

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 413

A regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Charlotte, North Carolina, was held on Monday, March 24, 1969, at 8:00 o'clock p.m. from the Studios of WTVI, 42 Coliseum Drive, with Mayor Stan R. Brookshire presiding, and Councilmen Fred D. Alexander, Sandy R. Jordan, Milton Short, Gibson L. Smith, James B. Stegall, Jerry Tuttle and James B. Whittington present.

ABSENT: None.

* * * * *

INVOCATION.

The invocation was given by Dr. Lee Stoffel, Minister of First Presbyterian Church.

MINUTES APPROVED.

Upon motion of Councilman Jordan, seconded by Councilman Alexander, and unanimously carried, the minutes of the last meeting on March 17, 1969, were approved as submitted.

APPRECIATION EXPRESSED FOR USE OF WTVI STUDIOS.

Mayor Brookshire expressed Council's appreciation to the Charlotte Board of Education for making available the facilities of WTVI for tonight's Council Meeting.

That Council took a number of meetings into the neighborhoods last year and televised one meeting; that this is an effort on the part of Council to report to the citizens of Charlotte and to give those citizens who are interested an opportunity to see how City Council operates.

STATEMENT BY MAYOR BROOKSHIRE.

Mayor Brookshire stated city government is a challenging thing as those who sit at this table would be willing to testify; we have a lot of problems and get a lot of satisfactions out of trying to move the city in the direction we think the citizens of Charlotte want it to go.

He stated with reference to city problems in general and housing problems in particular, he would like to read the following statement into the record:

"In the eight years I have served as Mayor, Charlotte has faced many problems - some of them very difficult problems. But - and I say this with pride in our city - out of a wholesome attitude of community, solutions have been sought and found. This sense of community is one of our greatest assets. It has enabled us to make both material and human progress. Our being chosen as an "All-America City" was no accident. The good citizens of this city, including the business, civic and church leadership, earned this distinction - this honor - by working together for the common good of the city.

There is no East or West, North or South, as separate entities of the city. There are only parts of the whole - members of one municipal body. What is good or bad for any one part of our city is good or bad for the total city. Nothing could be worse for our city than division and dissention. Those who would promote distrust and enmity do our city a great injustice and a disservice.

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 414

I can't believe that anyone in the City of Charlotte would like to do ill or work against the best interests of his or her city. But I do admit that misunderstandings can and do arise in the community - just as they do in families - and that these misunderstandings can do a great deal of harm. The longer they persist, the greater the harm.

Such is the case in the present rhubarb over public housing, and unfortunately so. It is not the city's policy - or intention - to overburden any part of the city with a disproportionate share of public housing --- and we will not. Sites, acceptable to the Department of Housing and Urban Development, have been sought all over the city and the search will continue. We have been turned down on some sites which I thought should have been approved. The urgent need - and I do mean urgent need - of housing in Charlotte - a part of which must be public housing - prevent any consideration of dropping commitments on the three advanced projects for the West side area. These are not concentrated, but are widely scattered, perhaps one to three miles apart. I am hopeful that the fourth project, which is being held in abeyance, can be located elsewhere. If this can be managed, the other 800 units will represent only 18% of the 4500 new units authorized by City Council. I repeat, only 18%. But suppose all of the presently planned 924 units of public housing are built, that still represents less than 21% of the authorized total. Can anyone argue successfully that this is disproportionate?

While I am at it, let me try to clear up some other misleading inferences or misunderstandings. The city has no control whatsoever of what private developers build, as long as they comply with zoning and building codes. This applies to office buildings, low cost, medium or luxury apartments, commercial buildings, industrial plants, and even homes. Private judgements, based on economics, govern these things. The same is often true in the matter of where people buy homes. As for one part of the city being more desirable in which to live and work than another, let me say that I have lived on the East side, the West side and the South side - and I have an office on the North side. I've found neighborliness to be the same - but no costs.

The charge is sometimes made that the city neglects the West side. That's just not so. One of the first major city projects undertaken and completed during my term of office was the Westside grade separation program. Today Charlotte traffic moves easier to and from the west than from any other direction.

City government is definitely interested in doing all it can to improve every part of Charlotte, from the core out. We would like to do more, faster - and would if we had the money. I plead with all who are citizens of this fine city for understanding, patience and a sense of community. We must work together in unity and harmony for the common good of our city and all of its citizens. It will pay dividends in the future. We have a good city - an "All-America City" - but we can make it a better city. This is our challenge."

ORDINANCE NO. 164-X EXTENDING THE CITY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE BY ANNEXING PROPERTY TO THE CITY.

The public hearing was held on the petition of William Trotter Development Company for the annexation of 9.315 acres of property, contiguous to the city limits, located in Crab Orchard Township.

Council was advised the estimated cost to install suitable water lines is \$3,350.00 and the estimated cost to furnish sanitary sewer service is \$6,600.00.

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 415

Mr. Fred Bryant, Director of Current Planning of the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission explained the general principals of annexation, and then explained the subject petition.

Councilman Jordan moved adoption of the subject ordinance annexing the 9.315 acres of property. The motion was seconded by Councilman Whittington.

Mr. Ashley Hogewood, Attorney for the petition, advised this is a continuation of their present development and the development of this acreage will occur during 1969.

The vote was taken on the motion, and carried unanimously.

The ordinance is recorded in full in Ordinance Book 16, at Page 123.

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON URBAN RENEWAL AND COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT PRESENTED BY MR. GEORGE K. SELDEN.

Mr. George K. Selden, Chairman of the Mayor's Citizens Advisory Committee on Urban Renewal and Community Improvements, stated the Committee makes the following recommendations:

(1) Areas are designated for Urban Renewal largely because of their dilapidated buildings. Such areas have unusually large concentrations of unfit housing units. We recommend that City Council establish as city policy that housing in Urban Renewal Areas be regularly inspected by the Inspection Department in order to prevent unsafe buildings (as defined in City Code 5-6(c) from being used as housing.

Mr. Selden stated the Committee has gone into this and found housing of this nature which is being turned over by in-migrants to the city in the very lowest income categories; they feel this housing is unsafe and unfit to live in and by carrying out this recommendation, this would be a step forward in the elimination of unfit housing.

