

September 16, 1963  
Minute Book 43 - Page 276

A regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Charlotte, North Carolina, was held in the Council Chamber in the City Hall, on Monday, September 16, 1963, at 2 O'clock p.m., with Mayor Brookshire presiding and Councilmen Albea, Bryant, Dellinger, Jordan, Smith and Whittington present.

ABSENT: Councilman Thrower.

Sitting as a Joint Body with the City Council during the Hearing on Petitions for changes in Zoning Classifications were the following members of the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission: Mr. Sibley, Chairman, Mr. Ervin, Mr. Hanks, Mr. Jones, Mr. Stone, Mr. Suddreth, Mr. Toy and Mr. Turner.

ABSENT: Mr. Lakey and Mr. Ward.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### INVOCATION.

The invocation was given by the Reverend Edward B. Cooper, Pastor of Sharon Presbyterian Church.

#### MINUTES APPROVED.

Upon motion of Councilman Jordan, seconded by Councilman Smith, and un-animously carried, the Minutes of the last meeting of the Council on September 9th were approved as submitted.

#### HEARING ON PETITION NO. 63-51 FOR CHANGE IN ZONING OF LOTS ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF PURSER DRIVE FROM MIRAMAR DRIVE TO LECLINE DRIVE.

The scheduled hearing was held on Petition No. 63-51 by Cornice Alton Butler and L. S. Hill for change in zoning from R-9 to R-9MF of 6 lots on the south side of Purser Drive, from Miramar Drive to Lecline Drive.

Mr. McIntyre, Planning Director, advised the petition covers property fronting on Purser Drive, extending one block, and is bounded on two sides by an unopened street; the property is largely vacant, having on it two single family structures; across Purser Drive the land is developed with single-family houses and across Miramar Drive there is undeveloped vacant land and diagonally behind the property there are single family homes; a short distance south of the property there are homes under construction.

Mr. Bill Allan, of Trotter-Allan Company who are Agents for the Petitioners, stated the property is owned by Mr. Butler and Mr. Hill, who are of the same family; that they have a number of reasons for asking for the change in zoning; they are building apartments and would like to extend them out to Purser Drive; that the present zoning line cuts the block down the middle and they are merely asking that the entire block be zoned the same - R-9MF; secondly, they think it would make a better traffic pattern if they could have a street through from Eastway Drive to Purser Drive, as the subdivision is cut off with only two entrances at present; thirdly, one house is a substandard house which would be eliminated if apartments were built in place of it. Mr. Allan stated they think they can add to the tax base of the city and have a worthwhile project in place of the present substandard house. Property in this area has been largely undeveloped and has some drainage problem which they would certainly correct and leave a better situation.

Mr. Perry Goff stated he owns three lots, that he bought out there for the reason it was zoned only for residential use. A large number of apartments have already been built in the area; the people across the street object to the change in zoning, as well as those to the side of the property in question, in fact most all of the residents object and do not want any more apartments, as they think it would hurt the value of their property.

Mr. L. S. Hill, Petitioner, stated when he bought the property the only restrictions were that it be built up with \$3,500.00 homes and it has never been changed; that he sold Mr. Goff his lot about 10 years ago.

Mr. Allan asked that they be allowed to go ahead and complete their project and that the entire block be zoned one way - R-9MF.

Mr. James Cox stated he lives diagonally across Purser Drive and he expressed strong objections to the rezoning and the construction of more apartments in the area.

Council decision was deferred for one week.

PETITION NO. 63-52 FOR CHANGE IN ZONING OF TRACT OF LAND ON THE NORTH SIDE OF SOUTHERN RAILWAY WEST OF NEVIN ROAD AND EAST OF CHRISTENBURY ROAD, WITHDRAWN BY PETITIONERS.

At the public hearing on Petition No. 63-52 for change in zoning of land on the north side of the Southern Railway west of Nevin Road and east of Christenbury Road, Mayor Brookshire advised that a letter had been received by the members of the Council from the Petitioners, Mr. Oscar B. Welch and Mr. Earl P. Welch, withdrawing their petition for the change in zoning.

HEARING ON PETITION NO. 63-53 FOR CHANGE IN ZONING OF A LOT AT THE NW CORNER OF EAST 37TH STREET AND SPENCER STREET.

The scheduled hearing was held on Petition No. 63-53 by Everette J. Ligon for change in zoning from R-6MF to B-1 of a lot 60' x 116' at the northwest corner of East 37th Street and Spencer Street.

Mr. McIntyre, Planning Director, advised the property lies on 37th Street at the Spencer Street intersection and presently has a duplex and business structure on it; across Spencer Street there is residential developments, that it adjoins Herrin Avenue by the alley and the rear lines of residential lots that front on Herrin Avenue; across 37th Street there are residential developments; the property is zoned R-6MF as is all of the property adjacent to it.

Mr. John Plumides, Attorney for the petitioner, stated his client has a grocery store on the property and wants to add two rooms to it, so as to enlarge his store.

Council decision was deferred one week.

HEARING ON PETITION NO. 63-54 FOR CONDITIONAL APPROVAL FOR USE OF LOT AS AUTOMOBILE PARKING FOR OFFICE BUILDING AT 1210 HARDING PLACE.

The public hearing was held on Petition No. 63-54 by Berkeley Properties, Inc., for approval of Conditional Use as automobile parking for office building on property now zoned R-6MF at 1210 Harding Place.

The Planning Director advised the property consists of one lot on the Morehead Street side of Harding Place, and one lot removed from the Berkeley Avenue-Harding Place intersection. Presently the property is used for residential purposes and is adjoined towards Berkeley Avenue by two other residential lots; all of the adjoining property is used residentially with one exception, the property backs up to property fronting on Morehead Street which is vacant. The property is zoned R-6MF and is adjoined on three sides by R-6MF zoning and adjoins the O-6 Zoning on the other side. He stated the building would be built facing Morehead Street and property would be used for parking back to Harding Place.

