

December 12, 1956
Minute Book 36 - Page 492

on petition of Mr. W. M. Butler, the hearing was not held and upon motion of Councilman Albea, seconded by Councilman Brown and unanimously carried, a new hearing date was fixed on January 2, 1957 and notice to that effect authorized advertised in the usual manner.

MAYOR VAN EVERY PRESIDES FOR REMAINDER OF SESSION.

Mayor Van Every attended the meeting at this time and presided for the remainder of the session.

HEARING ON ORDINANCE NO. 353 AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE BUILDING ZONE MAP OF THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE BY CHANGING ZONING ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT HUTCHINSON AVENUE AND KESWICK AVENUE, FROM R-2 TO INDUSTRIAL, ON PETITION OF ELECTRICAL CONTRACTING AND ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.

The public hearing was held on Ordinance No. 353 Amending the Zoning Ordinance to amend the Building Zone Map of the City of Charlotte by changing zoning on property located at Hutchinson Avenue and Keswick Avenue, from R-2 to Industrial, on petition of Electrical Contracting & Engineering Company, Inc.

The location of the property and surrounding area was explained by the Planning Director, who stated the property consists of one lot within the vicinity of the Nike Plant; is surrounded by property fronting on Keswick Avenue; property in question is now used for open storage and is non-conforming to the present zone; that to the east and across Keswick Avenue there is residential property; to the west the property is developed industrially and diagonally across the street is another contracting firm, and that the property in question happens to fall between R-2 and Industrial zones.

There was no opposition expressed to the proposed rezoning.

Mr. Richard Wardlaw, Attorney for the petitioner stated the lots were acquired for industrial use prior to the adoption of zoning in Charlotte; that it is surrounded by a fence and has the appearance of a junk yard; that new buildings will be erected to house their supplies and equipment, and the appearance of the area will be much improved. He stated there are no objections to the rezoning by the duplex owner on the adjoining property.

Decision was deferred for one week by the Council.

HEARING ON ORDINANCE NO. 354 AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE BUILDING ZONE MAP OF THE CHARLOTTE PERIMETER AREA BY CHANGING THE ZONING ON PROPERTY LOCATED ON ROZZELLS FERRY ROAD AND CAROTHERS ALLEY, FROM R-2 TO INDUSTRIAL, ON PETITION OF HELEN IRBY THREADGILL, BUSTER WALLACE AND ALLEN WALLACE.

The hearing was held on Ordinance No. 354 Amending the Zoning Ordinance to amend the Building Zone Map of the Charlotte Perimeter Area by changing the zoning on property located on Rozzells Ferry Road and Carothers Alley, from R-2 to Industrial, on petition of Helen Irby Threadgill, Buster Wallace and Allen Wallace.

Factual information as to the property and its surroundings was given by the Planning Director, who stated the property consists of several lots 130' deep by 340' wide, and is developed residentially and industrially and is part vacant. That the adjoining property is zoned for business; to the east toward Charlotte is vacant property and developed residentially, and to the north is the same.

No opposition to the proposed zoning was expressed.

Decision was deferred by the Council for one week.

HEARING ON ORDINANCE NO. 357 AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE BUILDING ZONE MAP OF THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE BY CHANGING THE ZONING FROM R-1 TO B I-A ON PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE 2500 AND 2600 BLOCKS OF INDEPENDENCE BOULEVARD, ON APPEALS OF MRS. STEWART MARTIN, JR. AND MR. GEORGE W. MCMANUS FROM THE DECISION OF THE PLANNING BOARD.

December 12, 1956
Minute Book 36 - Page 491

A regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Charlotte, North Carolina, was held in the Council Chamber in the City Hall, on Wednesday, December 12, 1956, at 3 o'clock p.m., with Mayor pro tem Smith presiding at the first of the meeting, and Mayor Van Every presiding thereafter, and Council members Albea, Baxter, Brown, Dellinger, Evans, Smith and Wilkinson being present.