(2) We recommend that City Council authorize the Building Inspection Department to design and distribute forms for reporting suspected violations of the housing and building codes to agencies whose personnel frequently visit in homes during the normal performance of their duties. We recommend that employees of the City be required to report suspected violations to the Building Inspection Department and that others be requested to do so.

Mr. Selden stated those in particular they have in mind are welfare and health department workers and the like.

(3) We recommend that City Council authorize the Mayor's Committee on Urban Renewal and Community Improvement, as the citizen's participation unit of the Workable Program, to review and to make recommendations where appropriate on any new or revised activities as they are being developed by the following agencies, insofar as they relate to the Workable Program:

Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission
Building Inspection Department
Redevelopment Commission of the City of Charlotte
Charlotte Housing Authority
Mayor's Community Relations Committee
Fire Prevention Bureau of the Fire Department
Inspection Division of the Health Department
Recreation Commission

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 416

Councilman Whittington, on behalf of Council, thanked Mr. Selden and his committee for the job they are doing in this area; they have been active and they are looking at the cause and trying to find a way to resolve the problem.

Councilman Tuttle moved that the recommendations be accepted and that Mr. Selden and his Committee be thanked for their work. The motion was seconded by Councilman Whittington.

Councilman Short stated there has been some comment of obtaining from Mr. Jamison, or the proper authority, a special policy that would apply to this situation, recognizing that building inspection in urban renewal areas has some considerations different from other areas; he asked if this is available or if it is forthcoming? Mr. Veeder, City Manager, replied he is aware of Mr. Jamison's interest in increasing the level of inspections as it relates to housing; that he does not think what he is addressing himself to at the moment is directly related to No. 1 of the above recommendations.

Councilman Short requested the City Manager to expedite Mr. Jamison on this; that a policy is needed to provide such safety and help as practical. Mr. Veeder replied without question there is need for the additional staff to upgrade the number of inspections and this will be before Council as a budget matter.

Councilman Smith asked if the people who own these properties in the urban renewal areas have a time schedule as to when their property will be taken? Mr. Veeder replied this is a part of Mr. Selden's recommendations, but the Redevelopment Commission is not in a position where it can firm up timetables where it can be meaningful towards this end.

Councilman Smith stated he wants to be sure that Mr. Veeder will enforce this recommendation with some caution as it could be rather ridiculous to tell a man you are going to take his house in six or eight months, but in the meantime, you have to repaint the interior.

Councilman Smith stated once we decide the property is urban renewal, we should expedite and set a time so it will be known and the property owner can plan the economics based on this.

The vote was taken on the motion and carried unanimously.

PETITION NO. 69-13 BY LINCOLN COMPANY, INC. FOR A CHANGE IN ZONING FROM B-1 AND R-6MF TO B-2 AND O-6 OF PROPERTIES ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF CENTRAL AVENUE, BEGINNING AT CAROLYN DRIVE AND EXTENDING EASTWARD 847.49 FEET, DEFERRED.

Councilman Whittington stated he feels the petitioners have asked for too much extension of the B-1 and B-2 zoning at this particular location, and because of this he would like the opportunity to talk to more of the neighbors who will be affected on Carolyn Drive, and he moved that the subject petition be deferred. The motion was seconded by Councilman Tuttle, and carried unanimously.

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 417

PETITION NO. 69-19 BY CHARLOTTE CITY COUNCIL FOR A CHANGE IN ZONING OF A TRACT OF LAND BOUNDED BY FREEDOM DRIVE, BROWN AVENUE AND THRIFTWOOD DRIVE, DENIED.

Councilman Tuttle moved that the subject petition for a change in zoning from R-6 to R-6MF be denied as recommended by the Planning Commission. The motion was seconded by Councilman Jordan, and carried unanimously.

PETITION NO. 69-26 BY MABEL F. SEAWRIGHT FOR A CHANGE IN ZONING FROM R-12MF TO O-15, AND CONDITIONAL PARKING FOR OFFICE PURPOSES ON A TRACT OF LAND EAST OF SHARON AMITY ROAD, BEHIND FORD MOTOR COMPANY, DENIED.

Motion was made by Councilman Tuttle to deny the subject petition as recommended by the Planning Commission. The motion was seconded by Councilman Whittington.

Councilman Stegall made a substitute motion to defer decision on the subject petition until April 14. The motion was seconded by Councilman Smith, and lost by the following vote:

YEAS: Councilmen Stegall and Smith
NAYS: Councilmen Alexander, Jordan, Short, Tuttle and Whittington.

The vote was taken on the main motion to deny the petition and carried unanimously.

ORDINANCE NO. 165-Z AMENDING CHAPTER 23, SECTION 23-8 OF THE CITY CODE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF PROPERTY AT 3920 THE PLAZA.

Motion was made by Councilman Whittington to adopt subject ordinance changing zoning from R-6MF to O-6 of a lot 59' x 160' at 3920 The Plaza, as recommended by the Planning Commission. The motion was seconded by Councilman Short, and carried unanimously.

The ordinance is recorded in full in Ordinance Book 16, at Page 124.

ORDINANCE NO. 166-Z AMENDING CHAPTER 23, SECTION 23-8 OF THE CITY CODE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP BY CHANGING ZONING OF PROPERTY ON SOUTH SIDE OF WEST BOULEVARD, BEGINNING AT MERRIMAN AVENUE AND EXTENDING WESTWARD 325 FEET.

Councilman Short moved that the petition by J. C. Harper, et al, for a change in zoning from R-6MF to O-6 be denied as recommended by the Planning Commission. The motion was seconded by Councilman Tuttle.

Councilman Smith stated Mr. Harper has a lot adjacent to I-77 at the interchange and he has a business he would like to put in there and he requested it be changed to O-6; that he asked his neighbors if they would go along with him and they agreed and wanted their property zoned for O-6 also.

Councilman Smith made a substitute motion to approve the request, and adopt an ordinance changing the zoning from R-6MF to O-6. The motion was seconded by Councilman Jordan.

After discussion, the vote was taken on the substitute motion to change the zoning and carried by the following vote:

YEAS: Councilmen Smith, Jordan, Alexander, Stegall and Whittington.
NAYS: Councilmen Short and Tuttle.

The ordinance is recorded in full in Ordinance Book 16, at Page 125.

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 418

REPORT ON DESIGNATION OF CHARLOTTE AS ALL-AMERICA CITY.