Mr. Jerry Hendrick, 1131 Harding Place representing the Community Improvement Association, stated there are a number of residents of the Harding Place area present. He stated at a meeting of the Association, three resolutions were passed regarding the proposed Parking Lot at 1210 Harding Place; one protesting any access to the lot onto Harding Place; second, they petitioned the owner should there be a parking lot to fence and beautify and maintain the 20 foot strip between the Parking Lot and the street in keeping with the beautification program of the community and third, calling for a survey of the nearby residents in order to present their individual opinions here. The Opinion Survey has been made and results determined and 13 opinions were given with 8 totally opposed to the Parking Lot and 5 opposed only to access into Harding Place and that the strip be beautified. He pointed out there are numerous families in the area with small children, and a Parking Lot that would open up into Harding Place represents a serious traffic hazard, as well as being detrimental to property values. That they have already been burdened with more than the normal traffic problem in a residential zone; their community has been concerned over its future which has been reflected by a highly successful clean-up fix-up, beautification program, and they ask that Council decline approval of this rezoning as the plans now stand. Mr. Hendrick stated he has filed their petition, with map, with the City Clerk.

A gentleman stated he represents the Consolidated Corporation which intends to purchase this property and to construct the office building which gives rise to this application. The owner of the property is also present. He pointed out that they have been quite impressed with the efforts of the Improvement Association of the Harding Place area, and have made efforts to do what they think is fair in the construction of the proposed building; that they will require 38 parking spaces and in order to get the building on the Morehead Street property they would have only 24 spaces, therefore, it is necessary to use the lot on Harding Place for their parking. That a representative of the Corporation has met with Mr. Hendricks and shown him and other residents pictures and plans of how the building will look in an effort to solve any difficulties and considerable lengths have been gone to to make the rear of the building as attractive as the front. That their prime consideration of the parking lot has been the safety of their employees and their plans do provide for an exit on Harding Place, otherwise both the ingress and egress would be from Morehead Street, and this would be bad as it would mean their employees would have to cross Morehead Street at peak hours and further congest traffic. They felt if they could enter from Harding Place and come out on Morehead Street at the controlled intersection at Morehead and Kenilworth, it would be far safer. He stated further, this is intended to be a home office building and not a service building and they think the maximum number of cars using the Parking Lot now will be about 26 and some of these will be there only one day a week, and they do not think these cars will constitute any great burden on Harding Place, just arriving in the morning and leaving in the afternoon. They, therefore, ask Council to permit them this use of the property. That he understands the opposition is mainly concerned with the exit and not the parking lot.

September 16, 1963  
Minute Book 43 - Page 279

Mr. R. N. O'Hair, resident of the area under discussion, stated Harding Place is very narrow and there are lots of children on the street and they are quite concerned about that, and are also greatly concerned about the work they are doing in trying to build up the area and improve it and enjoy it, and have made great strides in this direction and are proud of the community and cannot see why they should be burdened with a business drive-in on the street; they could change the architecture of the building and have the building elongated instead of broadened and eliminate the necessity of this drive-in. He urged the Council to deny the petition.

Mr. J. H. Frickhoffer stated he lives right next door to the property in question and would object very seriously to having the exit from the building on Harding Place, as it is a narrow street, and parking is permitted only on one side. That he has no objections to the Parking Lot if an outlet is not allowed on Harding Place, and if it is allowed he thinks it is very very much out of line.

Council decision was deferred one week.

HEARING ON PETITION NO. 63-55 FOR CHANGE IN ZONING OF TRACT OF LAND ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE PLAZA AND ON THE EAST SIDE OF EASTWAY DRIVE.

The scheduled hearing was held on Petition No. 63-55 by L. L. Herrin for change in zoning from R-9MF to B-1 of a 25 acre tract of land fronting 501' on the north side of The Plaza and 622' on the east side of Eastway Drive.

Mr. McIntyre, Planning Director, advised the petition covers a rather large tract a short distance removed from the Eastway Drive-Plaza Road intersection and is presently vacant. That it is adjoined along the Eastway Drive side by property developed for business purposes, otherwise it is adjoined by land used for residential purposes. That on the west and east it is adjoined by vacant land, and directly across Plaza Road is a new residential area with duplex housing. Diagonally across Plaza Road from the property is a golf course and a single family subdivision is nearby in an easterly direction.

Mr. John D. Shaw, Attorney for the petitioner, stated there is a golf course on one side of the intersection. Mr. Spangler's duplex development is on another side and a supermarket on another corner lot. He advised that Mr. Herrin owns property up to the Railway. That within the area there are about 27,000 people and in 1980 there will be about 70,000 people and because of the population to be served there will be a need for a large shopping center which Mr. Herrin proposes to construct on the property. That the shopping center he proposes is such as Amity-Garden Shopping Center and Cotswold Shopping Center, not just a group of stores. That they have made the survey and expect to build one of the Mall-type, and with the 25 acres involved will have plenty of room for the center and for parking - and it will be about a \$3,000,000 investment.

No objections were expressed to the proposed rezoning.

Council decision was deferred one week.

HEARING ON PETITION NO. 63-56 FOR CHANGE IN ZONING OF 3 LOTS AT 211-223 NORTH SYCAMORE STREET.

The public hearing was held on Petition No. 63-56 by Belle R. Booker and Jessie C. Godfrey for change in zoning from R-6MFH to O-6 of 3 lots at 211-223 North Sycamore Street.

September 16, 1963  
Minute Book 43 - Page 280

The Planning Director stated the property is across 6th Street from the Irwin Avenue Junior High School, one half block beyond West 5th Street; the property is occupied by two residential structures; the development of property on 6th Street is generally residential; going towards the creek the land is vacant and undeveloped. West 6th Street, at the location, is unopened. The property is adjoined on three sides by R-6MPH.

Mr. Henry Harkey, Attorney representing the owners, stated as pointed out the property on one side is the dead-end of West 6th Street; property consists of three 50-foot tracts. Understand the new Expressway will have ramps, which will change the property. He advised they do not need B-1, they need O-6 zoning. Mrs Godfrey is living in the house by herself and would like to sell it; across the street is a colored Day Nursery. In order not to ask for spot zoning, Mrs Booker, who owns the adjoining lot, has joined in the Petition. And they understand there is no objection to them.