ABSENT: None

Hearings on petitions to amend the Zoning Ordinance by changing the Building Zone Map of the City of Charlotte and/or the Charlotte Perimeter Area were held jointly by the City Council and the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission, with Chairman Bell and Commissioners Hanks, Sibley, Wilkinson, Toy, Schwartz, Marsh, McClure and Martin being present.

ABSENT: Commissioner Craig.

INVOCATION.

The invocation was given by Councilman Claude L. Albea.

MINUTES APPROVED.

Upon motion of Councilman Brown, seconded by Councilwoman Evans, and unanimously carried, the Minutes of the last regular meeting on December 5th were approved as submitted.

HEARING ON ORDINANCE NO. 351 AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE BUILDING ZONE MAP OF THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF PROPERTY AT THE SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF COMMONWEALTH AVENUE AND THE PLAZA FROM R-2 TO B-1, ON PETITION OF JAMES G. McLAUGHLIN AND WIFE.

The scheduled hearing was held on Ordinance No. 351 Amending the Zoning Ordinance, to amend the Building Zone Map of the City of Charlotte, by changing the zoning of property at the southeasterly corner of Commonwealth Avenue and The Plaza from R-2 to B-1, on petition of Mr. James G. McLaughlin and wife.

Mr. McIntyre advised that the property is 150' x 150' in size; is partially occupied by single family residences and vacant property; to the south and east it is developed residentially; across Commonwealth Avenue there is vacant land and going north there are two apartment houses; that the northwest corner lot is occupied by a Fire Station and on the opposite corner there is a Service Station and along The Plaza there is a general business development. That the property in question is zoned R-2, across the street the zone is R-1 and west across The Plaza the zoning is for Business.

Mr. Fred Hasty, Attorney, representing the Petitioner, introduced Mr. Tom Ruff, Attorney, who advised he represented The American Trust Company, who will purchase the property if it is rezoned for Business, and will erect a branch bank building thereon. He stated the property is no longer attractive for residential use, and the branch bank will be of benefit to the residents of the area. Mr Hasty advised that all adjacent property owners approve the rezoning and the construction of the proposed bank on the site.

No opposition to the proposed rezoning was expressed.

Decision of the Council was deferred for one week.

HEARING NOT HELD, DUE TO ERROR IN ADVERTISEMENT AND NEW HEARING DATE FIXED ON JANUARY 2, 1957 ON ORDINANCE NO. 352 AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE BUILDING ZONE MAP OF THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE BY CHANGING ZONING ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE EXTREME END OF SHENANDOAH AVENUE, FROM R-1 TO B-1, ON PETITION OF W.M. BUTLER.

Due to an error in the advertisement of the Notice of a Public Hearing today on Ordinance No. 352 Amending the Zoning Ordinance to amend the Building Zone Map of the City of Charlotte by changing zoning on property located at the extreme end of Shenandoah Avenue, from R-1 to B-1,

December 12, 1956
Minute Book 36 - Page 493

The public hearing was held on Ordinance No. 357 Amending the Zoning Ordinance to amend the Building Zone Map of the City of Charlotte by changing the zoning from R-1 to B I-A on property located in the 2500 and 2600 blocks of Independence Boulevard on appeals of Mrs. Stewart Martin, Jr., and Mr. George W. McManus from the decision of the Planning Board denying a new hearing.

Mr. McIntyre, Planning Director stated the property is located on Independence Boulevard between Waterman Avenue and Briar Creek Road and is now developed with single family homes; that behind the property is a Parking Lot. That the property is zoned R-1 and to the south and east zoned B I-A and to the north and west zoned R-1.

Mr. Benjamin Horack, Member of the Board of Charlotte School Commissioners, stated the property in question is in the vicinity of Chantilly School; that the School Board is naturally vitally interested in the areas near schools; that they have a substantial investment in school property and try to locate school away from traffic and other hazards that would affect the school child. He stated he is appearing today simply to underscore the concern of the Board, as expressed when the area was previously requested rezoned, as to what happens in the vicinity of the school and to request the Council to give consideration in passing on the requested zoning change as to its effect on the school and the children going to and from school.