Mr. R. J. Alander stated Charlotte has been designated by Look Magazine and the National Municipal League as All-America City; this recognition comes to Charlotte because of our citizen action which was taken in 1968 in solving our major community problems. Winners in this annual contest were selected from a field of 156 entries which were submitted from throughout the United States. In keeping with today's epidemic of Urban ills, each of the winners had problems or sins in the past to confess.

Mr. Alander stated an article carrying the stories of these cities will be carried in the April 5th Issue of Look Magazine, which will be out on April 1st. In the article, Look says that the winners of the All-America City awards are not being so recognized for the quality of their confessions; their citizens went beyond identifying their troubles to do something about them; the article further states that Charlotte, with fewer problems than most, has been called the super city of the southeast; it has wealth, symphonies, a good downtown, booming business, fine recreational facilities, but it also has poverty.

He stated the city wins for the constructive volunteer help its more fortunate citizens are giving the less privileged. Business brought together 50 manpower facilities to form the concentrated employment program; a \$2 million project to train and place hard core unemployables; Junior Leaguers raised \$124,000 to set up the state's first speech and hearing center for the deaf; college girls have volunteered to tutor children in the poorer sections; Charlotte in short is a good city working to make itself even better.

Mr. Alander stated Charlotte's accomplishments were presented to the All-America City jury last December 2nd in New Orleans; Mr. George Broadrick along with Perry Morgan, Editor of the Charlotte News, presented Charlotte's entry. The items presented were as follows:

- (1) Initiated study for a charter commission and won unanimous endorsement from elected officials for consolidation of city and county government;
- (2) Won legislation approval of, and in the interest of better schools, voted upon themselves the states only city sales tax;
- (3) Sought and obtained an anti-discrimination ordinance;
- (4) Formed a continuing manpower development committee to obtain summer jobs for all teen-age applicants;
- (5) Involved 1,500 people in a Model Cities Program;
- (6) Pledged \$1 million to support of excellence at the University of North Carolina at Charlotte;
- (7) Formed private corporations and acquired land for first tenants in the development of University Research Park;
- (8) Raised \$325,000 to double the size of the Mint Museum of Art; obtained \$500,000 Del-Home Ceramic collection and hosted the 13th Annual Wedgewood International Seminar.
- (9) Funded and staged the Bi-Centennial Celebration which enriched the city throughout;
 - (a) Built an amphi-theatre valued at \$250,000 for the University of North Carolina at Charlott at no cost to the University;
 - (b) Creation of a diaroma of 1500 years of history housed at the Children's Nature Museum;
 - (c) Production of a \$63,000, 55-minute film designed to stimulate broader citizen involvement and to build a better city to be broadcast over all TV stations in this city on April 1st.

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 419

(10) Raised funds and established the State's only speech and hearing center;

(11) Initiated downtown revitalization program which has produced \$50 million in new private investment to date;

(12) Festival in the Park involving 85 culture and artistic organizations, and attended by 750,000 people.

Mr. Alander stated there will be a flag raising ceremony on the Square, Thursday, March 27, at 12:10. At this time the official All-America City Flag will be presented to the Mayor. On Wednesday, April 2nd, there will be a civic luncheon at Park Center at 12:30 at which time the officials of Look Magazine and the National Municipal League will present to Mayor Brookshire the framed All-America City Certificate.

Mr. Alander stated special commendation should go to Councilman Fred Alexander for the contribution he made in presenting Charlotte before the All-America City jury and also to City Manager Bill Veeder; these two fielded some very difficult questions asked by the members of the jury.

Mayor Brookshire stated on behalf of the City Council and the citizens of Charlotte he would like to thank the full Committee of nine who made the presentation of Charlotte's case in New Orleans.

Councilman Smith stated he is delighted that Charlotte has been designated the All-America City; he thinks it is great; but in all the recognitions this Council has not received proper recognition; that most of these things were accomplished by a hard working Council and Mayor. Mayor Brookshire stated he believes the City Government has been recognized and given considerable credit in this area, but he does not disagree with him that this Council does deserve a lot of credit. But this must recognize not only what city government has done but the coalition of local government, business and citizens at large have been able to do. Councilman Smith stated it has been every citizen in this town and their governing bodies and the people have worked hard in this; that he thinks all the citizens are responsible.

Mayor Brookshire stated in the last several days we have received a number of letters and telegrams from all over the United States and he has brought two telegrams tonight to read into the record, one from the President of the United States and the other from the Governor of North Carolina:

"My warmest congratulations to you and your citizens on being chosen for the All-America Cities Award by Look Magazine and the National Municipal League. You have earned this honor by moving decisively and energetically - mobilizing local resources - to meet the problems of your city. The quality of life in our urban areas, where 70 percent of Americans now live, will depend on the courage and imagination of local citizens, working through public and private institutions; with the powerful support of local leaders.

Signed: Richard Nixon"

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 420

"My congratulations to Charlotte on being named an All-America City. The award recognizes not only the Queen City's worthy achievements of the past but its sound aspirations for greater progress in the future. I express on behalf of all North Carolinians everywhere the pride we all feel that our State's largest city has received this outstanding national recognition.

Signed: Governor Bob Scott"

PETITION NO. 69-24 BY MARY LOUISE DAVIDSON AND ALICE DAVIDSON ABLER FOR CHANGE IN ZONING FROM I-1 TO O-6 OF A 13.45 ACRE TRACT OF LAND ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF FREW ROAD, BEGINNING AT CRAIGHEAD ROAD, DEFERRED FOR FURTHER STUDY.

Upon motion of Councilman Tuttle, seconded by Councilman Alexander, and unanimously carried, action on subject petition was deferred pending further study by the Planning Commission.

PETITION NO. 69-25 BY JAMES RIVER REALTY CORPORATION FOR A CHANGE IN ZONING FROM B-1 TO B-2 OF A TRACT OF LAND 144' x 122' AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF EASTWAY DRIVE AND FRONTENACE AVENUE, DEFERRED FOR FURTHER STUDY.

Councilman Jordan moved that decision on subject petition be deferred pending further study by the Planning Commission. The motion was seconded by Councilman Alexander, and carried unanimously.

PETITION NO. 69-27 BY HENRY L. HARKEY FOR A CHANGE IN ZONING FROM R-9 TO R-6MF OF A 16.80 ACRE TRACT OF LAND ON THE EAST SIDE OF NATIONS FORD ROAD, BEGINNING 943 FEET NORTH OF ARROWOOD ROAD, DEFERRED.