No opposition was expressed to the rezoning.

Council decision was deferred for one week.

✓ HEARING ON PETITION NO. 63-57 FOR CHANGE IN ZONING OF TRACT OF LAND ON THE SOUTHEAST SIDE OF THE PLAZA, BETWEEN E. 36TH STREET AND HERRIN AVENUE.

The scheduled hearing was held on Petition No. 63-57 by Pet Milk Company for change in zoning from B-1 to I-1 of a tract of land 290' x 494' on the southeast side of The Plaza, between East 36th Street and Herrin Avenue.

The Planning Director advised the property fronts on The Plaza and East 36th Street is presently being used by Pet Milk Company; it is adjoined on one side by the A & P Store; coming down towards town between 35th and 36th Streets, there are the Plaza Terrace Apartments and across Plaza Road from the property there are various businesses and vacant land and a church. The zoning of the property is B-1 and is adjoined by B-1 zoning with exception of on one side the zoning is R-6MF.

Mr. Dick Wardlow, Attorney representing Pet Milk Company, stated they are located on the right hand side of The Plaza going out and what they are talking about is the Old Farmers Dairy, which was put up in 1946 and has been used since then for processing, bottling and distributing milk to grocery stores. Next door is the A & P Supermarket and all around it are Restaurants Retail Stores, etc. There is no manufacturing on the property, nor is there any retailing or handling of merchandise at their Plant here. They operate subject to control and regulations of the Mecklenburg County Board of Health and it has now reached the point that the Board of Health has directed Pet Milk Company to make improvement in their facility in order to insure a healthful and sanitary operation for the public's health and general welfare. The changes were worked out, such as structural changes and material and equipment that had to be installed and when they came to get a building permit it was denied because under the Zoning Ordinance the highest classification/which milk operation can be carried on is Industrial-1 and they are in a Business zoned area. They were advised the Board of Adjustment would have no jurisdiction to hear them in this matter on appeal from the Building Inspector's refusal of the permit because their problem would basically increase a non-conforming use; therefore, their recourse was to file and appear before the Council for a change to Industrial zone. They have the Board of Health telling them what they must do, and the Building Inspector telling them they may not do it. They do not want to start an industry, they are only asking for Industrial-1 because that is what the Zoning Ordinance provides. Mr. Wardlow stated he would like to discuss the apparent differences in B-1 and Industrial zoning. To give them Industrial zoning,

September 16, 1963  
Minute Book 43 - Page 281

it has been said, will ruin the surrounding neighborhood areas; they say it will not and they believe it because no matter what you label the classification, all they are going to do is exactly what they have been doing since 1946 at this location, and it will not increase any noises, any machinery operations, any increased traffic. For each truck they have bringing in or carrying out milk, the Supermarkets around them have six trucks each, which they must have to operate their businesses. There is not more than 1 or 2 automobiles coming into their lot daily as they do not have a retail business, but next door at the A & P Store, they, of course, have hundreds of cars and on some week-ends hit a thousand cars; therefore, it is their point that to give them Industrial Zoning classification to continue doing what they have done for the past 20 years is not going to adversely affect the neighborhood nor change their operation in any way. In Business-1 zoned areas there are many more things permitted that would be detrimental from a noise, noxious odors and traffic standpoint than Pet Dairy has done or ever contemplates doing from its present location. Mr. Wardlow explained in detail the improvements and changes the Board of Health has told them they must do.

Mr. Charlie Knox, Attorney also representing the petitioner, stated he would like to introduce some of the men who have come here in the interest of their petition. He introduced the General Manager of Pet Milk Company, the Regional Manager of the Company and the Local Manager of the Company. Also, Mr. Fred Brindle, with State Health Department, Mr. Sam Ritch and Mr. Tom Bivens from the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Health Department. Mr. Bivens stated the improvements and changes they are getting ready to make at the Pet Milk Company were brought about by the Health Department, and without qualification they are as outlined by Mr. Wardlow, and are necessary to put out a quality product.

No opposition was expressed to the proposed change in zoning.

Council decision was deferred for one week.

HEARING ON PETITION NO. 63-58 FOR CHANGE IN ZONING OF TRACT OF LAND AT THE NE CORNER OF INDEPENDENCE BOULEVARD AND LAMAR AVENUE.

The scheduled hearing was held on Petition No. 63-58 by Royal Investment Company for change in zoning from B-1 and R-6MF to B-2 of a tract of land 185' x 209' at the northeast corner of Independence Boulevard and Lamar Ave.

Mr. McIntyre, Planning Director, stated the property consists of five vacant lots, with frontage on Independence Boulevard and also on Lamar Avenue; directly across Lamar Avenue there are single-family residences and apartments and down Lamar Avenue there are industrial establishments adjacent to the railroad; across the Boulevard are residential structures and a business establishment; the property is zoned B-1 and R-6MPH and is adjoined on both sides by multi-family zoning.

Mr. Bob Bradshaw, Attorney representing the Petitioners, stated that under the zoning ordinance in effect prior to the adoption of the present zoning, all of the property was zoned Office -Institution. He stated further the property has been sold to a Wholesale Florist now operating on Elizabeth Avenue, who will be displaced by the Northwest Expressway and must relocate and they have found this property to be the most suitable site within the hospital area on which to relocate. He advised each of the Council has received an Architect's sketch of the proposed building the Florist wishes to erect on the property if the zoning is changed, which building and the landscaping will be in keeping with the surrounding properties on Lamar Avenue and Independence Boulevard. That neither the number nor size of motor vehicles entering and

September 16, 1963  
Minute Book 43 - Page 282

leaving the establishment will be typical of a wholesale operation, but rather of the retail size and, therefore, this would create no additional traffic burden to the area.

No objections were expressed to the change in zoning.

Council decision was deferred one week.