Councilwoman Evans asked if the School Board filed objections to the rezoning of the Parking Lot area? Mr. Dunlap, Assistant Superintendent of the City Schools, stated no protest was made at the time.

Councilman Baxter asked if the School Board feels the encroachment of business would be a detriment to school children? Mr. Horack replied that the Board is not prone to suggest to the City Council what they do; they are simply reminding the Council that there is a school near by.

Councilman Baxter then stated that the last time the rezoning of the area in question came before the Council, the School Board was very positive in objecting to the change. Councilman Brown asked if the Board members have changed their minds? Mr. Horack replied they positively have not.

Councilman Albea stated if the area is rezoned for business, it would extend right up to the school and the only thing separating the business from the school would be the street.

Mr. C. D. Mayes, 3140 Shenandoah Avenue, stated he appeared to protest the proposed rezoning and that he represented the majority of residents in the area and the PTA of Chantilly School. He stated Chantilly School is only seven years old, and is one of the finest educational plants in Charlotte and is located in the highest zone classification; that the taxpayers have \$530,000 invested in the School, which has an enrollment of 621 pupils, representing 479 families. That to rezone the Boulevard property would virtually hem in the school with commercial property, lessening its value and sign the death warrant for one of the best schools in North Carolina, and the School Board and Chantilly PTA are unequivocally opposed to the rezoning, because of its damage to the property value and because commercial property along the Boulevard would create more traffic, congestion and confusion, resulting in greater danger to the lives of the school children. Mr. Mayes called attention to the following from the booklet published by the Planning Board in 1953, entitled "How Shall We Grow?". "The Charlotte School Survey noted that zoning should protect the investment in existing schools; for example, proper zoning should have prohibited the location of an automobile body shop and other noisy enterprises across the street from the Midwood School".

Mr. Mayes stated if the rezoning is allowed and this happens to Chantilly School, what is to prevent the same thing happening to other schools - Park Road School and Eastover Junior High, in almost the same situation.

Mr. Mayes stated that beyond the Coliseum, going east on the Boulevard there are miles of vacant frontage zoned for business without a single business house attesting to the fact that additional business

December 12, 1956
Minute Book 36 - Page 494

space on the Boulevard is not necessary. That coming into the City there is further evidence to support the belief that more business property is not needed - in all there is within the city limits, one and a half miles of frontage on the Boulevard zoned for business that is not now being used for business purposes.

He stated that the lots in the two blocks in question are only 150' to 160' deep, and if a building of any size is constructed, there will certainly be no room for off-street parking, so the customers will have to park on the Boulevard, which was built for one primary purpose, to facilitate the flow of traffic into and out of Charlotte. He asked if it would be protecting our investment of \$5,000,000.00 in the Coliseum and Auditorium by rezoning these small lots, on which only nondescript buildings and makeshift structures may be erected.

Mr. Mayes stated the proponents of having the property rezoned will say there has been a significant change in the neighborhood, which is untrue. That they will mention that a Drive-in Eatery has been erected on the Boulevard facing the Coliseum; however, the property on which it was erected was already zoned for Business when the first request for the rezoning of the 2500 and 2600 blocks were turned down by the Council.

He stated the average home in Chantilly is approximately 8 years old; that these property owners will suffer a loss of 30 to 50% in the value of their homes if the Boulevard property is zoned for business; also, that there are far more homeowners living adjacent to the Boulevard than there are on the Boulevard. He asked that they be allowed to live in their homes a little while before the property around them goes commercial, so that they may realize something from their investment.

He advised further that one of the occupants requesting the rezoning has been offered a fabulous price by a business interest for his property, something like \$20,000 for an original investment of a few thousand dollars; however, that original investment was more than repaid when the Boulevard was put through and the property owners received large sums from the City and State Highway Commission, even paved alleyways into the back of their lots.

