	DA' * *** *** *	FE: OCTOBER	*** *** *	** *** *** *	* *** ***	*** *** *	** ***	*** *** 1	*** *** *** *	*** *** *** *** *
** ***	*** *** *** ***	DA' * *** *** * * *	re: october	2006	** *** *** **	* *** ***	*** *** *	** ***	*** *** :	*** *** *** *	*** *** *** *** *
** *** 21 KK	*** *** *** *** ********** * POST	DA' * *** *** * * * 1 *	FE: OCTOBEF	2006	** *** *** *	* *** ***	*** *** *	** ***	*** *** :	*** *** *** *	*** *** *** *** /
** *** 21 KK	*** *** *** *** * * * POST: *	DA' * *** *** * * * 1 * * *	fe: octobef	2006 *** *** *	** *** *** * *	* *** ***	*** *** *	** ***	*** *** :	*** *** *** *	*** *** *** ***
** *** 21 KK TOTA	*** *** *** *** * * * L RAINFALL =	DA' * *** *** ** **** 1 * * **** 1.00, TOTA	re: october ** *** *** AL LOSS =	: 2006 *** *** * .64, T(** *** *** *** DTAL EXCESS =	.36	*** *** *	** ***	*** *** 1	*** *** *** *	
** *** 21 KK TOTA	* POST: * L RAINFALL =	DA' * *** *** ** * 1 * * * 1.00, TOT;	FE: OCTOBER ** *** *** AL LOSS =	: 2006 *** *** ** .64, T(FLO TIME II	YTAL EXCESS = RUNOFF S W IN CUBIC FF N HOURS, ARE	.36 UMMARY ET PER SECI	*** *** * DND 5 MILES	** ***	*** *** :		
** *** 21 KK TOTA	* POST: * POST: * . L RAINFALL =	DA' * *** *** ** * 1 * * 1.00, TOT:	PE: OCTOBER AL LOSS = PEAK	: 2006 *** *** ** .64, Tr FLO TIME IN TIME OF	ATHO ATAL EXCESS = RUNOFF S W IN CUBIC FF N HOURS, ARH AVERAGE F	36 SUMMARY JET PER SECU LA IN SQUARI	NND 5 MILES KIMUM PER	** *** 10D	*** *** ; BASIN	махімим	*** *** *** *** *
** *** 21 kk Tota	* POST: * POST: * L RAINFALL = OPERATION	DA'	AL LOSS = PEAK FLOW	.64, TO FLOI TIME IN TIME OF PEAK	ATHO ATAL EXCESS = RUNOFF S W IN CUBIC FF N HOURS, ARE AVERAGE F 6-HOUR	36 SUMMARY JET PER SECT A IN SQUARI YLOW FOR MAI 24-HOUR	XXXX XXXX XXXX DND X MILES XIMUM PER 72-H	** *** 10D 00UR	BASIN AREA	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE
** *** 21 KK TOTA	* POST: * POST: * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	DA * *** *** ** 1 * **** 1.00, TOT; STATION	PEAK FLOW	.64, T FLOI TIME II TIME OF PEAK	ATAL EXCESS = RUNOFF S W IN CUDIC PE N HOURS, ARE AVERAGE E 6-HOUR	36 SUMMARY JET PER SEC(24 IN SQUAR) LOW FOR MAL 24-HOUR	DND 8 MILES KIMUM PER 72-H	** *** 10D 10UR	BASIN AREA	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE
** *** 21 KK TOTA	* POST: * POST: * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	DA * *** *** *** **** 1.00, TOT: STATION PRE1	AL LOSS = PEAK FLOW 0.	.64, TY FLOI TIME II TIME OF PEAK .00	OTAL EXCESS = RUNOFF 2 W IN CUBIC FR N HOURS, AR AVERAGE F 6-HOUR 0.	36 SUMMARY JET PER SEC A IN SQUARI VLOW FOR MAL 24-HOUR 0.	DND 8 MILES KIMUM PER 72-H	LIOD KOUR 0.	BASIN AREA	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE
** *** 21 KK TOTA	* POST: * POST: * POST: * ***********************************	DA'	AL LOSS = PEAK FLOW 0.	.64, TY FLOI TIME IN PEAK .00	OTAL EXCESS = RUNOFF S W IN CUBIC FF N HOURS, ARE AVERAGE F 6-HOUR 0.	.36 SUMMARY SET PER SEC A IN SQUARI YLOW FOR MAL 24-HOUR 0.	DND S MILES KIMUM PER 72-H	LIOD IOUR 0.	BASIN AREA .02	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE
** *** 21 KK TOTA	* POST: * POST: * U RAINFALL = OPERATION HYDROGRAPH AT	DA 	AL LOSS = PEAK FLOW 0. 6.	.64, T FLO TIME I TIME OF PEAK .00 3.27	TTAL EXCESS = RUNOFF S W IN CUBIC PF N HOURS, ARE AVERAGE F 6-HOUR 0.	36 SUMMARY ET PER SEC(A IN SQUAR) 'LOW FOR MA: 24-HOUR 0.	DND 5 MILES KIMUM PER 72-H	** *** LIOD IOUR 0. 0.	BASIN AREA .02	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE
** *** 21 KK TOTA	* POST: * POST: * * POST: * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	DA * *** *** *** ***** 1.00, TOT: STATION PRE1 POST1	AL LOSS = PEAK FLOW 0. 6.	. 2006 	TAL EXCESS = RUNOFF S W IN CUBIC PF N HOURS, ARH AVERAGE F 6-HOUR 0.	.36 SUMMARY LET PER SEC LA IN SQUARI LOW FOR MAL 24-HOUR 0. 0.	DND 2 MILES KIMUM PER 72-H		BASIN AREA .02 .02	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE
** *** 21 KK TOTA	* POST: * POST: * TOST: * POST: * TOST: * TOST: * POST: * PO	DA * *** *** *** * 1.00, TOTA STATION PRE1 POST1	LUSS = PEAK FLOW 0. 6.	.64, T FLO TIME OF PEAK .00 3.27	TAL EXCESS = RUNOFF S W IN CUBIC PF N HOURS, ARI AVERAGE F 6-HOUR 0. 1.	36 SUMMARY SET PER SECC A IN SQUARI 'LOW FOR MA: 24-HOUR 0. 0.	DND 5 MILES KIMUM PER 72-H	110D KOUR 0. 0.	BASIN AREA .02 .02	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE

*** NORMAL END OF HEC-1 ***

The second step is to route the 1-year, 24-hour storm event through the facility using the orifice and stage-storage developed by the preceding Static Method design. The following HEC-1 output file illustrates the results. The designer may adjust the top of weir control that was set at 701.1 during the Static Method to control the 1-inch storm design to 700.98, the peak stage of the 1-inch, 6-hour storm event determined from routing.