HEARING ON PETITION FOR CHANGE IN ZONING ON THE EAST SIDE OF HICKORY GROVE-NEWELL ROAD, NORTH OF ROBINSON CHURCH ROAD.

The public hearing was held on Petition No. 63-59 by Joseph Machado for change in zoning from R-15 to B-2 of a tract of land 159' x 352' on the east side of Hickory Grove-Newell Road, north of Robinson Church Road.

The Planning Director stated this is a good size tract of land located on the east side of Hickory Grove-Newell Road, in the general vicinity of Marko Engineering Company. The property adjoins the rear line of residential lots in Grove Park Subdivision and a short distance away from Robinson Church Road; across the Hickory Grove Road the property is partially developed with homes; the property is presently zoned R-15 and is adjoined on three sides by R-15 zoning and across Hickory Grove Road the zoning is R-9MF.

Mr. Joseph Machado, the petitioner, stated the building on the property is presently rented to a Social Club; that the building has been occupied by various businesses, such as a grocery store, a grill, a barber shop and he wants to use it for a little electric shop and an office, but the restrictions is such that he can only use the building for a purpose it has been used before.

Mr. W. R. Huffstetler, resident of the area, filed a petition which he stated was signed by most of the people whose properties adjoin the property in question, opposing the change in zoning, people all across the back and the sides of the property with the exception of two vacant lots, which are owned by a man who lives out of town, and as he did not know about the requested rezoning until Friday he did not have time to contact this owner. He stated there have been a number of businesses in the building, and the reason they did not go over was because they could not get a beer license, and the residents want the zoning to stay as it is to prevent just such a license being given, as the property is practically in their backdoors. He stated there is sufficient space on the lot to construct a residence, and that is what should be done as it is in a residential area. That the residents own their homes and have their money tied up in them, the same as Mr. Machado has in this one lot.

Mrs Paul F. Eagle, Mr. L. G. Black and Mrs Dorothy Ayers spoke in opposition to the rezoning. Mrs Eagle stated she resides in the house across the road from the property and this is strictly a residential area, and there are no businesses in the neighborhood, and all of the residents of Grove Park seriously object to the change in zoning of the property, and all of whom will sign the Petition if given the opportunity; that they feel an undesirable business would definitely be detrimental to the neighborhood. Mr. Black stated he lives about 400 feet from the property; that they wish to keep the neighborhood residential as they have much money invested in their homes. Mr. Machado stated when he purchased the property in question, Grove Park had not even been developed and the deed itself restricts the sale of beer, which he has tried to make clear to the people who live around the property, and he has not had any complaints about the occupants of the building, and certainly would not anticipate any from the usage he now wishes to make of it. That if the zoning change is not approved he could, of course, put in

September 16, 1963  
Minute Book 43 - Page 283

the building any of the same types of businesses he has had before, but he wishes to use it for an electrical shop and office, operated on a quiet, dignified basis, that will not be objectionable to anyone, and which will be kept at the front of the building and not affect the residents who live at the rear of the property. Mr. Huffstetler stated Mr. Machado takes no pride in the property, the weeds have not been cut this summer and are head high and if the B-2 zoning is given him, it will make it wide open and he may put the electrical shop in there now, but he could change it to a junk yard or beer joint tomorrow or whatever usage he desires. Mrs Ayers stated she owns the house directly behind the property, she is a widow with three children and has worked hard to keep her house, and Mr. Machado's property has been nothing but a junk yard, with large rats and she has repeatedly had to call the County to do something about it, and has had the Exterminator out at her own expense. She urged that the property not be rezoned.

Council decision was deferred for one week.

HEARING ON PETITION NO. 63-60 FOR CHANGE IN ZONING ON THE NORTHEAST SIDE OF EAST 5TH STREET, BEGINNING AT CLEMENT AVENUE AND EXTENDING TOWARD CASWELL ROAD.

The scheduled hearing was held on Petition No. 63-60 by Laura Ross Venning, et al, for change in zoning from O-6 to B-1 of four lots on the northeast side of East Fifth Street, beginning at Clement Avenue and extending toward Caswell Road.

Mr. McIntyre, Planning Director, advised the property consists of four lots fronting on East 5th Street, between Clement Avenue and Caswell Road and is presently occupied by residences and a business on Caswell Road; across 5th Street the property is occupied by a Green House, not now in use, and a Restaurant at the corner of Caswell Road; behind the property are residences and apartments which front on Park Drive, and there are multi-family developments down 5th Street to and on Clement Avenue. The property is presently zoned O-6 and is adjoined on Caswell Road by B-1 zoning, across 5th Street the zoning is B-1, otherwise the adjoining property is zoned O-6.

Mr. Charles Henderson, Attorney for the petitioner Dr. Laura Ross Venning, stated the adjoining property is a four-family apartment, the owner of which joins in the petition for the change in zoning. He presented a sketch of the area, pointing out that the present zoning line zigzags across the property and what they want to do is to straighten the line for the reason that in the four years Dr. Venning has owned the property she has had in the building a doctors' clinic and an architect's office and has only been able to keep the property rented eleven months out of the four years; now a prominent Hair Stylist wishes to lease the property and cannot do so under the present zoning but can operate only in a Business zone. That the building is well suited for the Hair Stylist's use and the location is perfect for such a Shop because of Kings Business College, Nurses from Mercy and Presbyterian Hospitals, and several Apartment Houses are all in the neighborhood to patronize the Shop. Mr. Henderson introduced residents of the adjoining properties who had expressed approval of the rezoning.

No objections to the rezoning were expressed by the public.

Council decision was deferred one week.

MEETING RECESSED AND RECONVENED.

Mayor Brookshire announced that the meeting would recess for ten minutes at 3:50 p.m. and it was reconvened at 4:10 p.m.

September 16, 1963  
Minute Book 43 - Page 284

PETITION FOR A CHANGE IN ZONING OF TRACT OF LAND AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SHARON ROAD AND SHARON VIEW ROAD WITHDRAWN BY PETITIONER.