He stated the decision is up to the Council as to whether to serve the wants and needs of the community and leave the property classified residential or cater to the whims of a very few and see them get rich at the expense and sacrifice of the homeowners and Chantilly School.

Mr. W. C. Davis, Attorney for the petitioners stated that everything around the property in question is business; that the lots are too narrow for residences and proper size yards; that to say that the school property and residential property on adjacent streets will be ruined in valuation is "poppycock", and that the City Council has no right to stand in the way of progress by withholding the zoning change.

Mrs. Stewart Martin, Jr., stated their reason for asking for a rehearing on the matter is that they now have petitions signed by 274 persons in the immediate area who are not opposed to the rezoning, some of whom are school patrons. That they are not asking for a strictly business zoning, but for B I-A, which is restricted business of a type that could not depreciate valuations; that the Auditorium and Coliseum, which are City owned, have made the Boulevard unfit for residential uses; therefore, the Council should be willing to give relief to the property owners. She stated she knew of no one who has been offered large sums of money for their property if it is rezoned, as was stated by Mr. Mayes.

Mr. G. W. McManus, 4831 Monroe Road, stated he is owner of corner lots by Coliseum Drive and has been offered \$46,000 for a 20 year lease on one piece of the property and \$60,000 for a 20 year lease on the other and the purchaser would put up his own building.

Decision was deferred for one week by the Council.

December 12, 1956

Minute Book 36 - Page 495

APPEAL FOR HEARING WITHDRAWN BY PETITIONER, MCARN & GWYNN COMPANY, ON ORDINANCE NO. 358 AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE BUILDING ZONE MAP OF THE PERIMETER AREA TO CHANGE THE ZONING ON PROPERTY AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF EASTWAY DRIVE AND SHAMROCK ROAD FROM R-2 TO B-1.

The City Manager presented a letter from Mr. Ray Rankin, Attorney, stating that his client, McArn & Gwynn Company wished to withdraw their appeal for a hearing on their petition for a change in zoning on property at the southeast corner of Eastway Drive and Shamrock Road, from R-2 to B-1.

Upon motion of Councilman Albea, seconded by Councilman Smith and unanimously carried, the request of the petitioner, McArn & Gwynn Company to withdraw their appeal for a hearing was granted, and the hearing was not held.

HEARING ON ORDINANCE NO. 359 AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE BUILDING ZONE MAP OF THE PERIMETER AREA, BY CHANGING THE ZONING ON PROPERTY ON NORTH INDEPENDENCE BOULEVARD NEAR WALLACE ROAD FROM RURAL TO B-1, ON APPEAL OF MCARN & GWYNN COMPANY AND MRS. NETTIE C. FOWLER FOR CONSOLIDATED THEATRES, FROM THE DECISION OF THE PLANNING BOARD.

The hearing was held on Ordinance No. 359 Amending the Zoning Ordinance to amend the Building Zone Map of the Perimeter Area, by changing the zoning on property on North Independence Boulevard near Wallace Road, from Rural to B-1, on appeal of McArn & Gwynn Company and Mrs. Nettie C. Fowler for Consolidated Theatres, from the decision of the Planning Board.

Mr. McIntyre, Planning Director, stated the property is located on the south side of Independence Boulevard near Wallace Road, consisting of 40 acres, 1,000' wide and 1,800' deep and is undeveloped property; that across the street there are a few scattered rural homes, to the west some business establishments and to the east two homes and a Service Station; that extending back from Independence Boulevard the property is undeveloped; that there is a residential subdivision of some 80 acres, which joins the property at one point.