The peak stage of the 1-year, 24-hour storm event was computed to be 701.56. In addition, export of the outflow hydrograph through the TAPE21 or DSS function or indicates that 45% of the runoff volume remains within the basin after 36 hours (24 hours after the center of rainfall for the 1-year, 24-hour storm event), therefore, additional iterations of the 1-year storm event design could be performed. The goal of the additional iterations could be to increase the outlet size and reduce the storage volume so that only 5% of the runoff volume remained in the basin after 36 hours. In addition, a reduced amount of storage volume could be used for the 1-year, 24-hour storm event by performing the iterative routing design and re-sizing the outlet orifice.

1**	*******	**	**************	
*		*	* *	
*	FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1)	*	* U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS *	
*	JUN 1998	*	* HYDROLOGIC ENGINEERING CENTER *	
*	VERSION 4.1	*	* 609 SECOND STREET *	
*		*	* DAVIS, CALIFORNIA 95616 *	
*	RUN DATE 25MAY07 TIME 14:06:29	*	* (916) 756-1104 *	
*		*	* *	
**	******	**	***************************************	

х	х	XXXXXXX	XX	XXX		х
Х	Х	х	Х	х		XX
х	Х	х	х			х
XXXX	XXX	XXXX	Х		XXXXX	х
х	Х	х	х			х
х	Х	х	х	Х		х
Х	Х	XXXXXXX	XX	XXX		XXX

THIS PROGRAM REPLACES ALL PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF HEC-1 KNOWN AS HEC1 (JAN 73), HECIGS, HECIDB, AND HECIKW.

THE DEFINITIONS OF VARIABLES -RTIMP- AND -RTIOR- HAVE CHANGED FROM THOSE USED WITH THE 1973-STYLE INPUT STRUCTURE. THE DEFINITION OF -AMSKK- ON RM-CARD WAS CHANGED WITH REVISIONS DATED 28 SEP 81. THIS IS THE FORTRAN77 VERSION NEW OPTIONS: DAMBREAK OUTFLOW SUBMERGENCE, SINGLE EVENT DAMAGE CALCULATION, DSS:WRITE STAGE FREQUENCY, DSS:READ THE SERIES AT DESIRED CALCULATION INTERVAL LOSS RATE:GREEN AND AMPT INFILTRATION KINEMATIC WAVE: NEW FINITE DIFFERENCE ALGORITHM

1	HEC-1 INPUT	PAGE 1
LINE ID	1	
1 ID	MECKLENBURG COUNTY BMP DESIGN MANUAL	
2 ID	ANALYZED BY ABC ENGINEERING	
3 ID	DATE: OCTOBER 2006	
*		
*	TIME SPECIFICATION CARD	
*	TOTAL COMPUTATIONAL DURATION 36 HOURS	
4 IT	4 0 0 540	
*	DIAGRAM	
*	OUTPUT CONTROL CARD	
5 10	5 0 0	
-		
б КК	PREI	
7 IN	6	
8 PB	2.58	
*	***************************************	
*	*********************1-YEAR, 24-HOUR STORM EVENT ************************************	
*	********** 6 MINUTE TIME INCREMENT,24-HOUR STORM EVENT ************************************	
·		
9 P1 10 DT		
10 PT		
12 PI	.0013 .0013 .0013 .0013 .0014 .0013 .0014 .0014 .0014 .0014	
13 PI	.0014 .0014 .0015 .0015 .0015 .0015 .0015 .0015 .0015 .0016	
14 PI	.0016 .0016 .0016 .0017 .0017 .0016 .0018 .0017 .0017 .0018	
15 PI	.0018 .0018 .0018 .0019 .0019 .0018 .0020 .0019 .0019 .0020	
16 PI	.0020 .0020 .0020 .0021 .0021 .0021 .0021 .0021 .0021 .0022	
17 PI	.0022 .0022 .0024 .0024 .0026 .0026 .0028 .0029 .0029 .0030	
18 PI	0032 .0032 .0032 .0032 .0032 .0032 .0033 .0034 .0036 .0038	
20 PI	0039 .0041 .0044 .0040 .0040 .0051 .0054 .0056 .0062 .0060	
20 PT 21 PT	.0951 .0190 .0166 .0144 .0122 .0098 .0084 .0080 .0074 .0068	
22 PI	.0064 .0060 .0056 .0054 .0052 .0048 .0046 .0044 .0042 .0040	
23 PI	.0038 .0037 .0036 .0035 .0034 .0034 .0033 .0033 .0032 .0031	
24 PI	.0030 .0030 .0029 .0028 .0027 .0027 .0026 .0026 .0025 .0024	
25 PI	.0023 .0023 .0022 .0023 .0022 .0022 .0022 .0021 .0021 .0021	
26 PI	.0021 .0020 .0020 .0019 .0020 .0019 .0019 .0019 .0018 .0018	
27 PI	.0018 .0018 .0017 .0018 .0017 .0017 .0016 .0017 .0016 .0016	
28 PI	.0015 .0016 .0015 .0015 .0014 .0014 .0014 .0013 .0014	
29 P1 30 PT		
31 PI		
32 PI	.0011 .0012 .0011 .0012 .0011 .0011 .0012 .0011 .0011 .0011	
33 PI	.0011	
34 KM	10-ACRE PRE-DEVELOPED CONDITIONS	
35 КО	5 0 0 0 21	
36 BA	.0156	
37 LS	0 65.0 0	
38 UD		DAGE 2
*		11101 1
LINE ID	1	
39 KK	POST1	
40 KM	IU-ACRE POST-DEVELOPED CONDITIONS	
41 KO 42 DA	0156	
43 LS	0 77.8 0	
44 UD	0.121	
45 KK	DETE10	
46 KO	5 0 0 0 21	
47 KM	DETENTION BASIN DESIGN FOR 1-INCH, 6-HOUR STORM EVENT, HELD FOR 96 HOURS	
48 KM	POST-DEVELOPED WITH CONTROLS	
49 KM	1.50 INCH ORIFICE TO ELEVATION /01.0; 2.9 INCH ORIFICE TO ELEVATION 702.3 DASED ON STATIC METHOD	
50 KM	1 RLEV 700	
52 SV	0 .143 .293 .452 .618 .721 .791 .973 1.166	
53 SE	700 700.5 701 701.5 702 702.3 702.5 703 703.5	
54 SQ	0.00 0.030 0.043 0.259 0.303 0.326 0.341 0.375 0.406	
55 ZZ		
L*************************************	***************************************	***********************
* FIOD UVDBOCBADU DACKAC	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*
* JUN 1000	* U.S. ARMY CO	NGINEERING CENTER *
* VERSION 4.1	* * 609 SE	COND STREET *
*	* DAVIS, CA	LIFORNIA 95616 *
* RUN DATE 25MAY07 TIME	14:06:29 * * (916)	756-1104 *
* *************************************	* *	*