Mayor Brookshire announced that a letter has been received from Mr. Tom Lockhart, Petitioner for a change in zoning of a tract of land at the north-east corner of Sharon Road and Sharon View Road, withdrawing the petition. He asked the City Attorney to rule on the matter.

Mr. Morrissey, City Attorney, advised the Petition having been withdrawn there is nothing before the Council upon which to act.

Councilman Albea asked if the Petitioner can come back next month? Mayor Brookshire stated he can do so any time he puts up the usual fee for filing a petition.

Councilman Smith stated the point is if it is acted on negatively, then the petitioner cannot bring his petition back for two years. Mayor Brookshire stated that is correct and he withdrew it with the idea of probably coming back within a shorter time than two years. Councilman Smith asked if that was a loop-hole and Mayor Brookshire stated he supposes it is. Councilman Smith stated he thinks it would be well for the Council to discuss this phase.

RESOLUTION APPROVING A PROGRAM OF ADVERTISING, AUTHORIZING CITY PARTICIPATION, AND PRESCRIBING THE SOURCE AND METHOD OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS APPROPRIATED THEREFOR, ADOPTED.

Mr. J. E. Burnside, President of the Chamber of Commerce, stated they are present to explain the progress we are making in Charlotte and Mecklenburg County on our Industrial Advertising Program. That Mr. Don Bryant and Mr. Sandy Jordan, Councilmen are on the Committee and Mr. Forest Collier is Chairman, who will explain what has been done in this short period of time.

Mr. Collier stated the Committee, composed of Councilmen Bryant and Jordan, County Commissioners Craig Lawing and Al Shriver and Mr. Alander, Mr. Gilchrist Mr. Art Jones, Mr. Lucas, Mr. Ritch and himself representing the Chamber of Commerce, has been working on the Industrial Advertising Campaign for Charlotte and Mecklenburg County for three months now most diligently. That after the selection of an Agency, they met frequently to determine the theme to be adopted, the format and the publication that would be used and just how the program would be put on to the very best advantage, and the best way to portray the picture to the people we hope to interest in our city.

He stated further that the primary objectives of the campaign to be accomplished are:

1. To mark Charlotte as a major contender in the industrial development.
2. To introduce prospects to the Charlotte of today, as the base and size of Charlotte has changed a great deal in the last 10 years, and we want to ask these people "have you seen the Charlotte of today - not 10 or 15 years ago".
3. To produce bone-fide inquiries from quality prospects, people we can interest enough so we can bring them here to show them our advantages.

He stated that in selling Charlotte we will have to do practically the same thing that would be done in selling a product, that we wanted to market nationally, show its best points, the things we have to offer, where we are competitive and where we can compete with competition.

284

September 16, 1963  
Minute Book 43 - Page 285

Mr. Collier presented a large number of Advertisements that will be used in the campaign and stated they had a wealth of pictures of Charlotte from which to choose and they may possibly be switched to other pictures a little more dramatic than those shown today. That pictures of 25 Charlotte scenes will be used in the larger Ads. He stated further that in the series of Advertisements they will show many facets of Charlotte's life, portraying this is a city of action. That the style of Advertisement was adopted as they wanted to put action in it, which is an unusual style for the treatment of photographs which gives them five photographs in each Ad in such manner that it would stand out in the publication. There will be in each Ad a coupon down in the corner inviting persons to write our Chamber of Commerce for information.

Mr. Collier stated after much study, it was decided to place the Ads in the Wall Street Journal, the study having revealed that the Wall Street Journal reaches most of the corporate executives we desire to reach; also that they depend on the Wall Street Journal/<sup>more</sup> than any other paper and that industrial development advertisers use the Journal more than any other publication.

Mr. Collier stated they hope to begin the Advertisement the last week in September or first week of October, and the Ads will run through the week of June 11th - a total of 23 Ads one every other week for a period of 39 weeks, which will give us desired continuity. He stated that, including the cost of the space, making the plates of the photographs, and everything totals \$59,950.56, which is very close to the estimate. He advised he would be glad to furnish a schedule of the dates of publication and costs to anyone desiring it.

A resolution entitled: RESOLUTION APPROVING A PROGRAM OF ADVERTISING, AUTHORIZING CITY PARTICIPATION, AND PRESCRIBING THE SOURCE AND METHOD OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS APPROPRIATED THEREFOR, was introduced and read, and upon motion of Councilman Jordan, seconded by Councilman Whittington, was unanimously adopted.

The resolution is recorded in full in Resolutions Book 4, at Page 339.

PETITION NO. 63-39 FOR CHANGE IN ZONING OF TRACT OF LAND ON THE WEST SIDE OF U.S. 29 NORTH DENIED.

Councilman Albea moved that Petition No. 63-39 as submitted by Harold R. Rimer, for change in zoning from I-2 to B-2 of a tract of land 386' x 456' on the west side of U. S. 29 North, across from Highway Patrol Office, be denied as recommended by the Planning Commission. The motion was seconded by Councilman Whittington, and unanimously carried.

RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC HEARINGS ON OCTOBER 21ST ON PETITIONS NO. 63-61 THROUGH 63-63 FOR CHANGES IN ZONING CLASSIFICATIONS, ADOPTED.

Upon motion of Councilman Jordan, seconded by Councilman Smith, and un-animously carried, a Resolution Providing for Public Hearings on October 21, 1963 on Petitions No. 63-61 through 63-63, and the Publication of Notice thereof, was unanimously adopted. The resolution is recorded in full in Resolutions Book 4, at Page 340.

CONSTRUCTION OF SANITARY SEWER MAINS AUTHORIZED.

Motion was made by Councilman Jordan, seconded by Councilman Whittington, and

September 16, 1963  
Minute Book 43 - Page 286

unanimously carried, authorizing the construction of sanitary sewer mains, inside the city limits, with all cost to be borne by the Applicants, and deposits of the entire amount to be refunded as per terms of the agreements, as follows:

- (a) Construction of 150 ft. of main in Ilford Street, at request of Clarence A. Prince, at an estimated cost of \$460.00.
- (b) Construction of 1,654 ft. of main in Orr Industrial Park, at request of Orr Corporation, at an estimated cost of \$4,750.00.

