<u>AGENDA</u>

Meeting Type:	WORKSHOP
Date:	06/07/1999

City of Charlotte, City Clerk's Office



Mayor Patrick McCrory Mayor Pro Tem Lynn Wheeler

Rod Autrey

Nasıf Rashad Majeed

Charles Baker
Patrick Cannon
Malachi Greene

Mike Jackson

Don Reid
Al Rousso
Tim Sellers

Sara Spencer

Council Agenda

CITY COUNCIL WORKSHOP

Monday, June 7, 1999

Dinner	Room 267
5:00 pm	Economic Development: Financial Impact of the NBA Lock-out
5:45 pm	Economic Development: Airport Terminal Advertising Concession
6:00 pm	Transportation and Economic Development: I-485 Interchange Analysis
7:00 pm	Council Redistricting Plan
7:15 pm	Adjourn
7:30 pm	Citizens' Forum Council Chamber
	Request for Council Action: Approve Council Redistricting Plan

TOPIC:

NBA - Economic Impact

COUNCIL FOCUS AREA:

Economic Development

STAFF RESOURCE:

Del Borgsdorf

KEY POINTS (Issues, Cost, Change in Policy): During the NBA lockout, City Council raised questions about the economic impact on the City, the Coliseum and the team. These questions were transmitted to the Hornets, the Coliseum Authority and UNC-Charlotte Professor of Economics John Connaughton. Now that the lockout shortened season has concluded, Professor Connaughton has compiled the information and will present his findings and conclusions to the City Council.

COUNCIL DECISION OR DIRECTION REQUESTED:

There is no Council action or direction requested. Dr. Connaughton has prepared this presentation as a courtesy to the Mayor and City Council. He will be happy to answer questions

The New Arena Committee is working to submit their report to City Council on June 28 and they have been advised of this presentation

ATTACHMENTS: None

TOPIC:

Airport Terminal Advertising Concession

COUNCIL FOCUS AREA:

Economic Development

STAFF RESOURCE:

Jerry Orr, Aviation Director

KEY POINTS (Issues, Cost, Change in Policy):

The airport currently has a concession agreement that provides for the handling of airport advertising in the terminal building. Changing the airport's current advertising concession agreement could result in the following.

- more local advertising, more local flair and identity
- more opportunities for participation by local businesses
- possible increase in revenue

OPTIONS:

- Airport can continue its existing airport advertising concession contract
- Airport could change airport advertising concession agreement to a management contract
- Airport could change airport advertising concession to an "in-house" function

COUNCIL DECISION OR DIRECTION REQUESTED:

Council is asked to concur in the recommendation to issue Request for Proposals for a management contract for airport advertising. If Council approves, staff will proceed with an RFP and come back to Council with a recommendation for a management contract in July or August.

ATTACHMENTS: None

TOPIC:

Interstate 485 Interchange Analysis

COUNCIL FOCUS AREA:

Transportation and Economic Development

KEY POINTS (Issues, Cost, Change in Policy): The study was commissioned by Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) in response to concerns raised by MPO members over the land use, location and design of interchanges along Interstate 485 The nine-month study process scope included

- 1) Review of interchange design
- 2) Definition of land use issues
- 3) Consideration of Thoroughfare Plan amendments
- 4) Assessment of other transportation infrastructure needs

There have been nine public participation meetings county wide to gauge citizen issues, concerns, and response to recommendations

OPTIONS: Not Applicable

COUNCIL DECISION OR DIRECTION REQUESTED: No direction required at this time A public meeting (scheduled for June 16) will allow citizens an opportunity to speak before the MPO on the interchange recommendations. This item will then be placed on the June 28 Council agenda. At that time, Council will be asked to direct the Metropolitan Planning Organization representative's vote on adopting the recommendations in the draft document. The MPO vote will occur at the July 21 MPO meeting.