CHARLOTTE-MECKELNBURG POST CONSTRUCTION DESIGN MANUAL

			ANAL DATE	YZED BY U : OCTOBER	JSINFRASTRU 2006	CTURE						
*	** ***	*** *** *** **	* *** *** **	* *** ***	* * * * * * *	** *** *** ***	*** *** **	* *** *** **	* *** ***	*** *** ***	*** *** *** *	** ***
		********	***									
		*	*									
	39 KK	* POST1	*									
		*	*									
		********	***									
*	*****	******	******	*******	********	******	********	*****	********	********	*****	*****
	TOTA	I. RAINFALL =	2 58 TOTAL	1.055 =	1 75 TO	TAL EXCESS =	83					
	10111		2100, 101112	1000 -	1.757 10	ing puedoo -	.05					
						RUNOFF SUM	IMARY					
					FLOW	IN CUBIC FEET	PER SECONE)				
					TIME IN	HOURS, AREA	IN SQUARE M	IILES				
				PEAK	TIME OF	AVERAGE FLO	W FOR MAXIM	IUM PERIOD	BASIN	MAXIMUM	TIME OF	
		OPERATION	STATION	FLOW	PEAK				AREA	STAGE	MAX STAGE	
+						6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR				
		HYDROGRAPH AT	DDF1	1	10 47	0	0	0	0.2			
+			PREI	1.	12.4/	υ.	υ.	υ.	.02			
		HYDROGRAPH AT										
+			POST1	10.	12.13	1.	0.	0.	.02			
		ROUTED TO										
+			DETE10	0.	17.60	0.	0.	0.	.02	701 56	10.00	
+										/01.50	19.00	

*** NORMAL END OF HEC-1 ***

The third and fourth step is to route the 10-year and 25-year, 6-hour storm events through the facility using an iterative process so that the post-development discharge rates are less than the predevelopment conditions. The following HEC-1 output file illustrates the results of the iterative process. Intermediate steps are not presented. The fifth step is to design the emergency spillway for the 50-year storm event. The elevation of the emergency spillway is set above the peak stage of the routed 25-year storm event. A freeboard of 6 inches above the 50-year peak stage to the top of embankment is required.

1**	*****	**	***	*****	***
*		*	*		*
*	FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1)	*	*	U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	*
*	JUN 1998	*	*	HYDROLOGIC ENGINEERING CENTER	*
*	VERSION 4.1	*	*	609 SECOND STREET	*
*		*	*	DAVIS, CALIFORNIA 95616	*
*	RUN DATE 25MAY07 TIME 14:27:41	*	*	(916) 756-1104	*
*		*	*		*
* *	******	**	* * * 1	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	***

Х	х	XXXXXXX	XXX	XXX		х
Х	Х	х	х	х		XX
Х	Х	х	х			х
XXXX	XXX	XXXX	х		XXXXX	Х
Х	Х	Х	х			Х
Х	Х	Х	х	х		Х
Х	х	XXXXXXX	XX	XXX		XXX

THIS PROGRAM REPLACES ALL PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF HEC-1 KNOWN AS HEC1 (JAN 73), HECIGS, HECIDB, AND HECIKW.

THE DEFINITIONS OF VARIABLES -RTIMP- AND -RTIOR- HAVE CHANGED FROM THOSE USED WITH THE 1973-STYLE INPUT STRUCTURE. THE DEFINITION OF -AMSKK- ON RM-CARD WAS CHANGED WITH REVISIONS DATED 28 SEP 81. THIS IS THE FORTRAN77 VERSION NEW OPTIONS: DAMBREAK OUTFLOW SUBMERGENCE, SINCLE EVENT DAMAGE CALCULATION, DSS:WRITE STAGE FREQUENCY, DSS:READ THE SERIES AT DESIRED CALCULATION INTERVAL LOSS RATE:GREEN AND AMPT INFILTRATION KINEMATIC WAVE: NEW FINITE DIFFERENCE ALGORITHM

1		HEC-1 INPUT PA	GE 1									
	LINE	ID1										
	1	ID MECKLENBURG COUNTY BMP DESIGN MANUAL										
	2	ID ANALYZED BY ABC ENGINEERING										
	3	ID DATE: OCTOBER 2006										
		*										
		* TIME SPECIFICATION CARD										
		* TOTAL COMPUTATIONAL DURATION 6 HOURS										
	4											
	1	* DTACRAM										
		* DIRGRAM										
	-											
	5											
		*										
	~											
	ь	KK PKEI										
		* *************************** 10-YEAR, 6-HOUR STORM EVENT ************************************										
	7	PI .000 .011 .011 .012 .012 .012 .012 .013 .013 .013										
	8	PI .014 .014 .015 .015 .016 .016 .017 .018 .018 .023										
	9	PI .024 .025 .026 .027 .029 .036 .039 .042 .045 .049										
	10	PI .054 .079 .089 .103 .161 .201 .395 .590 .275 .177										