Motion was made by Councilman Stegall, seconded by Councilman Whittington and unanimously carried, to defer decision on subject petition until Councilman Stegall has an opportunity to see the property.

ORDINANCE NO. 167-Z AMENDING CHAPTER 23, SECTION 23-8 OF THE CITY CODE AMENDING THE ZONING BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF A TRACT OF LAND ON THE EAST SIDE OF EASTWAY DRIVE, BEGINNING NEAR BISCAYNE DRIVE AND EXTENDING NORTHWARD.

Upon motion of Councilman Whittington, seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried, the subject ordinance was adopted changing zoning from R-6MF to O-6 of a 9.22 acre tract of land on the east side of Eastway Drive, beginning near Biscayne Drive and extending northward.

The ordinance is recorded in full in Ordinance Book 16, at Page 126.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE TO FILE AN APPLICATION WITH THE HOUSING ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION FOR A PROGRAM RESERVATION OF 2,500 DWELLING UNITS AND A PRELIMINARY LOAN IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,000,000.00.

Motion was made by Councilman Alexander, seconded by Councilman Short, and unanimously carried, to adopt subject resolution.

The resolution is recorded in full in Resolutions Book 6, at Page 276.

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 421

Later in the meeting, Councilman Smith stated he wants it understood with the Housing Authority that they come to Council early in the consideration of the locations and number of units so that Council can be on top of this the next time around, and Council will not be faced with the problem it is faced with today.

Mayor Brookshire replied after the recent discussions with the Charlotte Housing Authority, that they no doubt will want to bring this to Council.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE REFUND OF CERTAIN TAXES IN THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$12.50 TO BRIER'S CONSTRUCTION COMPANY WHICH WERE COLLECTED THROUGH CLERICAL ERROR.

Councilman Jordan moved approval of subject resolution authorizing the refund of certain taxes in the total amount of \$12.50 to Brier's Construction Company which were collected through clerical error. The motion was seconded by Councilman Whittington, and carried unanimously.

The resolution is recorded in full in Resolutions Book 6, at Page 277.

ORDINANCE NO. 168-X ORDERING THE DEMOLITION AND REMOVAL OF A DWELLING AT 222 EAST KINGSTON AVENUE PURSUANT TO THE HOUSING CODE OF THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE, AND ARTICLE 15, CHAPTER 160, OF THE GENERAL STATUTES OF NORTH CAROLINA.

Upon motion of Councilman Whittington, seconded by Councilman Stegall, and unanimously carried, subject ordinance was adopted ordering the demolition and removal of a dwelling at 222 East Kingston Avenue pursuant to the Housing Code of the City of Charlotte and Article 15, Chapter 160, of the General Statutes of North Carolina.

The ordinance is recorded in full in Ordinance Book 16, at Page 127.

CONTRACT WITH TYVOLA MALL, INCORPORATED FOR THE INSTALLATION OF SEWER TRUNK IN TYVOLA DRIVE, APPROVED.

Motion was made by Councilman Short to approve a contract with Tyvola Mall, Incorporated for the installation of 360 feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer trunk in Tyvola Drive, inside the city limits, at an estimated cost of \$3,915.00, with all cost of construction to be borne by the applicant whose deposit in the full amount has been received and will be refunded as per terms of the agreement. The motion was seconded by Councilman Tuttle and carried unanimously.

APPRAISAL CONTRACT WITH T. R. LAWING, APPROVED.

Councilman Tuttle moved approval of subject appraisal contract for appraisal of one parcel of land for the Northwest Expressway sanitary sewer relocation. The motion was seconded by Councilman Whittington and carried unanimously.

Councilman Smith requested the City Manager to bring Council up to date on the cost of the appraisals.

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 422

EXPLANATION OF APPLICATION FOR AN URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION GRANT.

Council was advised the purpose of the subject grant is to assist the City in financing a study of the public transportation system in low income neighborhoods of the City; that Mr. Herman Hoose, Traffic Engineer, is present to explain the grant to Council.

Mr. Hoose stated Council has received the outline of the transportation study for the low income areas; it will also be a pilot study for a survey/which the federal government, state highway and the city will be involved in 1969-70-an origin destination study. That the pilot study will be used for the low income areas to determine some of the questions for the overall transportation need.

Some of the points that will be gone into are: the existing transportation in the areas and if anything can be done to set up different headways, different schedules for major employment education centers, to health, and for other transportation as far as social; if special routing, or special pick-up points are needed, circumferential routing or connection within this area.

Mr. Hoose stated the study is a combined study between the federal government and City of Charlotte, and the City Coach Company will participate in this pilot study; this is not an over-all transportation plan and will not encompass the whole city; it is for the low-income areas only.

Councilman Whittington asked where Mr. Joe Grier and his Committee on the Social Planning Council stand on this proposal as it relates to this particular study? Councilman Alexander replied this particular study has no particular bearing on the major report submitted by the Social Planning Council; this study grows out of the needs of the Model Cities program in conjunction with a total need for transportation study in these particular areas.

Councilman Short stated from information furnished Council, he is sure this has a direct bearing on the employment of those, certainly in the Model Cities Area.

Councilman Short moved the adoption of a resolution authorizing the City Manager to prepare and submit to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development an application for an urban mass transportation grant in the amount of \$24,780.00. The motion was seconded by Councilman Jordan.

Councilman Tuttle asked if this is related down to the City in dollars to \$6,195.00; is this the one where the bus company will pay half of the \$12,000.00? Mr. Veeder, City Manager, replied the last time this was discussed, Council asked that they go back and discuss it further with the bus company; that he and Mr. Hoose have met with Mr. Morrow, President of the Bus Company, and he has agreed they are anxious to have this type of study done.

Councilman Whittington stated he does not want to be put in the position of voting for or against this study; that it is an ambiguous report and one that he does not understand, and he asked that it be delayed until such time as he could get answers to questions he has.

Councilman Smith made a substitute motion to postpone the resolution for one week. The motion was seconded by Councilman Whittington.

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 423

For the record, Councilman Alexander stated almost two years ago he raised the question of the need for a transportation study, but it got no consideration; if we had taken care of the proposal at that time, we would have been far on the road to getting information that this study now will produce and we would not be in a bind. Sometimes we put ourselves in this position by not giving foresight to some of these matters and could save a lot of time.