ATTACHMENTS: None

TOPIC:

Council Redistricting Plan

COUNCIL FOCUS AREA:

STAFF RESOURCE:

Dick Black and Mike Boyd

KEY POINTS (Issues, Cost, Change in Policy):

This Workshop agenda item has been scheduled to give Council an opportunity to ask questions or discuss the item prior to taking action later in the evening.

OPTIONS: N/A

COUNCIL DECISION OR DIRECTION REQUESTED:

Council is to consider the Council Redistricting Plan after the citizens' forum

ATTACHMENTS: Request for Council Action

Request for Council Action

City Council District Boundary Realignment

Action:

195 H 35 38-589

- A. Approve the list of factors used in developing the re-districting options.
- B. Adopt a resolution approving new election district boundaries in order to maintain substantial population equality among the districts. This revision of district lines involves (1) the assignment of newly annexed residents to City Council Districts and (2) the shifting of precincts to adjoining districts.

Staff Resource:

Dick Black and Mike Boyd

Policy

The U S Constitution requires that the population of each election district be substantially equal. Annexed areas must be included in election districts and necessary changes made to district boundaries to achieve substantial population equality in each district

Explanation of Request

Council has received three (3) proposals which achieve population equality among districts and assign the newly annexed residents to City Council Districts

City Council is asked to review the factors that may be considered in re-districting and approve a list of factors

Council should adopt a statement of the factors that apply to the adoption of the revised district boundaries. Court decisions over the last several years allow the racial composition of district residents/voters to be considered in drawing new district boundaries but prohibit such considerations from being the predominant factor in drawing the boundaries of any district. By identifying all of the factors that are being considered and following those factors, Council can establish that its consideration of the racial composition of any proposed district was not a predominant factor and was properly taken into account in its deliberations. If the current statement of the factors

which may be considered by Council in re-districting is not accurate, Council should identify the missing or improper factor(s) so that an accurate statement of the appropriate factors in re-districting can be adopted

Funding:

Not applicable.

Background:

The June 30, 1999 Annexations and the increased population growth within existing districts will make it necessary to revise City Council district boundaries in order to maintain the required population balance. The population differential between the largest and smallest City Council districts should not vary by more than (10%) ten percent, when compared to the average district population. A staff proposal was presented to Council at their April 26 dinner meeting. Council received two (2) council member proposals at Council's May 24 dinner.

meeting

Attachment yes

Resolution approving district boundaries

Responsible Dept.

Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

Agenda Date Req

June 7, 1999

Consequences if Agenda Date is Delayed or Action is Deferred.

City Council Election Districts Realignments must be completed before the July 1999 filing date for Mayor and City Council

Contact Person for Questions from the City Manager's Office. Dick Black and Mike Boyd

Does this action require a Budget Ordinance? No

Does this action require an Ordinance amending the City Code? No

Is a resolution necessary? Yes

FACTORS THAT MAY BE CONSIDERED IN RE-DISTRICTING

IMPORTANCE OF THE FIRST ORDER (HIGHEST)

- Districts must have substantially equal population (REQUIRED)
- Districts should be reasonably compact (STRONGLY RECOMMENDED)
- District boundaries may be drawn to create/maintain balance between major political parties

IMPORTANCE OF THE SECOND ORDER

- District boundaries may follow neighborhood boundaries or the boundaries of areas containing residents sharing similar interests
- District boundaries may follow precinct boundaries
- District boundaries may be drawn considering the race of district residents so long as race is not the predominant motivating factor
- District(s) most likely to be impacted by future annexations may be smaller to minimize impact of future annexations on future re-districting
- District boundaries may be drawn to avoid contests between incumbents

RESOLUTION REVISING BOUNDARIES OF COUNCIL ELECTORAL DISTRICTS

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Charlotte, North Carolina

		material care care of the care care care care care care care car	
Section 1 forth in Exhibit A, atta		of the Council electoral districts are orporated herein by reference	revised as set
Section 2	That this Resolution	shall take effect June 30, 1999	
Adopted this	day of	, 1999	
Approved as to form			
Senior Deputy City At	ttorney		