Mr. Harry Hewson, Attorney, representing some of the residents of the vicinity of the property in question, stated that the property was zoned Rural by the City Council some considerable time prior to the purchase of the property by McArn & Gwynn in March, 1956; that the Planning Commission considered the petition for a change in zoning for the construction of a Drive-in Theatre and denied the change on the grounds that the "logical future use of the undeveloped property will be residential; that one residential subdivision is now in the process of being constructed and because of the noise, dust and traffic that characterizes outdoor theatres, they are highly incompatible with residential uses. That the location of an outdoor theatre would reduce the desirability of adjacent properties for their best future use; that the business zones established on the Independence Boulevard specifically exclude outdoor theatres in recognition of the fact that properties off the Boulevard will ultimately be developed residentially and would suffer from any nearby outdoor theatres."

Mr. Hewson stated further that the property to the southeast and northeast and adjoining on the west have all been planned for development residentially and that the remainder of the property near and adjoining the property in question is used for Rural purposes, except two combination stores and filling stations, both of which were constructed prior to the adoption of the zoning ordinance. He urged that the present classification be allowed to remain.

Mr. Hewson stated that his petition contains the signatures of 95% of the adjacent property owners, which brings it under the 3/4 rule of the City Council to effect the change.

The Reverend L. W. Fields, Pastor of Wallace Lane Chapel, requested that the present zoning be retained in the interest of the progressive moral and spiritual improvement of the community; that a Drive-in Theatre would promote a commercialized Sabbath in close proximity of the Chapel; therefore, he joins with the good people of the area in requesting that no change be made in the zoning of the property.

December 12, 1956
Minute Book 36 - Page 496

Mr. Kent T. Patterson, Chairman of the PTA of East Mecklenburg High School, stated he personally and on behalf of the School opposes the change.

Mr. C. B. Phifer, resident of the area, opposes the change.

Mr. M. D. Whisnant, Superintendent of Thompson Orphanage, stated that since 1945 they have been working towards a future home 540 feet off Independence Boulevard for the Orphanage, on a site near the property in question, and they are much opposed to the construction of a Drive-in Theatre nearby.

Mrs. Bruce Wallace stated they own 50 acres between the new residential development and the drive-in theatre site, and object to the proposed change.

Mr. J. W. Wilson, Superintendent of Mecklenburg County Schools, stated there are several county schools already in the area and others planned, and he urged that no change be made in the zoning classification.

Reverend Garry Horne, Pastor of Wallace Lane Mission stated the church is only 1/2 mile up Independence Boulevard; that they have a fine bunch of boys in the Mission and are much disturbed at the proposed construction of a drive-in theatre in the vicinity.

Mr. J. M. Scarborough, Attorney, representing residents on Independence Boulevard and vicinity opposing the change in zoning, stated one concern has spent \$95,000.00 for a large acreage for residential development and are now spending more money for opening streets within the development; that if the zoning is not changed the area will be built up in nice homes. He advised that the residents not only object to the Drive-in Theatre but to the circus, merry-go-round and like equipment which they understand will be placed on the grounds.

Mr. Frank H. Beddingfield speaking for Consolidated Theatres, one of the petitioners, stated if he thought the type of theatre they proposed to construct on the property in question would adversely affect the Churches and Orphanage then he withdraw his petition for a zoning change. That the company is operating 16 theatres in nearby cities and in every town the property values in the areas have either tripled or doubled. That they plan to erect a theatre that will serve 1200 cars, with exits on Independence Boulevard and Monroe Road. That the location is 404 feet above the Coliseum, that on the right of the property is a Service Station and on the other side of Independence Boulevard is the Sales Corporation and a Restaurant; therefore, there is already business within the area. That the sound from the screen is controlled to the cars and will be kept within the cars. That they will spend \$300,000.00 on the Drive-in Theatre. He presented letters of recommendation as to the operation of his theatres from the Mayor of Greenville, S. C., City Manager, Greenville, S. C., Chief of Police of Thomasville, N. C., and the Pastor of Trinity Methodist Church, Danville, Va. in which cities his Drive-in Theatres are located.