		11	PT	.112	.095	.084	.057	.051	.047	.043	.040	.038	.030		
		12	PT	.028	.027	.025	.024	.023	.019	.018	.017	.017	.016		
		13	PI	.016	.015	.015	.014	.014	.013	.013	.013	.012	.012		
		14	PI	.012	.011	.011	.000								
			* *	*******	******	*******	******	******	* * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *	******	******	****		
		15	KM	10-ACRE	PRE-DEV	/ELOPED (CONDITIO	NS							
		16	KO	5	0	0	0	21							
		17	BA	.0156											
		18	LS	0	65.0	0									
		19	UD	0.378											
	:	20	KK	POST1											
		21	KM	10-ACRE	POST-DE	EVELOPED	CONDITIO	ONS							
	:	22	KO	1	0	0	0	21							
	:	23	BA	.0156											
	:	24	LS	0	77.8	0									
	:	25	UD	0.121											
	:	26	KK	DETE10											
	:	27	KO	5	0	0	0	21							
	:	28	KM	DETENTI	ON BASIN	N DESIGN	FOR 1-II	NCH, 6-H	OUR STOR	M EVENT,	HELD FC	OR 96 HOU	RS		
	:	29	KM	POST-DE	VELOPED	WITH CON	TROLS								
		30	KM	1.30 IN	CH ORIFI	ICE TO EI	LEVATION	701.0;	2.9 INCH	ORIFICE	TO ELEV	ATION 70	2.3		
		31	KM	15-INCH	ORIFICE	E TO ELEV	/ATION 7	04.0, 20	FOOT EM	ERGENCY	SPILLWAY				
		32	RS	1	ELEV	700									
		33	SV	0	.143	.293	.452	.618	.721	.791	.973	1.166	1.367		
		34	SE	700	700.5	701	701.5	702	702.3	702.5	703	703.5	704		
		35	SQ	0.00	0.030	0.043	0.259	0.303	0.326	8.432	9.481	10.425	11.290		
		36	ZZ												
T					****							*			*
*	FLOOD UV	DROCRADU I	NOVACE	(UEC 1)	*							* 17.0	ADMY COL	DO OF ENGINEERO	*
*	FLOOD HI	.TIIN	1009	(HEC-I)	*							* 11	POLOGIC F	CINEEDING CENTER	*
*		VERSION	1 1		*							*	609 GE0	OND STREET	*
*		VERGION			*							*	DAVIS CAL	TEORNIA 95616	*
* R	NIN DATE	25MAY07	TIME	14:27:41	*							*	(916)	756-1104	*
*	on bind	23111107	11110	11.0/.11	*							*	(910)	,50 1101	*
****	******	*******	******	*******	****							******	******	*****	* * * * *
				MECKLE	NBURG CO	NUNTY BMI	DESTGN	MANIJAT.							
				ANALYZ	ED BY AF	BC ENGINE	ERING								
				DATE:	OCTOBER	2006									
	*** ***	*** *** *1	** ***	*** *** *	** *** *	*** *** 1	*** ***	*** ***	*** ***	*** ***	*** ***	*** ***	*** *** *1	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	* *** ***

	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	*													*
KK	*						P	0	s	т	1			*
	*													*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

20

.....

TOTAL RAINFALL = 3.72, TOTAL LOSS = 2.07, TOTAL EXCESS = 1.65

RUNOFF SUMMARY FLOW IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND

	TIME IN HOURS, AREA IN SQUARE MILES											
	OPERATION	STATION	JM PERIOD	BASIN	MAXIMUM	TIME OF						
+	01210112014	01111101	1 2011	1 20 20	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR		011102	in onor		
+	HYDROGRAPH AT	PRE1	13.	1.10	1.	1.	1.	.02				
+	HYDROGRAPH AT	POST1	59.	.77	3.	3.	3.	.02				
+ +	ROUTED TO	DETE10	10.	1.12	2.	2.	2.	.02	703.11	1.12		

*** NORMAL END OF HEC-1 ***

1**	******	* * *	***	*****	***
*		*	*		*
*	FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1)	*	*	U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	*
*	JUN 1998	*	*	HYDROLOGIC ENGINEERING CENTER	*
*	VERSION 4.1	*	*	609 SECOND STREET	*
*		*	*	DAVIS, CALIFORNIA 95616	*
*	RUN DATE 25MAY07 TIME 14:29:03	*	*	(916) 756-1104	*
*		*	*		*
**	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	***	* * *	******	* * *

х	Х	XXXXXXX	XXX	XXX		х
Х	Х	х	Х	х		XX
Х	Х	х	Х			Х
XXXX	XXX	XXXX	Х		XXXXX	Х
Х	Х	х	х			х
Х	х	х	Х	х		Х
х	Х	XXXXXXX	XXX	XXX		XXX

THIS PROGRAM REPLACES ALL PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF HEC-1 KNOWN AS HEC1 (JAN 73), HEC1GS, HEC1DB, AND HEC1KW.

THE DEFINITIONS OF VARIABLES -RTIMP- AND -RTIOR- HAVE CHANGED FROM THOSE USED WITH THE 1973-STYLE INPUT STRUCTURE. THE DEFINITION OF -AMSKK- ON RM-CARD WAS CHANGED WITH REVISIONS DATED 28 SEP 81. THIS IS THE FORTRAN77 VERSION

NEW OPTIONS: DAMBREAK OUTFLOW SUBMERGENCE , SINGLE EVENT DAMAGE CALCULATION, DSS:WRITE STAGE FREQUENCY, DSS:READ TIME SERIES AT DESIRED CALCULATION INTERVAL LOSS RATE:GREEN AND AMPT INFILTRATION KINEMATIC WAVE: NEW FINITE DIFFERENCE ALGORITHM