The vote was taken on the substitute motion and carried unanimously.

RIGHT OF WAY AGREEMENTS AUTHORIZED.

Motion was made by Councilman Tuttle, seconded by Councilman Whittington, and unanimously carried, to approve the following rights of way agreements:

- (a) Agreement with State Highway Commission for the installation of water mains in Plaza Road, Milton Road, Tipperary Street, Shamrock Drive and Sharon Amity Road, in connection with the construction of a 20-inch cast iron distribution system water main between Eastway Drive and North Independence Boulevard.
- (b) Agreement with the Norfolk and Southern for the installation of a 20-inch diameter cast iron water distribution main beneath the tracks of the Norfolk and Southern Railway at Tipperary Street.
- (c) Agreement with State Highway Commission to construct an 8-inch sanitary sewer line with two manholes within the right-of-way of South Boulevard at Briarbend Drive for the proposed sanitary sewer line to serve Hudson Oil Company.

PROPERTY TRANSACTION AUTHORIZED.

Councilman Jordan moved approval of the acquisition of 8,150 square feet of undeveloped land off Eastway Drive, from Thomas & Howard, Incorporated, at \$275.00 for sanitary sewer easement to serve General Motors. The motion was seconded by Councilman Whittington, and carried unanimously.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONDEMNATION PROCEEDINGS FOR ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY OF Z. E. HARGETT AND WIFE, LULA C., AT 529 WEST FOURTH STREET, FOR THE WEST THIRD AND FOURTH STREET CONNECTOR.

Upon motion of Councilman Alexander, seconded by Councilman Whittington, and unanimously carried, the subject resolution was approved authorizing condemnation proceedings for acquisition of property of Z. E. Hargett and wife, Lula C., at 529 West Fourth Street, for the West Third and Fourth Streets Connector.

The resolution is recorded in full in Resolutions Book 6, at Page 278.

TRANSFER OF CEMETERY DEED.

Councilman Whittington moved the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute a deed with G. D. Holding and wife, Katherine H. Holding, for Lot No. 260, Section 3, Evergreen Cemetery. The motion was seconded by Councilman Stegall, and carried unanimously.

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 424

CONTRACT AWARDED INDUSTRIAL CONSTRUCTION COMPANY FOR CONSTRUCTION OF METAL BUILDING.

Motion was made by Councilman Jordan, seconded by Councilman Whittington and unanimously carried, to award contract to the low bidder, Industrial Construction Company, in the amount of \$4,819.00, on a unit price basis, for construction of metal building for storing equipment and materials at Evergreen Cemetery.

The following bids were received:

Industrial Construction Company	\$4,819.00
Joslin Engr. & Development Co.	5,523.00

CONTRACT AWARDED W. A. HEMBY HEATING AND AIR CONDITIONING COMPANY FOR CENTRAL AIR CONDITIONING OF CITY HALL ANNEX BUILDING.

Upon motion of Councilman Whittington, seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried, contract was awarded the low bidder, W. A. Hemby Heating and Air Conditioning Company, in the amount of \$10,509.40, on a unit price basis, for central air conditioning of City Hall Annex Building.

The following bids were received:

W. A. Hemby Heating & A/C Co.	\$10,509.40
Air Masters, Inc.	12,187.00
Ross & Witmer, Inc.	14,445.12

REQUEST OF WEST SIDE RESIDENTS TO CREATE A MASTER PLAN COMMITTEE TO STUDY AND RECOMMEND TO CITY COUNCIL A COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM FOR PUBLIC HOUSING TO BE PLACED ON AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION BY COUNCIL NEXT WEEK.

Mr. Eric Ritzen, representing the Citizens for Orderly Development, stated they find it necessary to come back to Council again with their petitions; that they are citizens of Charlotte and represent over 10,000 signatures from all areas of Charlotte, and represents the taxpayers, the homeowners, businessmen, educators and clergy; they feel their effort is being done in a democratic and orderly fashion and in the best way they know how. They feel when citizens are aroused to a need in the community that it is the responsibility of the city officials to listen to their plea; they are extremely concerned that no action has been taken on what they consider a splitting of Charlotte into two economical camps, and they are asking the City Council to take action.

Mr. Ritzen stated the Mayor in his opening statement said that eventually less than 18 percent of the public housing will be situated in the western area of the City; at this particular time almost 100 percent of existing public housing is in an area west of South Tryon Street and North Tryon Street; almost 100 percent of the additional housing proposed by the Housing Authority is proposed for this area; that almost 100 percent of the additional public housing, FHA, is proposed for this area. This is of concern to the people in the area and all the people in Charlotte; they feel their leadership must give attention and take some sort of action pertaining to this problem at this time.

Mr. Ritzen presented the following resolution for Council's consideration and stated he hopes Council will accept it:

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 425

"RESOLUTION TO CREATE A MASTER PLAN COMMITTEE TO STUDY AND RECOMMEND TO THE CHARLOTTE CITY COUNCIL A COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM FOR PUBLIC HOUSING IN CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA.

Be it resolved that the Charlotte City Council take the following action in regard to Public Housing for the impoverished citizens of Charlotte.

(1) Form a committee to develop a Master Plan for Public Housing in Charlotte now being undertaken by the Charlotte Housing Authority and F.H.A. Programs for impoverished citizens.

This Committee shall:

(a) Be composed of a Chairman, a Vice Chairman, a Secretary and Treasurer and will have equal representation from the north, east, south and west quadrants of the City, each having equal vote, and in addition, without a vote in the committee, professional representation, such as: architects and lawyers. All City departments and agencies shall be available with statistics, professional aid and cooperation as required without charge.

(b) This committee shall make a study of the type and design of Public Housing. Special emphasis shall be placed on providing adequate open space, architectural acceptability, and housing plans shall not have an adverse effect on adjacent privately owned housing and business property. This committee shall know the total number of such units to be required over the next five years. This committee shall determine the number of units in each project or area and shall disperse this housing in all areas of Charlotte. Dispersal shall begin immediately to other areas of Charlotte so as to stabilize the West side community and prevent the further concentration of low income Americans in one geographical area of the City. Further placement of low income housing shall not be placed west of North and South Tryon Streets until a reasonable amount of public housing has been placed in other areas. Coordination shall be established with other agencies to insure availability of educational and recreational facilities when these units are constructed.