Councilman Brown asked regarding the operation of a merry-go-round, as stated by Mr. Scarborough. Mr. Beddingfield replied it is a small affair, without music, for the use of small children not older than 5 years, and the small playground will be for the same age children.

No decision was made by the Council, but was deferred for one week.

MEETING RECESSED AT 5:20 P.M. FOR FIVE MINUTES.

Mayor Van Every called a recess of the meeting at 5:20 p.m., for five minutes.

MEETING RECONVENED AT 5:25 P.M.

The meeting reconvened at 5:25 p.m., and was called to order by Mayor Van Every.

December 12, 1956
Minute Book 36 - Page 497

HEARING ON ORDINANCE NO. 356 AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE BUILDING ZONE MAP OF THE CHARLOTTE PERIMETER AREA BY CHANGING THE ZONING ON PROPERTY ON THE WEST SIDE OF PARK ROAD AT THE INTERSECTION OF REECE ROAD, ON APPEAL OF MR. R. L. MCGINN AND OTHERS, FROM THE DECISION OF THE PLANNING BOARD.

The scheduled hearing was held on Ordinance No. 356 Amending the Zoning Ordinance to amend the Building Zone Map of the Charlotte Perimeter Area by changing the zoning on property on the west side of Park Road at the intersection of Reece Road, on appeal of Mr. R. L. McGinn and others, from the decision of the Planning Board denying the request.

Factual information as to the property and surrounding area was given by the Planning Director, who advised the property consists of one lot on the west side of Park Road with three store buildings on the site, and is the only business on the west side of the street to Woodlawn Road; all other property being residential and vacant land; that the new Park Road Shopping Center is located across Park Road; that the property to the north is occupied by residences and a church; that zoning is essentially R-2 and to the north is R-1.

Mr. Bill Morrow, Attorney, representing the opposition to the rezoning, filed a petition which he stated was signed by 186 persons, urging that the change not be made; that the Park Road Shopping Center in front of the property in question is at a discrete distance from the residential area, and includes establishments of all types that are sufficient to serve the entire neighborhood; that the property owners chose this residential area in which to raise their families because of its restrictive provisions where they would be free from the influence of a transitional area that always produces delinquency in minors and detracts from the peace, good order and comfort of good homes. The petition called attention to the fact that the Planning Commission has heretofore refused to spot zone a non-conforming business in the very same area.

The Reverend Charles O. Milford, Pastor of Park Road Baptist Church, stated their property is immediately adjacent to the property in question, and he feels the change in zoning would be spot-zoning. He stated further he believes it would result in business coming into the area of a lesser high standard than the new Park Road Shopping Center, which is adequate to serve the residents.

Mr. Anthony J. Milkzarski, 1409 Bevis Drive, stated he moved to Charlotte with his family of seven girls from Detroit eleven months ago, and that he chose this area of the City in which to reside because of its good reputation and quiet homelife atmosphere and a proper place for his daughters. That the new shopping area is of a very high-grade nature and ample to serve the community, and on behalf of his family and neighbors and the 17 small children on his street, he urged that no further business zoning be permitted in the area.

Mr. Ray Farris, 1414 Heather Lane, Mrs. Leon Stacks, Mrs. K. W. Reece, Heather Lane, Mrs. Paul Barton, 1410 Woodlawn Road, Mr. J. T. Fincher, Bevis Drive, Mr. Gerald Presson, Park Road, Mr. Otis Collins, Bevis Drive, Mrs. L. E. Cloud, Jr. and Mrs. L. J. Vermillion all spoke in strong objection to the rezoning of the property, stating additional business is not needed nor desired.

Mr. William Scarborough, Attorney for the petitioner, stated on the property is the store of Mr. McGinn, another store and a bank, that the lot is 112' x 275' in size and parking is limited because of the widening of Park Road. That Mr. McGinn is the owner of the property, that he was born and raised on Park Road and is interested in the welfare of the neighborhood. That in order to meet competition from the new Shopping Center he needs to make a slight expansion for storage; that his store has been located on this site for many years.