				HEC-1	INPUT					P	AGE 1	
LINE	ID	12		4.	5 .		7	8.	9.	10		
1 2	ID ID	MECKLENBU ANALYZED	RG COUNTY BY ABC EN	BMP DES GINEERIN	IGN MANU G	AL						
3	ID * ****	DATE: OCT	OBER 2006	******	******	*******	*****	*****	******	* * * *		
	* * T	IME SPECIFI	CATION CA	RD								
4	* T IT	OTAL COMPUT	ATIONAL D	URATION 365	6 HOURS							
	* DIAG * 0	RAM UTPUT CONTR	OL CARD									
5	IO *	5 0	0									
6	KK P	RE1										
	* *****	*********	* 25-YEAR	******** , 6-HOUR	STORM E	******** VENT ****	*******	******	*******	* * * *		
7	* ***** PI .	.014	.014	.014	.015	.015	.015	.016	.016	.017		
8	PI .	017 .018	.018	.019	.019	.020	.021	.022	.023	.025		
9	PI . PT	027 .028	.029	.031	.033	.043	.046	.049	.053	.058		
11	PI .	131 .111	.098	.067	.061	.055	.051	.048	.045	.034		
12	PI .	032 .030	.029	.027	.026	.023	.022	.021	.021	.020		
13	PI .	019 .019	.018	.017	.017	.016	.016	.016	.015	.015		
14	₽⊥ . * *****	*********	.U14 *******	.000	******	*******	******	*****	******	* * * *		
15	KM 10	-ACRE PRE-D	EVELOPED	CONDITIO	NS							
16	KO	5 0	0	0	21							
17	BA .0	156 65.0	0									
19	UD 0.	378	-									
20	KK PO	ST1	DEVELOPED	CONDITI	ONS							
22	KO	1 0	0	0	21							
23	BA .0	156										
24 25	UD 0.	121 //.8	U									
26	KK DET	'E10										
27	KO	5 0	0	0	21							
28	KM DE	TENTION BAS	IN DESIGN	FOR 1-I	NCH, 6-Н	OUR STORM	EVENT,	HELD FC	R 96 HOUI	RS		
30	KM PO KM 1.	30 INCH ORI	FICE TO E	LEVATION	701.0;	2.9 INCH	ORIFICE	TO ELEV	ATION 70	2.3		
31	KM 15	-INCH ORIFI	CE TO ELE	VATION 7	04.0, 20	FOOT EME	RGENCY S	PILLWAY				
32	RS	1 ELEV	700									
33	SV	700 700 5	.293	.452	.618	.721	.791	.973	1.166	1.367		
35	SQ 0	.00 0.030	0.043	0.259	0.303	0.326	8.432	9.481	10.425	11.290		
36	ZZ											
*		*							*			*
* FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PA * JUN 1	CKAGE (H 998	EC-1) *							* U.S * HYDE	. ARMY CORPS ROLOGIC ENGI	OF ENGINEERS NEERING CENTER	*
* VERSION 4.	1	*							*	609 SECON	D STREET	*
* RUN DATE 25MAY07	TIME 14:	29:03 *							*	(916) 75	6-1104	*
* *********	******	*******							*******	* * * * * * * * * * * *	*****	**
	M	ECKLENBURG	COUNTY BM ABC ENGIN	P DESIGN EERING	MANUAL							
	M A D	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY ATE: OCTOBE	COUNTY BM ABC ENGIN R 2006	P DESIGN EERING	MANUAL	** *** **	* *** **	* *** *	** *** *	** *** *** *	** *** *** *** ***	*
	M A D *** *** *	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY ATE: OCTOBE	COUNTY BM ABC ENGIN R 2006 *** *** *	P DESIGN EERING ** *** *	MANUAL ** *** *	** *** **	* *** **	* *** *	** *** *	** *** *** *	** *** *** *** **	*
···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···	M A D *** *** * *	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY ATE: OCTOBE	COUNTY BM ABC ENGIN R 2006 *** *** *	P DESIGN EERING ** *** *	MANUAL ** *** *	** *** **	* *** **	* *** *	** *** *	** *** *** *	** *** *** *** **	*
**************************************	M A D *** *** * * *	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY ATE: OCTOBE ** *** ***	COUNTY BM ABC ENGIN R 2006 *** *** *	P DESIGN EERING ** *** *	MANUAL	** *** **	* *** **	* *** *	** *** *	** *** *** *	** *** *** *** **	*
**************************************	M A D *** *** * * * * *	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY ATE: OCTOBE	COUNTY BM ABC ENGIN R 2006 *** *** *	P DESIGN EERING ** *** *	MANUAL ** *** *	** *** **	* *** **	* *** *	** *** *	** *** *** *		*
20 KK * POST1	M A D **** *** * * * * * *	IECKLENBURG NALYZED BY ATE: OCTOBE	COUNTY BM ABC ENGIN R 2006 *** *** *	P DESIGN EERING ** *** *	MANUAL ** *** *	** *** **	* *** **	* *** *	** *** *			*
20 KK * POSTI * * TOTAL RAINFALL =	M A D *** *** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	IECKLENBURG NALYZED BY AATE: OCTOBE ** *** *** **********	COUNTY BM ABC ENGIN R 2006 *** *** * ******** 2.20,	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * *******	MANUAL ** *** * ********	** *** ** ********* 2.18	* *** **	* *** *	** *** *			*
20 KK POSTI TOTAL RAINFALL =	M A D *** *** * * * * * 4.38, TO	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY NATE: OCTOBE ** *** *** *** TAL LOSS =	COUNTY BM ABC ENGIN R 2006 *** *** * 2.20, FL	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * TOTAL EX RU OW IN CU	MANUAL ** *** * CESS = NOFF SUM BIC FEET	** *** ** 2.18 MARY PER SECC	* *** ** ********	* *** *	** *** **			*
20 KK * POSTI * TOTAL RAINFALL =	M A D **** *** * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY WATE: OCTOBE ** *** *** **************************	COUNTY EM ABC ENGIN R 2006 *** *** * 2.20, FL TIME	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * ******** TOTAL EX RU OW IN CU IN HOURS	MANUAL ** *** * CESS = COFF SUM BIC FEET , AREA	** *** ** 2.18 MARY PER SECC IN SQUARE	* *** ** ******** ND : MILES	* *** *	** *** *			*
20 KK * POSTI * TOTAL RAINFALL =	M A D **** * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY NATE: OCTOBE ** *** *** TAL LOSS = PEAK	COUNTY EM ABC ENGIN R 2006 *** *** * 2.20, FL TIME TIME OF	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * ******** TOTAL EX RU OW IN CU IN HOURS AVE	MANUAL ** *** * CESS = NOFF SUM BIC FEET , AREA RAGE FLO	** *** ** 2.18 MARY PER SECC IN SQUARE W FOR MAX	* *** ** ********* ND : MILES :IMUM PER	* *** * *******	** *** * ********		TIME OF	**
20 KK POSTI TOTAL RAINFALL = OPERATION	M A D **** *** * * * 4.38, TO STATION	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY NATE: OCTOBE ** *** *** TAL LOSS = TAL LOSS = PEAK FLOW	COUNTY BM ABC ENGIN R 2006 **** *** * 2.20, FL TIME OF PEAK	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * TOTAL EX RU OW IN CU IN HOURS AVE	MANUAL ** *** * CESS = NOFF SUM BIC FEET BIC FEET RAGE FLO	** *** ** 2.18 MARY PER SEC IN SQUARE W FOR MAX 24 UNIT	* *** ** ******** ND MILES IMUM PER	* *** * *******	BASIN AREA	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE	***