(c) The Master Plan shall be submitted to the City Council of Charlotte for review. The Council shall reserve the right to accept, revise or reject such plan.

(d) The Master Plan Committee shall submit a budget to Council setting forth estimated expenses necessary to complete its study.

(e) The Master Plan Committee shall be appointed by the Mayor with City Council's approval, and shall be instructed to expedite its findings and recommendations so as to report to City Council at the earliest date possible.

(2) Pending the report of the Master Plan Committee, the Council will take immediate and all necessary steps to stop projects NC 3-9 (Dalton Village), NC 3-11 (Boulevard Homes), and NC 3-12 (Bullard Street) on the west side of the City. X

Furthermore, City Council shall take the necessary steps to stop progress on all plans for Public Housing Projects not under construction, whether they be F.H.A. or private, and approval shall not be given by City Council until after the Master Plan Committee reports."

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 426

Mayor Brookshire stated City Council has no power and no authority in the matter of private construction of any kind; also, these suggestions would delay the construction of some very much needed public housing.

Councilman Smith stated several things about this appeal to him; one is the involvement of the citizenship of Charlotte in a Master Plan Commission. No longer can Charlotte sweep this problem of housing under the rug; whether we go the route of high rise or the route of perimeter housing. That in this area Mr. Ritzen represents there are widows living in homes their husbands worked twenty-five years to pay for, who will be forced to sell below the market; the economic impact of this type of thing cannot be denied; the economic impact of low income housing is something that we can no longer sweep under the rug by saying we need public housing. He stated he is not sure that the vast majority of so-called impoverished citizens are so much in favor of being dispersed to the four corners of the city; that this idea as presented here involving the citizenship is a fine step in this direction. That he does not know the city's legal position in stopping this work; he does know the area he is speaking of will soon be deserted by these people.

Councilman Jordan stated there are parts of this that appeal to him also, and he likes the idea of the Master Plan; that this has just been given to Council and he would like a little time to study it; that he hopes Council will take it under consideration and will give Mr. Ritzen a report.

Councilman Alexander moved that the resolution be accepted for study. Councilman Jordan asked Mr. Alexander to amend his motion and include that the resolution be placed on the agenda for next week for further discussion.

Councilman Alexander stated he wants due justice given to it; that he sees some items that we will not be able to give an intelligent answer to in one week.

Councilman Jordan moved that the resolution be placed on the agenda for next week for discussion. The motion was seconded by Councilman Short.

Councilman Whittington asked if Mr. Alexander's motion means that we would do everything that this resolution says? Councilman Alexander replied his motion is to accept it for study; the reason for the motion is that it would be better to get it in the record rather than passing it up; that he does not mean that it would be kept for six months; but he does not want anyone to come back with a hurried report; couched within these recommendations are some very important matters; that he would want everyone involved to give the type of study that it would take to arrive at an intelligent answer; that we are all aware of the problem that exists; that he would not agree to put a week's time limit on it.

Councilman Smith stated if this were put on the agenda that Council could discuss some points on it, or maybe Council should meet for just the purpose of going into this with the Housing Authority and a representative of the FHA and really do something with it.

Councilman Alexander stated he would have no objections of putting it on the agenda if it means putting it on for discussion of some particular item that will be germane to the resolution; that he will not object to it being on the agenda as long as it will lead to a resolution.

Councilman Short stated as soon as Council discusses it he thinks Council needs some communication and some facts from the private developers - contractors, etc. - involved in these projects that are mentioned; that he thinks Council is duty bound to hear from those who might see themselves as being on the other side. That when this comes up he hopes there will be some facts from the Housing Authority or from those individuals who are involved in this; that he understands they will be seriously affected.

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 427

Councilman Smith requested the City Manager get together a package of the various facets for Council.

Councilman Short stated Mr. Dillehay is dealing with these private contractors and he would ask that the motion include that Mr. Dillehay invite these people to come to this meeting.

Mayor Brookshire stated he understands from the motion that this will be studied from all angles and all the information be made available to Council.

Councilman Tuttle stated he wants to know who the principals are in these projects under Item 2 of the resolution; who the contractors are; how much money has been spent so far; the money they have in these projects; and how we (the Council) stand legally on stopping these projects?

Councilman Alexander stated if Mr. Jordan will qualify his motion that the resolution will be on the agenda for discussion, then he will agree.

Councilman Whittington stated two weeks ago three members of Council volunteered to serve on a committee to help resolve this problem as it relates to Bullard Street; that Council was never involved in the two projects on West Boulevard; their project dealt with the Bullard Street project; at the only meeting he attended the Mayor appointed another committee with only Councilman Tuttle left on it; that he wonders if their committee is still active and if they have some responsibility as far as Bullard Street is concerned.

Councilman Whittington stated at every meeting he attended he has said to the people in West Charlotte, also to the people who have called, written letters or visited him, that Council is concerned about this problem and is doing all it can to resolve it; that it stands to reason it is a problem that affects the whole city; Council is aware of this and this is why Council is trying to resolve it. That this is something we all share; while we may not be moving as fast as the committee wishes, he thinks they have been told as far as the law is concerned Council cannot stop these projects; this is private money and land that is owned by an individual and zoned right; that he is talking about Bullard Street. He stated Council shares their concern and is trying to work this problem out as it benefits the whole community.

After further discussion, the vote was taken on the motion to place the matter on Council Agenda for next week for discussion, and carried unanimously.

Mr. Ritzen stated from the very beginning they have talked about Bullard Street, West Boulevard and Dalton Village; that these three were included in their original petition; that they are concerned with all the west side. He stated Council has accepted the Resolution for consideration next week, but in the meantime, they have asked that all the planning on these three projects be stopped until final consideration is given.

Councilman Whittington stated he does not think it is Council's intent to stop the two projects on West Boulevard, even until next Monday; that if it is Council's wishes to stop them all then Mr. Ritzen should be aware of this so that he and the people in Westchester and Ashley Park will understand; it is his understanding that Council is just delaying it for one week and will put it on Council's agenda and the two projects on West Boulevard will go right on as in the past.

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 428

Councilman Smith stated he was not at the meeting of the West Side but he was told about it and it seems the people from HUD left the impression that no contract had been signed, and that Council is the one to look to; if the man from HUD was wrong about the contract, this is what the people want to find out.