Decision was deferred by the Council for one week.

December 12, 1956
Minute Book 36 --Page 498

PROPERTY AT 730 WEST 6TH STREET LEASED TO MR. TERRELL FRIDELL.

Mr. Alvin London, Attorney, submitted an offer on behalf of Mr. Terrell Fridell to lease the City owned property at 730 West 6th Street, now under lease to Hall Textile Machinery Company, formerly occupied by Scoggins Memorial Art Shop, for a term of two years, at \$45.00 per month.

Councilman Baxter stated that Mr. Hall is giving up the lease of the property, which he took over from his brother-in-law, Mr. Scoggins.

Upon motion of Councilman Smith, seconded by Councilman Wilkinson, and unanimously carried, the property was leased to Mr. Fridell for a period of two years, at a monthly rental of \$45.00, subject to approval by the City Attorney and City Manager

FUNDS APPROPRIATED FROM THE EMERGENCY FUND TO THE MENTAL HEALTH CLINIC CONTINGENT UPON A LIKE AMOUNT BEING APPROPRIATED BY MECKLENBURG COUNTY, AND SUBJECT TO THE CITY ATTORNEY AS TO LEGALITY.

Councilman Wilkinson moved that \$5,000.00 be appropriated from the Emergency Fund (Code 110) to the Mental Health Clinic for the remainder of the fiscal year, contingent upon the Board of Mecklenburg County Commissioners appropriating a like amount, subject to approval of the City Attorney as to the legality of the appropriation being so made. The motion was seconded by Councilwoman Evans, and unanimously carried.

RESOLUTION GRANTING PIEDMONT & NORTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY PERMISSION TO LAY SIDETRACK ACROSS WEST 2ND STREET.

A resolution entitled: "Resolution Granting Piedmont & Northern Railway Company Permission To Lay Sidetrack Across West 2nd Street," was introduced and read, and upon motion of Councilman Baxter, seconded by Councilman Albea was unanimously adopted on its second reading. The resolution is recorded in full in Resolutions Book 3, at Page 12.

APPLICATIONS FOR BUILDING PERMITS IN INDUSTRIAL ZONES AUTHORIZED.

Motion was made by Councilwoman Evans, seconded by Councilman Albea and unanimously carried, approving the issuance of building permits as follows:

- (a) Request of Godley Development Company for a Building Permit to construct an addition to their building on Lawton Road, in an Industrial Zone, for the storage of chemicals.
- (b) Request of Mr. H. C. Keith for a Building Permit to construct a garage for the repair of cars, at 417 W. 12th Street, which is in an Industrial Zone.

CONSTRUCTION OF SANITARY SEWER MAIN IN HUNTER STREET AUTHORIZED.

Councilman Brown moved approval of the construction of 855-feet of sanitary sewer main in Hunter Street, at request of Mr. J. E. Ritch, Slum Clearance Engineer for the City of Charlotte, to serve 10 family units, at an estimated cost of \$1,940.00, with all costs to be borne by the City. The motion was seconded by Councilman Wilkinson, and unanimously carried.

PROPOSAL OF R. MARRET WHEELER COMPANY TO CONSTRUCT GLASS HOUSE FOR OLD STEAM FIRE ENGINE ON SITE OF FIRE STATION NO. 9 ACCEPTED.

Upon motion of Councilman Albea, seconded by Councilman Dellinger, and unanimously carried, the proposal of R. Marret Wheeler Company to construct the glass house for the old steam fire engine on the site of Fire Station No. 9, for the sum of \$1,962.00, exclusive of electrical work or painting, was accepted.

HOLIDAY GRANTED CITY EMPLOYEES ON JANUARY 1, 1957.

Councilman Albea moved that a holiday be granted city employees on Tuesday, January 1st, in observance of New Year's Day. The motion was seconded by Councilwoman Evans, and unanimously carried.

Lillian R. Hoffman
Lillian R. Hoffman, City Clerk