20 KK POSTI TOTAL RAINFALL = OPERATION	M A D 	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY NATE: OCTOBE ** *** *** TAL LOSS = TAL LOSS = PEAK FLOW	COUNTY EM ABC ENGIN R 2006 **** *** * 2.20, FL TIME TIME TIME OF PEAK	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * TOTAL EX RU OW IN CU IN HOURS AVE 6-	MANUAL ** *** * CESS = NOFF SUM BIC FEET FEET RAGE FLO HOUR	2.18 MARY PER SECC IN SQUARE W FOR MAX 24-HOUR	* *** ** ******** MD MILES IMUM PER 72-H	* *** * ******* LIOD	** *** * ******** BASIN AREA	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE	*
20 KK POSTI TOTAL RAINFALL = OPERATION + HYDROGRAPH AT	M A D *** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY NATE: OCTOBE ** *** *** TAL LOSS = TAL LOSS = PEAK FLOW	COUNTY EM ABC ENGIN R 2006 	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * TOTAL EX RU OW IN CU IN HOURS AVE 6-	MANUAL ** *** * CESS = NOFF SUM BIC FEET , AREA RAGE FLO HOUR 2.	2.18 MARY PER SECC IN SQUARE W FOR MAX 24-HOUR 24-HOUR 2.	* *** ** ND : MILES IMUM PER 72-H	* *** * ******** :IOD IOUR 2.	BASIN AREA	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE	***
20 KK POSTI TOTAL RAINFALL = + OPERATION + HYDROGRAPH AT +	M A D 	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY NATE: OCTOBE ** *** *** TAL LOSS = PEAK FLOW 19.	COUNTY EM ABC ENGIN R 2006 	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * TOTAL EX RU OW IN CU IN HOURS AVE 6-	MANUAL ** *** * NOFF SUM BIC FEET , AREA RAGE FLO HOUR 2.	2.18 MARY PER SECC IN SQUARE W FOR MAX 24-HOUR 2.	* *** ** ND MILES IMUM PER 72-H	* *** * ******* LIOD IOUR 2.	BASIN AREA .02	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE	**
20 KK POSTI TOTAL RAINFALL = OPERATION + HYDROGRAPH AT +	M A D 	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY NATE: OCTOBE ** *** *** TAL LOSS = PEAK PEAK PLOW 19. 78.	COUNTY EM ABC ENGIN R 2006 	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * TOTAL EX RU OW IN CU IN HOURS AVE 6-	MANUAL MANUAL CESS = NOFF SUM BIC FEET , AREA RAGE FLO HOUR 2. 4.	2.18 MARY PER SECC IN SQUARE W FOR MAX 24-HOUR 2. 4.	* *** ** ******** MD MILES IMUM PER 72-H	* **** * ******* CIOD YOUR 2. 4.	*** *** * BASIN AREA .02 .02	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE	*
20 KK POSTI TOTAL RAINFALL = + OPERATION + HYDROGRAPH AT + ROUTED TO	M A D S M A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY ATE: OCTOBE ** *** *** TAL LOSS = TAL LOSS = PEAK FLOW 19. 78.	COUNTY EM ABC ENGIN R 2006 	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * TOTAL EX RU OW IN CU IN HOURS AVE 6-	MANUAL ** *** * CESS = NOFF SUM BIC FEET , AREA RAGE FLO HOUR 2. 4.	2.18 MARY PER SECC IN SQUARE W FOR MAX 24-HOUR 2. 4.	* *** ** ND MILES IMUM PER 72-H	* **** * ******* CIOD KOUR 2. 4.	BASIN AREA .02 .02	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE	*
20 KK POSTI TOTAL RAINFALL = OPERATION + HYDROGRAPH AT + ROUTED TO	M A D State M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY NATE: OCTOBE ** *** *** TAL LOSS = PEAK FLOW 19. 78.	COUNTY EM ABC ENGIN R 2006 	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * TOTAL EX RU OW IN CU IN HOURS AVE 6-	MANUAL ** *** * ******* CESS = NOPF SUM BIC FEET , AREA RAGE FLO HOUR 2. 4. 2.	** *** ** 2.18 MARY PER SECC IN SQUARE W FOR MAX 24-HOUR 2. 4. 2.	* *** ** ******** MILES IMUM PER 72-H	* **** * ******** LIOD IOUR 2. 4. 2.	BASIN AREA .02 .02 .02	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE	*
20 KK POSTI TOTAL RAINFALL = OPERATION + HYDROGRAPH AT + HYDROGRAPH AT + ROUTED TO	M A D State M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY NATE: OCTOBE ** *** *** TAL LOSS = PEAK FLOW 19. 78.	COUNTY EM ABC ENGIN R 2006 	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * TOTAL EX RU OW IN CU IN HOURS AVE 6-	MANUAL ** *** * CESS = NOPF SUM BIC FEET , AREA RAGE FLO HOUR 2. 4. 2.	2.18 MARY PER SECC IN SQUARE W FOR MAX 24-HOUR 2. 4. 2.	* *** ** ******** MILES IMUM PER 72-H	LIOD LOUR 2. 4. 2.	BASIN AREA .02 .02 .02	MAXIMUM STAGE 703.93	TIME OF MAX STAGE 1.15	***
20 KK POSTI TOTAL RAINFALL = OPERATION + HYDROGRAPH AT + HYDROGRAPH AT + ROUTED TO	M A D 	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY ATT: OCTOBE ** *** *** TAL LOSS = PEAK PEAK PEAW 19. 78.	COUNTY EM ABC ENGIN R 2006 	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * TOTAL EX RU OW IN CU IN HOURS AVE 6-	MANUAL ** *** * CESS = NOFF SUM BIC FEET , AREA RAGE FLO HOUR 2. 4. 2.	2.18 MARY PER SECC IN SQUARE W FOR MAX 24-HOUR 2. 4. 2.	* *** ** ******** MD MILES IMUM PER 72-H	LIOD LOUR 2. 4. 2.	*** *** * BASIN AREA .02 .02 .02	MAXIMUM STAGE 703.93	TIME OF MAX STAGE 1.15	***
20 KK POSTI TOTAL RAINFALL = OPERATION + HYDROGRAPH AT + ROUTED TO * **** NORMAL END OF HEC-1	M A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY NATE: OCTOBE ** *** *** TAL LOSS = PEAK PEAK PLOW 19. 78.	COUNTY EM ABC ENGIN R 2006 	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * TOTAL EX OW IN CU IN HOURS AVE 6-	MANUAL ** *** * CESS = NOFF SUM BIC FEET , AREA RAGE FLO HOUR 2. 4. 2.	2.18 MARY PER SECC IN SQUARE W FOR MAX 24-HOUR 2. 4. 2.	* *** ** ND MILES IMUM PER 72-H	IOD IOUR 2. 4. 2.	*** *** * BASIN AREA .02 .02 .02	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE 1.15	*
20 KK • POSTI • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	M A D V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY NATE: OCTOBE ** *** *** TAL LOSS = PEAK PEAK 1 PLOW 19. 78. 11.	COUNTY EM ARC ENGIN R 2006 	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * TOTAL EX OW IN CU IN HOURS AVE 6-	MANUAL ** *** * CESS = CESS = NOFF SUM BIC FEET , ARBA RAGE FLO HOUR 2. 4. 2.	2.18 WARY PER SECC IN SQUARE W FOR MAX 24-HOUR 2. 4. 2.	* *** ** ******** MID : MILES :MUM PER 72-H	IOD OUR 2. 4. 2.	BASIN AREA .02 .02 .02	MAXIMUM STAGE 703.93	TIME OF MAX STAGE 1.15	***
20 KK • POSTI • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	M A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ECKLENBURG NALYZED BY ATT: OCTOBE ** *** *** TAL LOSS = PEAK PEAK 1 PLOW . 19.	COUNTY EM ABC ENGIN R 2006 	P DESIGN EERING ** *** * TOTAL EX OW IN CU IN HOURS AVE 6-	MANUAL ** *** * CESS = CESS = NOFF SUM BIC FEET , AREA RAGE FLO HOUR 2. 4. 2.	2.18 MARY PER SECC IN SQUARE W FOR MAX 24-HOUR 2. 4. 2.	* *** ** ND MILES IMUM PER 72-H	IOD IOUR 2. 4. 2.	** *** * BASIN AREA .02 .02 .02	MAXIMUM STAGE	TIME OF MAX STAGE 1.15	* ***