Councilman Whittington stated it is his understanding from the motion that the projects on West Boulevard are going on and will go on tomorrow and the Resolution will be considered next Monday; that as a member of the Committee and as a member of this Council, his concern has been for the Bullard Street Project.

Mr. Veeder, City Manager, stated he would ask someone from HUD in Atlanta to be present next Monday.

Mr. Ritzen stated they are told by Mr. Simms out of the Atlanta office that no contracts have been signed; there may be a moral obligation but this is all; that since other matters have been docketed and halted until clarification comes about this issue is too important to be allowed to continue; and he asked that all planning and all negotiations cease until a thorough understanding is had.

Mayor Brookshire asked the City Attorney if he has any advice for Council on the request made by Mr. Ritzen? Mr. Underhill replied he has just looked at the request in a preliminary fashion; that he has talked with the Housing Authority's counsel; that they have not done any detailed research to establish any liability the city might be in if they decide to halt these projects or what authority they would have in the first place to order the halt; that he would like time to give it a lot more thought and confer with the Housing Authority's lawyers. Councilman Tuttle stated this is what he wants an answer to; that he wants to know how we stand.

Councilman Whittington stated he thinks Council owes to this group of people of Ashley Park and Westerly Hills to delay everything for one week with the burden on Council, the Housing Authority, HUD and everyone concerned to get these answers in a week if it means working around the clock for 24 hours a day for the rest of this week, that all these answers should be resolved by next Monday so that these people will know where they stand as it relates to and with their city government.

Motion was made by Councilman Whittington to stop everything and resolve this next Monday at the next Council Meeting. The motion was seconded by Councilman Short.

Councilman Alexander stated he does not think that anyone can question his concern in this matter; that he has shown his concern before anyone else thought about it; that if they refer to the record, to the newspapers, they will know his voice has been crying in the wilderness regarding the situation in the west side of Charlotte.

Councilman Alexander asked the City Attorney if Council can make such a motion; that he wants to resolve this, but he wants to resolve it intelligently? Mr. Underhill replied he would assume that the Housing Authority would honor the request of the City Council for a halt of one week; whether or not the city has the authority to effectuate such a halt, he is dubious.

After further discussion, Councilman Whittington amended his motion to request HUD in the morning to stop these three projects - the two on West Boulevard and the one on Bullard; it would then be assumed that all the facts will be put together and Council will make a decision today week at City Hall. Councilman Short, who seconded the original motion, accepted the amendment.

The vote was taken on the motion and carried unanimously.

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 429

QUESTION AND ANSWER PERIOD.

Mayor Brookshire stated the following questions have been sent in by the viewing audience:

- (1) In reference to Item 17 on the docket, why was there no estimate on the cost of demolition on the house at 222 East Kingston Avenue? Mr. Veeder, City Manager, replied the cost was not mentioned but there is an estimate of \$700 for the demolition.
- (2) When will Charlotte have mass transportation other than bus system? Councilman Whittington replied he does not think that anyone can answer that; but it is in the future and Southern Railway's desire to save two tracks down A Street is an indication of how they are looking to mass transportation in the future. Councilman Smith stated he noticed in Chicago that they leave a large median, looking to the future for these expressways.
- (3) Has Sharon View Road zoning change been voted on by Council? Mayor Brookshire replied no; Council voted two weeks ago to postpone the item for two weeks.
- (4) Why has Mountainbrook not been annexed? Mr. Fred Bryant of the Planning Office replied the principal reason is that the city at present has no ability to provide sewer service to that area; that this is one of the necessary services the city has to be able to provide an area before it is annexed.
- (5) Is revenue from Federal Surtax being used to fund low cost housing in Charlotte? Mayor Brookshire replied he believes the Sur-charge was imposed to take care of the War in Vietnam.
- (6) If the City has a housing code why is it not uniformly enforced? Councilman Short replied that Mr. Jamison is preparing to ask for more employees just so he can enforce the ordinance more uniformly.
- (7) Are we making an effort to enforce the housing code uniformly? Mr. Veeder replied yes; that he thinks there has been some difference of opinion as to the level in urban renewal areas; that Council addressed itself to this question in part in action taken on Mr. George Selden's report tonight.
- (8) What percentage of existing public housing is on the east side of Tryon Street and how much on the west side of Tryon Street? Mayor Brookshire replied in the existing public housing on the east side we have Earle Village and Piedmont Courts with Dilworth project under construction; on the west side, we have Belvedere Homes and Southside Homes with Edwin Towers more in the heart of the City, and Fairview Homes.
- (9) What percentage of housing authorized to be built, will be built? How much actually built will go on the west side? Mayor Brookshire replied that is what Council will try to figure out next week.
- (10) Why does Council annex additional areas when areas in the City west of Graham Street do not have sewage system - the specific area is Cottonwood Street; the residents are still using septic tanks? Mr. Veeder replied he is not familiar with why there is no sewage service on Cottonwood Street; he suggested that the person who called the question in call his office in the morning and they would try to find out the answer.

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 430

STOP LIGHT OR SCHOOL CROSSING GUARDS REQUESTED AT FOURTH AND SUMMIT STREETS AND FOURTH STREET AND WALNUT AVENUE.

Councilman Jordan requested that Mr. Hoose, Traffic Engineer, make a survey for a stop light or school guard on Fourth Street and Summit Avenue, and on Fourth Street and Walnut Avenue.

CITY MANAGER REQUESTED TO HAVE TRUCKS WITH TAILGATES DOWN CHECKED BY POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Councilman Jordan requested the City Manager to have the Police Department to check again on trucks who are moving on city streets without tailgates.

CITY MANAGER AND STAFF REQUESTED TO REVIEW THE FIRST TWO YEARS OF THE FIVE YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET FOR PARK AND RECREATION WITH REPORT BACK TO COUNCIL.

Councilman Whittington stated last week Council heard from the Park and Recreation Commission, and in conference session Council agreed to have the City Manager and his staff to confer with the Park and Recreation as it relates to their Capital Improvement Budget.

Councilman Whittington moved that Council instruct the City Manager and other staff members to review the first two years of the five year Capital Improvement Program requested by Park and Recreation with such review to include discussions with representatives of the Park and Recreation Commission and such review to result in a report to Council dealing with the dollar amount to be submitted to the voters in the next bond election for park and recreation purposes. The motion was seconded by Councilman Short, and carried unanimously.

