	FY2024 HOPWA - Application Evaluation Scorecard	Points Allowed	Application Section
Agei	l ncy and Program Information		
	Agency described their experience in providing eligible HOPWA funded activities for the targeted population in which they will serve.	up to 5	1.1-1.3
	Agency described the benefits or results of the agency or program activities and how they will meet the focus population anticipated service needs. Agency completed diagram to demonstrate number of unduplicated clients to be served by agency.	up to 5	1.4
	Agency described how HOPWA program activities fit with agency mission.	up to 5	1.5
	Agency described the gaps in services to addressing HIV epidemic in their community and how their specific program is necessary. Agency described what best qualifies them to provide HOPWA services in community.	up to 5	1.6
	Agency described their ability to implement proposed HOPWA program and the agencies experience in serving people living with HIV and staffing levels, experience, ratios, and qualifications?	up to 5	1.7
	Agency described their commitment to serving people living with HIV and AIDS by addressing Cultural humility, Trauma Informed Services, expertise in serving their community, willingness to continue learning about topics related to HIV	up to 5	1.8
	Agency described their process for collecting, monitoring and utilizing client's feedback regarding eligible HOPWA services to be provided.	up to 5	1.9
Agei	ncy Financial & Contract Compliance	ļ.	
	Agency described strategies utilized to ensure HOPWA funds are being expended in full for the FY awarded. And if not fully expended strategies to address expend urate concerns.	up to 5	2.1
	Agency described how they will leverage HOPWA funds to provide additional services and included the source of funding.	up to 5	2.2
	Agency described the process for ensuring agency staff understands and will meet HOPWA contract requirements regarding reporting and submitting invoices	up to 5	2.3
	Agency described their process to ensure client eligibility for HOPWA services. Agency described their process for prioritizing clients when client needs exceeds available HOPWA funding	up to 5	2.4
	Agency described their timeframe regarding completing activities such as the how quickly will checks be written once a client is approved for financial assistance. Along with the process for approving a client.	up to 5	2.5
	Agency described in detail the geographic region and target populations they will serve. In addition to providing a count of how many unduplicated clients they propose to serve	up to 5	2.6

up to 5	3.1
ice area is up to 5	3.2
up to 5	3.3
up to 5	3.4
are process for up to 5	3.5
up to 5	4.1
project. up to 5	4.2
up to 5	4.3
ous fiscal year up to 5	4.4
st. up to 5	4.5
up to 5	4.6
up to 5	5.1
es within your <i>n/a</i>	n/a
cation of n/a	n/a
	up to 5 are process for up to 5 up to 5

	Application submitted by deadline.	yes 5 /no -5	n/a		
	Application include all required attachments.	yes 5; no -5	n/a		
	All Budget sections accurate.	yes 5; no -5	4.0,4.1, 4.2, 5.0		
Tota	ll Points	125	n/a		
Additional Information provided to by City					
	If currently funded agency, total amount of FY2021 funds agent (based on approved invoices).	n/a	n/a		
	If currently funded agency, total number of households served in FY2021 (as reported on monthly scorecard).	n/a	n/a		
	Agency has policies noted in the certification section of RFP.	n/a	n/a		
	Agency has completed RFP Budget Sheet	n/a	n/a		

Review Committee Committee scoring guidance	
5 points - Complete, insightful and value added response	The respondent provided a complete response indicating mastery of the topic, answered all question components and demonstrates innovative application of cutting edge approach(es) that may set a standard for accepted best practices.
4 points - Complete and insightful response.	The respondent provided a thorough response and answered all question components. The response reflects a robust understanding of salient topics and extensive application of accepted best practices.
3 points - Complete response.	The respondent provided a thorough response and answered all question components. The response reflects a general application of accepted best practices.
2 points - Acceptable response	The respondent answered the question with enough detail to compare the answer to other responses. The response answers a majority of the question components.

1 point - Incomplete response	The respondent failed to answer the basic components of the question, the answer was confusing or misleading, or the information provided does not allow for a comparison to other responses.	
0 point - No response	The respondent failed to answer the question.	