*	JUN 1998	*
*	VERSION 4.1	*
*		*
* RUN DATE	25MAY07 TIME 14:36:13	*
*		*
*******	******	***

*	HYDROLOGIC ENGINEERING CENTER
*	609 SECOND STREET
*	DAVIS, CALIFORNIA 95616
*	(916) 756-1104
*	
* * *	*****

х	Х	XXXXXXX	XX	XXX		Х
х	х	х	Х	х		XX
Х	х	х	х			х
XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	Х		XXXXX	х
х	х	Х	х			х
х	х	Х	х	Х		х
х	Х	XXXXXXX	XX	XXX		XXX

THIS PROGRAM REPLACES ALL PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF HEC-1 KNOWN AS HEC1 (JAN 73), HEC1GS, HEC1DB, AND HEC1KW.

THE DEFINITIONS OF VARIABLES -RTIMP- AND -RTIOR- HAVE CHANGED FROM THOSE USED WITH THE 1973-STYLE INPUT STRUCTURE. THE DEFINITION OF -AMSKK- ON RM-CARD WAS CHANGED WITH REVISIONS DATED 28 SEP 81. THIS IS THE FORTRAN77 VERSION NEW OPTIONS: DAMBREAK OUTFLOW SUBMERGENCE, SINGLE EVENT DAMAGE CALCULATION, DSS:WRITE STAGE FREQUENCY, DSS:READ TIME SERIES AT DESIRED CALCULATION INTERVAL LOSS RATE:GREEN AND AMPT INFILTRATION KINEMATIC WAVE: NEW FINITE DIFFERENCE ALGORITHM