RIGHT OF WAY AGREEMENT WITH NC STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION AND U.S. BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS FOR INSTALLATION OF WATER MAINS IN I-85 ACCESS ROAD AND BEATTIES FORD ROAD, AUTHORIZED.

Councilman Bryant moved approval of a right of way agreement with the N. C. State Highway Commission and the US Bureau of Public Roads for the installation of a 12" water main in I-85 Access Road and a portion of Beatties Ford Road, and an 8" main in Beatties Ford Road to serve Ervin Construction Company's new subdivision. The motion was seconded by Councilman Dellinger, and unanimously carried.

STREETS TAKEN OVER FOR MAINTENANCE BY THE CITY.

Upon motion of Councilman Albea, seconded by Councilman Bryant, and unanimously carried, the following streets were taken over for continuous maintenance by the city:

STREET	FROM	TO
Goldwyn Street	Marney Avenue	Roadman Street
Rodman Street	Goldwyn Street	350' S of Burkland Dr
Burkland Drive	Rodman Street	195' E of Rodman Street
Marney Avenue	Stancill Place	Goldwyn Street
Stancill Place	Marney Avenue	Goldwyn Street
James Place	Leroy Street	Stancill Place
Grierton Court	Leroy Street	End at cul-de-sac
Winterfield Place	140' NE of Driftwood Dr.	110' NE of McManus Drive
McManus Drive	Winterfield Place	220' NW of Winterfield Place
Campbell Drive	Winterfield Place	190' SE to end at creek
Purser Drive	175' W of Glenville Ave	150' W of Dora Drive
Glenville Avenue	530' NE of Purser Drive	End 125' NE of Dora Drive
Dora Drive	Purser Drive	N & E to Glenville Ave.
Crestbrook Drive	Randolph Road	End at cul-de-sac
Blazer Drive	270' N of Oaklawn Ave	End of street at cul-de-sac

CONTRACTS AUTHORIZED FOR APPRAISAL OF 35 TRACTS OF LAND FOR RIGHT OF WAY FOR THE NORTHWEST EXPRESSWAY.

Motion was made by Councilman Bryant, seconded by Councilman Whittington, and unanimously carried, authorizing contracts with the following persons for appraisal of 35 tracts of land for right of way for the Northwest Expressway:

- Al Smith                      9 tracts on E. Trade St, S. Morrow and E. 4th Streets.
- John M. Gallagher        13 tracts on E. 5th, N. Morrow Streets and Haley Place.
- O. D. Baxter, Jr.        13 tracts on E. 5th and N. Morrow St and Haley Place.

September 16, 1963  
Minute Book 43 - Page 287

CONTRACT AWARDED T. A. SHERRILL CONSTRUCTION COMPANY FOR STREET IMPROVEMENTS IN MYERS PARK MANOR SUBDIVISION.

Councilman Whittington moved the award of contract to T. A. Sherrill Construction Company, the low bidder, for the street improvement program in Myers Park Manor Subdivision, in the amount of \$64,260.00 on a unit price basis. The motion was seconded by Councilman Bryant, and unanimously carried.

The following bids were received:

T. A. Sherrill Construction Co.	\$ 64,260.00
Crowder Construction Company	66,115.00
Rea Construction Company	68,395.00

CONTRACT AWARDED TUCKER-KIRBY COMPANY FOR VITRIFIED CLAY PIPE.

Upon motion of Councilman Dellinger, seconded by Councilman Albea, and unanimously carried, contract was awarded the low bidder, Tucker-Kirby Company, in the amount of \$2,191.70 for vitrified clay pipe, as specified.

The following bids were received:

Tucker-Kirby Company	\$ 2,191.70
Columbia Pipe Company	2,191.70
Alternate Bid	2,615.90
Pomona Pipe Products	2,587.62
Oconee Clay Products Div.	2,615.90

CONTRACT AWARDED ALOE MEDICAL DIV. OF BRUNSWICK FOR ORTHOPEDIC TABLE FOR GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL.

Councilman Smith moved the award of contract to Aloe Medical Div. of Brunswick, the low bidder, for orthopedic table, as specified, in the amount of \$2,295.00, for Good Samaritan Hospital. The motion was seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried.

The following bids were received:

Aloe Medical Div. of Brunswick	\$ 2,295.00
American Hospital Supply Corp.	2,317.95
Gilbert Hyde Chick Company	2,362.85

CONTRACT AWARDED SOUTHERN OXYGEN COMPANY FOR OXYGEN TENT EQUIPMENT FOR GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL.

Upon motion of Councilman Whittington, seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried, contract was awarded the low bidder meeting specifications, Southern Oxygen Company, for Oxygen Tent equipment, as specified, in the amount of \$2,088.44.

The following bids were received:

Southern Oxygen Company	\$ 2,088.44
National Welders Supply Co., Inc.	2,264.97
Winchester Surgical Supply Co.	2,310.89
American Hospital Supply Corp.	2,346.96
Ohio Chemical & Surgical Equipment	2,373.12

September 16, 1963  
Minute Book 43 - Page 288

CONTRACT AWARDED SOUTHERN OXYGEN COMPANY FOR OXYGEN THERAPY EQUIPMENT FOR GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL.

Motion was made by Councilman Jordan to award contract to Southern Oxygen Company, low bidder, for Oxygen therapy equipment, as specified for Good Samaritan Hospital, in the amount of \$2,019.59. The motion was seconded by Councilman Albea, and unanimously carried.

The following bids were received:

Southern Oxygen Company	\$ 2,019.59
National Cylinder Gas	2,203.25
Ohio Chemical & Surgical Equipment	2,606.58
National Welders Supply Co., Inc.	2,670.56

CONTRACT AWARDED OHIO CHEMICAL & SURGICAL EQUIPMENT FOR ANESTHESIA EQUIPMENT FOR GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL.

Upon motion of Councilman Whittington, seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried, contract was awarded the low bidder, Ohio Chemical and Surgical Equipment for anesthesia equipment, as specified, for Good Samaritan Hospital in the amount of \$4,056.91.

