	FY2025 ESG - Application Evaluation Scorecard	Points Allowed	Application Section	
Agency and Program Information				
	Agency described their experience in working with Street Outreach, Emergency Shelter, Rapid Rehousing, Homelessness Prevention and the target population in which they will serve?	up to 5	1.1-1.3	
	Agency described how the services provided by the program adequately and appropriately meet anticipated service needs.	up to 5	1.4	
	Agency described agreements in place to link client with mainstream resources.	up to 5	1.5	
	<u>Street Outreach applicants only</u> : Agency described how they currently (or will, if funded) collaborate with other Street Outreach programs.	up to 5	1.6	
	<u>Emergency Shelter applicants only</u> : Agency described strategies in place to address long-stayers (365+ days).	up to 5	1.7	
	Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing applicants only: Agency described strategies in place to assist clients in maintaining housing once maximum funds are utilized.	up to 5	1.8	
Agen	cy Financial & Contract Compliance			
	If applicable, Agency provided explanation for not utilizing all FY2023 allocated funds. Agency provided information on current and proposed strategies to utilize remaining funding.	up to 5	2.1	
	If applicable, Agency provided information on the status of FY2024 fund utilization. Agency described methods for spending all allocated grant funds.	up to 5	2.2	
	Agency describe their process for ensuring all agency staff understand and will meet ESG contract requirements around required reporting and submitting invoices.	up to 5	2.3	
Conn	Connection to the Community			
	Agency described how they participate in the CoC's Coordinated Entry System.	up to 5	3.1	
	Agency described how staff participate in CoC's committees and/or workgroups.	up to 5	3.2	
	Agency described how they collaborate with other entities in the community.	up to 5	3.3	
Agency and Program Capacity and Stability				
	Agency described why they were seeking funds at this time?	up to 5	6.1	
	Agency described how they will fully fund program?	up to 5	6.2	
	Agency described how they will meet financial match requirement.	up to 5	6.3	
	Agency described impact on program if funding is denied.	up to 5	6.4	
	If applicable, Agency provided explanation of requesting change in City-funding level.	up to 5	6.5	
	Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing applicants only: Agency described how inspections for properties will be handled.	up to 5	6.6	

	Agency described how they maintain the confidentiality of client's personal identifiable information (PII).	up to 5	6.7			
	Agency has an approved media consent policy and described components included in policy. If agency does not have policy, agency provided information on when they expected to have a policy adopted.	up to 5	6.8			
Equi	Equity					
	Agency described how they actively solicit, honor, and utilize input from the voices of those most effected by their services, program participants and individuals with lived experience.	up to 5	7.1			
	Agency describe at least one lesson learned from the review of project participant outcomes utilizing an equity lens.	up to 5	7.2			
Que	Questions scored by City Staff					
	Agency completed the budget table listed in ESG RFP for 4.1(Projected Expenses). Agency provide requested total operation the expense and revenue budget information for the specified program including all funding sources.	yes 5 /no -5	4.1			
	Agency completed the budget table listed in ESG RFP for 4.2(Projected Revenues). Agency included all revenues, excluding revenues received from the City of Charlotte specifically to this project.	yes 5 /no -5	4.2			
	Agency completed the budget table listed in ESG RFP for 5.0(Budget Worksheet). Agency listed proposed activities and the proposed number of households to be served in addition to the cost to serve each household.	yes 5 /no -5	5.0			
	Application submitted by deadline.	yes 5 /no -5	n/a			
	Application include all required attachments.	yes 5; no -5	n/a			
Total Points		135	n/a			

Review Committee scoring guidance

5 points - Complete, insightful and value added response	The respondent provided a complete response indicating mastery of the topic, answered all question components and demonstrates innovative application of cutting edge approach(es) that may set a standard for accepted best practices.
4 points - Complete and insightful response.	The respondent provided a thorough response and answered all question components. The response reflects a robust understanding of salient topics and extensive application of accepted best practices.

3 points - Complete response.	The respondent provided a thorough response and answered all question components. The response reflects a general application of accepted best practices.
2 points - Acceptable response	The respondent answered the question with enough detail to compare the answer to other responses. The response answers a majority of the question components.
1 point - Incomplete response	The respondent failed to answer the basic components of the question, the answer was confusing or misleading, or the information provided does not allow for a comparison to other responses.
0 point - No response	The respondent failed to answer the question.