1					HEC-1	INPUT						PAGE 1	
LINE	ID.		2		4		6.	7	8.		10		
1	ID	MEC	KLENBURG	COUNTY	BMP DES	IGN MANUA	AL						
2 3	ID ID *	ANA DAT *******	LYZED BY E: OCTOB ******	ABC ENG ER 2006	\$1NEERING	ی *******	*****	*******	******	*******	* * *		
	*	TIME S	PECIFICA	TION CAP	RD	C UQUID C							
4	IT * :	DIAGRAM	COMPUTAT 0	IONAL DO	365	6 HOURS							
5	* IO	OUTPUT 5	CONTROL 0	CARD 0									
6	ĸĸ	PRE1											
	* *	********* ******	******** ******	50-YEAR	6-HOUR	STORM EV	********* VENT ***	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	*******	********	***		
_	* *	*******	******	******	*******	*******	*******	*******	******	********	***		
7	PI	.000	.016	.016	.016	.017	.017	.018	.018	.019	.019		
8	PI	.020	.020	.021	.022	.022	.023	.024	.025	.026	.051		
10	PI	.073	.103	.116	.133	.209	.260	.513	.749	.356	.231		
11	PI	.145	.124	.109	.077	.069	.063	.058	.054	.051	.040		
12	PI	.038	.036	.034	.033	.031	.026	.025	.024	.023	.023		
13	PI	.022	.021	.021	.020	.019	.019	.018	.018	.017	.017		
14	PI	.017	.016	.016	.000								
15	* *	10 3000				********	******	*******	******	********	****		
15	KO	IU-ACKE	PRE-DEV	0 0140013	.01011101	21							
17	RD	0156	U	0	0	21							
18	LS	.0100	65.0	0									
19	UD	0.378											
20	KK	POST1											
21	KM	10-ACRE	POST-DE	VELOPED	CONDITIO	ONS							
22	RU BA	0156	U	U	U	21							
24	LS	.0150	77 8	0									
25	UD	0.121	//.0	0									
26 27	KK	DETE10	0	0	0	21							
28	KM	DETENTI	ON BASIN	DESIGN	FOR 1-IN	NCH, 6-HO	JUR STOR	M EVENT.	HELD FO	OR 96 HOUE	s		
29	KM	POST-DE	VELOPED	WITH CON	TROLS	- ,							
30	KM	1.30 IN	CH ORIFI	CE TO EI	LEVATION	701.0; 2	2.9 INCH	ORIFICE	TO ELEV	ATION 702	2.3		
31	KM	15-INCH	ORIFICE	TO ELEV	/ATION 70	04.0, 20	FOOT EM	ERGENCY S	SPILLWAY	2			
32	KM	TOP OF	EMBANKME	NT 705.0	00								
33	RS	1	ELEV	700									
34	SV	1 709	.143	. 293	.452	.618	. /21	./91	.973	1.100	1.36/		
35	SE	700	700 5	701	701 5	702	702 3	702 5	703	703 5	704		
37	SE	705	/0015	.01	/01.5	101	/0215	/02.5	705	,05.5	,		
38	SQ	0.00	0.030	0.043	0.259	0.303	0.326	8.432	9.481	10.425	11.290		
39	SQ	64.847											
40	ZZ												
1***************	******	*******	****							*******	*******	************	****
* FLOOD UVDBOODADU	DACEACE	(UPC 1)	*							* 17 0	ADMY CODY	DS OF ENGINEERS	*
* .TIIN	1998	(1100-1)	*							* HADI	OLOGIC EN	SINEERING CENTER	*
* VERSION	4.1		*							*	609 SEC	OND STREET	*
*			*							* I	AVIS, CAL	IFORNIA 95616	*
* RUN DATE 25MAY0	7 TIME	14:36:13	*							*	(916)	756-1104	*
* **********	******	******	*							*	*****	*****	* * * * *
		MECKLE ANALYZ	NBURG CO ED BY AB	UNTY BMI C ENGINI	P DESIGN EERING	MANUAL							
*** *** *** ***	*** ***	DATE: *	OCTOBER	2006	*** ***	*** ***	*** ***	*** ***	*** ***	* *** ***	*** *** *:	** *** *** *** **	* ***
******	* * * * * *												
*	*												
20 KK * PO	ST1 *												
*	*												
******	*****												

.....

TOTAL RAINFALL =	4.92, TOTAL LOSS	S = 2.29,	TOTAL EXCESS =	2.62
------------------	------------------	-----------	----------------	------

FI	LOW	IN	CUBIC	FEET	PE	ER SECO	ND
TIME	ΤN	HOI	IRS.	AREA	ΤN	SOUARE	MILES

				11110 111	100100 / 11021	In ogointh in	1000			
	ODEDATION	STATION	PEAK	TIME OF	AVERAGE FL	OW FOR MAXIM	UM PERIOD	BASIN	MAXIMUM	TIME OF
+	OPERATION	STATION	T LOW	FERIC	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	AIGEA	DIAGE	MAX SINGE
+	HYDROGRAPH AT	PRE1	25.	1.08	3.	3.	3.	.02		
+	HYDROGRAPH AT	POST1	92.	.77	4.	4.	4.	.02		
+ +	ROUTED TO	DETE10	25.	1.00	3.	3.	3.	.02	704.26	1.00

*** NORMAL END OF HEC-1 ***

Table 4.2.3 Summary of Controls Provided

Control Element	Type/Size of Control	Stor. (ac-ft)	Peak Elev. (MSL)	Disc. (cfs)	Remarks
Permanent Pool	N/A	1.00	700.00	N/A	3.33 times 1-inch storm event volume
Forebay	Riprap weir wall	0.06	700.00	N/A	Two forebays, one at each major inflow point
Water Quality Extended Detention (WQ _v)	1.3-inch orifice at 700.0 and 1.00-foot tall weir	0.29	700.98	0	22% of runoff volume remains in basin at 99 hours (96 hours after center of rainfall)
Channel Protection (CP _v)	2.9-inch orifice at 700.0 and 2.30-foot tall weir	0.47	701.56	0	45% of runoff volume remains in basin at 36 hours (24 hours after center of rainfall)
Overbank Flood Protection Q ₁₀	15-inch orifice at 700.0	1.01	703.11	10	Same orifice control was designed for the 10- and 25-year storm events
Overbank Flood Protection Q ₂₅	15-inch orifice at 700.0	1.34	703.93	11	Same orifice control was designed for the 10- and 25-year storm events
Extreme Flood Protection Q ₅₀	20-foot weir at 703.00	1.48	704.26	25	Top of embankment is set at 705.

Figure 4.2.8 Schematic of Wet pond Outlet Structure

Step 15 Investigate Potential Pond Hazard Classification

The following table is copied from the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (NCDENR) to assist the design with determining the potential hazard classification. The total height of proposed embankment is ten (10) feet (705.0 - 695.0). The receiving stream system and floodplain exhibits relative wide overbanks that are not developed and are located on a greenway system, therefore the potential for downstream development is minimal. Therefore, the designer feels that the embankment should be classified in a low hazard classification. Additional discussion with the appropriate NCDENR office may be necessary.

Hazard Classification	Description	Quantitative Guidelines
Low	Interruption of road service,	Less than 25 vehicles per day
	low volume roads	
	Economic damage	Less than \$30,000
Intermediate	Damage to highways,	25 to less than 250 vehicles
Intermediate	Interruption of service	per day
	Economic damage	\$30,000 to less than \$200,000
High	Loss of human life*	Probable loss of 1 or more
liigii	Loss of Human me	human lives
	Economic damage	More than \$200,000
		250 Vehicles per day at 1000
	*Drobable loss of human life	feet visibility
	due to broached readway or	100 Vehicles per day at 500
	bridge on or below the dom	feet visibility
	25 Vehicles per day at 200	
		feet visibility

Step 16 Assess Maintenance Access and Safety Features

A 12-foot wide stable maintenance access route must be provided. The access route must be contained within a 20-foot wide maintenance access easement from the BMP facility to public right-of-way.

Step 17 Prepare Vegetation and Landscaping Plan

A landscaping plan for the wet pond area must be prepared to indicate how the wet pond area will be stabilized and established with vegetation. Diverse and native plant species designed for the littoral shelf should be used. Plan must also include an invasive species prevention plan. Vegetation and landscaping plan must include plans for the first year of operation and full maturity (i.e. 3-year duration).