The following bids were received:

Ohio Chemical & Surgical Equipment	\$ 4,056.91
National Welders Supply Co., Inc.	5,721.53

CONTRACT AWARDED SOUTHERN OXYGEN COMPANY FOR RESPIRATORS FOR GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL.

Upon motion of Councilman Albea, seconded by Councilman Dellinger, and unanimously carried, contract was awarded Southern Oxygen Company, low bidder, for respirators for Good Samaritan Hospital as specified in the amount of \$742.34.

The following bids were received:

Southern Oxygen Company	\$ 742.34
Winchester Surgical Supply Company	1,019.48

CONTRACT AWARDED AMERICAN HOSPITAL SUPPLY CORP. FOR HOUSEKEEPING EQUIPMENT FOR GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL.

Councilman Jordan moved the award of contract to the low bidder, American Hospital Supply Corp. for housekeeping equipment, as specified, in the amount of \$3,875.98. The motion was seconded by Councilman Smith, and unanimously carried.

The following bids were received:

American Hospital Supply Corp.	\$ 3,875.98
G & L Janitor Supply & Service Co.	4,211.39

CONTRACT AWARDED WINCHESTER SURGICAL SUPPLY COMPANY FOR INCUBATORS FOR GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL.

Motion was made by Councilman Dellinger, seconded by Councilman Jordan, and

September 16, 1963  
Minute Book 43 - Page 289

unanimously carried, awarding contract to Winchester Surgical Supply Company, the low bidder, for incubators as specified for Good Samaritan Hospital, in the amount of \$4,799.56.

The following bids were received:

Winchester Surgical Supply Co.	\$ 4,799.56
American Hospital Supply Corp.	5,344.92
Ohio Chemical & Surgical Equipment	5,506.38
Roane-Barker, Inc.	5,832.75

CONTRACT AWARDED ROANE-BARKER, INC. FOR CROUPETTE, PUMP & HUMIDIFIER FOR GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL.

Upon motion of Councilman Jordan, seconded by Councilman Whittington, and unanimously carried, contract was awarded the only bidder, Roane-Barker, Inc. for croupette, pump & Humidifier, as specified, for Good Samaritan Hospital, in the amount of \$2,352.80.

CONTRACT AWARDED WINCHESTER SURGICAL SUPPLY COMPANY FOR INSTRUMENTS FOR GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL.

Councilman Whittington moved the award of contract to the low bidder meeting specifications, Winchester Surgical Supply Company for instruments, as specified, in the amount of \$7,663.63. The motion was seconded by Councilman Albea, and unanimously carried.

The following bids were received:

Winchester Surgical Supply Co.	\$ 7,663.63
American Hospital Supply Corp.	8,134.25
Aloe Medical Div. of Brunswick	10,495.07
Edward Weck & Company	11,511.38

CONTRACT AWARDED WINCHESTER SURGICAL SUPPLY COMPANY FOR INSTRUMENTS FOR GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL.

Upon motion of Councilman Jordan, seconded by Councilman Dellinger, and unanimously carried, contract was awarded the only bidder, Winchester Surgical Supply Company, for instruments as specified in the amount of \$126.25.

CONTRACT AWARDED AMERICAN HOSPITAL SUPPLY CORP. FOR LOBBY & WAITING ROOM FURNITURE FOR GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL.

Motion was made by Councilman Albea, seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried, awarding contract to the low bidder, American Hospital Supply Corp. for lobby and waiting room furniture as specified for Good Samaritan Hospital, in the amount of \$2,888.91.

The following bids were received:

American Hospital Supply Corp.	\$ 2,888.91
Aloe Medical Div. of Brunswick	2,910.57
Fowler's	3,672.00
Kale-Lawing Company	3,808.64
Alternate Bid	5,452.57

September 16, 1963  
Minute Book 43 - Page 290

ORDINANCE NO. 196-X TO AMEND SCHEDULES 1 AND 1-A OF SECTION 20-60 OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE RELATIVE TO PARKING RESTRICTIONS, ADOPTED ON TRIAL BASIS TO JANUARY 1, 1964.

Councilman Dellinger stated that an ordinance has been drafted regarding downtown parking restrictions, which the Council has given a great deal of consideration, and it will not be acceptable to everyone but Council believes it is the best workable solution that could be arrived at for the present. He moved that the ordinance be read and adopted on a trial basis until January 1, 1964. He called attention that Tryon and Trade Streets are not included, therefore, there will be no mention of these streets in the ordinance except there will be some turning slots at intersections but no changes in the parking regulations on these streets. The motion was seconded by Councilman Whittington.

Following the reading of the ordinance by the Clerk, Councilman Albea stated he cannot vote for the ordinance for two reasons: one, he does not like the first of the year clause. That whatever is done between now and the first of the year will be permanent and the damage has been done, and secondly, as big as this thing is he doesn't like picking at it like this.

Mr. Albert Pearson expressed the desire to be heard, and Councilman Dellinger moved that the public be allowed to ask questions on the subject, which motion was seconded by Councilman Albea, and unanimously carried.

Mr. Pearson stated that something of this size which has been changed around as these parking regulations have been should remain open for at least one week so that the people involved may study it and be given a chance to think about it, and he respectfully requested that Council do so.

Mr. Bill Coffey of Coffey-Thompson Company, stated there was no mention of loading zones in the ordinance, and he asked if they stay as they are now? Mr. Hoose stated the loading zones where the double peak hours are will remain as they are but the loading zones will not, where the corner restrictions were put in for the turning slots. Mr. Coffey asked if he means the loading zones from their end of the block will be completely removed? Mr. Hoose stated that is correct.

Mr. J. E. Browning, Manager of Kimbrell's Furniture Company, stated if he understands the ordinance, on the west side of College Street there will be no parking nor any loading or unloading on the street? Mr. Hoose stated that is correct. Mr. Browning stated it seems to him that in order for a business to stay in business downtown some provision must be made for on-street parking for loading and unloading, as much of their freight comes in by truck which cannot, under any conditions get into the small off-street loading space they have at their present store, neither can the local freight trucks get into this small space, and he would like to know what Council expects them to do in order to stay in business?