REQUEST FOR MOBILE UNIT FOR REGISTRATION PURPOSES AT FREEDOM DRIVE SHOPPING CENTER, DENIED.

Councilman Short stated he has a letter from Mrs. Hair, Chairman of the Board of Elections in which she advises that the election board has scheduled for the City Council and Mayor's election some extra hours for registration - Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday nights, March 25, 26 and 27, and Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday nights, April 1, 2nd and 3rd, and also three Saturdays.

Councilman Short stated the people from the west side have requested that a mobile unit be sent to Freedom Village Shopping Center at certain times; that Mrs. Hair advises she will do this if Council sees fit; that they would prefer to follow their policy, and if they send mobile units to one area, they have to send them to all sections with the result that approximately eight units would be sent out to eight locations at a cost of approximately \$800.00, which would be paid by the City.

After discussion, Councilman Short stated he believes what is being done already is sufficient and he so moved that no change be made. The motion was seconded by Councilman Stegall, and carried unanimously.

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 431

ORDINANCE NO. 169 AMENDING CHAPTER 13 OF THE CITY CODE BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SECTION 13-21.1 ENTITLED SALE OF OBSCENE MATERIAL TO MINORS.

Councilman Stegall stated he has given each member of Council a copy of an ordinance that would prohibit the sale of obscene material to minors and requested the City Attorney to read the ordinance and comment on the legality of the ordinance and on a test case if the City should become involved in a test case.

Mr. Underhill, City Attorney, stated he is of the opinion this would be a legal exercise of a municipality; the general statutes of North Carolina give the city the right to suppress or regulate in the interest of public morals all things detrimental to health and morals, and this general grant of power will allow the city the right to regulate the sale of obscene material to minors; that the North Carolina State Statutes are rather complete in their coverage of obscenity, and North Carolina has adopted a code covering obscenity and it makes the dissemination of obscene material an offense. He stated the subject ordinance is directed especially to minors; the North Carolina Statute regarding obscene literature is directed to all adults. He stated this has not been held to be a pre-emption of this area by the State. High Point has an ordinance that prohibits the showing of certain movies so that they can be seen from the public street; the North Carolina Supreme Court considered this ordinance in 1967 and upheld the ordinance saying that the State of North Carolina has not pre-empted any action by a city in this area and that certain ordinances would be permitted in this state.

Mr. Underhill stated it is his opinion this ordinance is constitutional, that it does not violate the 1st and 14th Amendments; the Supreme Court has said numerous times that obscenity is not within the area of constitutional speech or press; it further says that States, or cities, have the right to protect and to suppress and regulate certain materials being distributed to minors; that this is an area where the City, State or governing body can regulate. He stated they have made some changes in the ordinance from that distributed last week to restrict a violation of this ordinance to only persons, firms or corporations who sell this to any minors.

The language last week was "give, loan or in any other way place in the hands of a minor" - that language would do away with public libraries having certain scientific or educational treatises on their shelves which might be seemingly covered by the definition.

Mr. Underhill stated this particular ordinance is adopted from a New York State Statute which has been ruled upon and judged constitutional by the United States Supreme Court.

Councilman Stegall stated this would not prohibit a licensed doctor from distributing materials as they deem necessary in sexual education; it would not preclude schools from teaching sexual education in the classroom; they key word is "sell".

Councilman Stegall stated on last Monday, the Mayor and Members of Council were subjected to materials which were very distasteful. He stated there is a state law to cover this, but even though there was such a law, there was more than \$70 worth of material bought in Charlotte in individual publications two weeks ago. That Representative Sam Johnson, of Wake County, has asked for such a law and has introduced this into the State Legislature. He stated but we are not even sure it will pass the various readings in the General Assembly; if it does and is enacted into law, that is fine. Now we have two parallel laws, one for the city and one for the county; the law now says that the Sheriff will enforce this; that he is only saying that the laws on the books right now are evidently not being enforced.

March 24, 1969
Minute Book 51 - Page 432

Councilman Stegall moved the adoption of the subject ordinance to be effective upon adoption. The motion was seconded by Councilman Smith.

Councilman Short stated he has some questions about this ordinance, and he would like to get the answers from some authority, and the best authority he knows is the Mayor's Anti-Pornography Committee; that he thinks Council owes it to this Committee to have their reactions to this; that he thinks Council should be very careful about legislating against art books, the definitions of words is very difficult.

Councilman Smith stated whether the ordinance stands up in Court or not, he is in favor of it; that someone is going to have to turn the morals of this country around, and it might have to start at the grass roots like the City Council of Charlotte, North Carolina.

Councilman Jordan stated the Mayor's Committee, appointed sometime ago, worked many months on this particular situation and they gave Council a report, and he would like for this Committee to give Council their ideas on this ordinance; also, he questions whether or not this is constitutional; we do have a North Carolina law that pertains to all people no matter what their ages. That he just cannot vote for this ordinance tonight.

Councilman Alexander stated he does not think that anyone could see what Council was shown last week and not feel that something needs to be done. He stated he is going to vote for the ordinance, but how well will it be policed; will the vice squad busy itself to see that this material is not on the shelves; or will this ordinance be passed and we hope that the proper officials will look into it? Councilman Stegall replied he has been assured by the local police that they will police it and will see to the best of their ability that the ordinance is conformed to.

Councilman Short stated he is as opposed as anyone on this Council to bad taste, and he dislikes to see this on the newsstands of our All-Aermica City; but he has some reservations as to how to go about accomplishing what Mr. Stegall wants to accomplish.

Councilman Short made a substitute motion to defer this matter for one week and that it be placed on the agenda next week. The motion was seconded by Councilman Alexander.

The vote was taken on the substitute motion and failed to carry by the following vote:

YEAS: Councilmen Short, Alexander and Jordan.
NAYS: Councilmen Smith, Stegall, Tuttle and Whittington.


The vote was taken on the main motion to adopt the ordinance, and carried by the following vote:

YEAS: Councilmen Stegall, Smith, Alexander, Short, Tuttle and Whittington.
NAYS: Councilman Jordan.

The ordinance is recorded in full in Ordinance Book 16, at Page 128.

ADJOURNMENT.

Upon motion of Councilman Tuttle, seconded by Councilman Alexander, and unanimously carried, the meeting was adjourned.


Ruth Armstrong, City Clerk