Mr. Hoose stated there are no loading zones at Kimbrell's or Belks at the present time, and any truck or two-axle is not allowed in the downtown area during shopping hours, and there are no provisions now for loading or unloading in the block, so there is no loading zone to take away.

Mr. Kleckley of Farris Furniture Company asked how many traffic lanes there will be on College Street, and Mr. Hoose replied at peak hours there will be three and at other times there will be two.

Mr. Paul Thompson of Coffey-Thompson Company stated they feel that they as merchants in the City of Charlotte must have the answers in order to continue

September 16, 1963  
Minute Book 43 - Page 291

their operation. This proposal is hitting them at the peak season, as between now and Christmas is their busy time and they are most concerned with their customers getting downtown and are more concerned with the trucks getting to their stores bringing their merchandise and hauling some away, and they respectfully ask that Council withhold their decision for one week so that they may consider a few of the regulations they had not heard of until today.

Mr. Johnson of Johnson Furniture Company stated it will be years before downtown Charlotte reaches an emergency except for the congestion in the first block of North College Street, which should be cleared up. That he thinks Council should get that cleared up and see how traffic works downtown before taking parking off of College Street as it is going to ruin those merchants down there; that there have been four vacant stores in the first block of South College Street for two years and there will be more, and you cannot hurt a town more than to have empty stores. Mr. Johnson stated further he thinks the City needs a more comprehensive parking plan; that College Street is the only one-way street north that we have in Charlotte and folks as far down as South Church and South Poplar Streets when they want to go north come over to College Street, so why can't Poplar Street be made one-way north and opened down to Morehead Street to divert traffic in that direction; that there is no hurry about this, it would be better to clear up the congestion in North College Street and then see how downtown traffic proceeds and you will still have a year to work out a broader plan.

Councilman Bryant stated that contrary to what most people, and all now in the Council Chamber think, he is concerned with the Downtown Area, both the small and the large merchant; however, after using all information at his disposal he has to exercise his best judgment in the matter and it seems to him with the dramatic increase we have had in the past year, and certainly over the past three or four years, that something has to be done in traffic downtown, and if it is not done this year, then it will have to be done next year. As a result, he offered a substitute motion that the original proposal as presented by Mr. Hoose be adopted. The motion did not receive a second.

The vote was taken on the original motion by Councilman Dellinger, and passed by the following recorded vote:

YEAS: Councilmen Dellinger, Whittington, Bryant, Jordan and Smith.  
NAYS: Councilman Albea.

Mayor Brookshire called attention that the ordinance was adopted on a trial basis until January 1, 1964, and he is sure the audience will not be reluctant to let Council know how the ordinance affects their businesses.

The ordinance is recorded in full in Ordinance Book 13, beginning at Page 445.

COUNCILMAN JORDAN APPOINTED OFFICIAL AMBASSADOR OF GOODWILL OF THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE TO THE COUNTRIES HE WILL VISIT WHILE ON HIS TOUR OF EUROPE ON THE "PEOPLE TO PEOPLE PROGRAM".

Mayor Brookshire remarked that Councilman Jordan is leaving on Friday for an extended tour of Europe and considering Charlotte's interest in the People to People Program on which he will make the tour, because of our association with our Sister City, Arequipa, Peru, on a similar program, he thinks it would be most appropriate if Council would designate Mr. Jordan as our official Ambassador of Goodwill to the countries in Europe which he

September 16, 1963  
Minute Book 43 - Page 292

will visit. Councilman Bryant moved that Mr. Jordan be so appointed and that he be given Keys to the City of Charlotte to pass out at appropriate times. The motion was seconded by Councilman Albea, and unanimously carried. Mayor Brookshire stated he has already given Mr. Jordan a number of Keys to the City to take with him, and he wished him Bon Voyage.

FUNDS APPROPRIATED FROM CONTINGENCY FUND FOR BETTER STREET LIGHTING IN A GIVEN AREA OF THE FOURTH WARD.

Upon motion of Councilman Albea, seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried, \$2,217.00 was appropriated from the Contingency Fund to provide better street lighting in a given area of the Fourth Ward.

ORDINANCE AUTHORIZED PREPARED INCORPORATING STREET NAME CHANGES MADE NECESSARY IN THE KENILWORTH AVENUE EXTENSION PROGRAM, FOR PRESENTATION TO COUNCIL NEXT MONDAY.

The City Manager advised that in the construction of the Kenilworth Avenue Extension Program, Fernwood Drive and Scott Avenue have been connected by new segments of street and in order to maintain a continuity of name it is necessary to make a change in street names and it is recommended that the name of Fernwood Drive, from Ordermore Avenue to Ideal Way, be changed to Scott Avenue and the names of the new segments of street from East Boulevard to Ideal Way and from Ordermore Avenue to Kenilworth Avenue, be changed to Scott Avenue also. At the same time the new segments of street from Park Road to Ordermore Avenue and from Greenwood Cliff to Baxter Street be named Kenilworth Avenue.

Councilman Whittington moved that an Ordinance be prepared incorporating the street name changes as recommended by the City Manager, for presentation to Council on next Monday. The motion was seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried.

ACQUISITION OF RIGHT OF WAY FOR NORTHWEST EXPRESSWAY AND FOR SANITARY SEWER TO SERVE SUMMY HEIGHTS.

Upon motion of Councilman Jordan, seconded by Councilman Albea, and unanimously carried, acquisition of the following rights of way was authorized:

- (a) 9,900 square feet (house and lot) on North Caldwell Street, from H.L. Thompson and wife, Lois A. Thompson, at a price of \$6,000.00 for right of way for the Northwest Expressway.
- (b) Strip of land 10' wide and 80' long in Summey Avenue, from W. J. Rice and wife Nettie H. Rice, at a price of \$80.00, for right of way for sanitary sewer line to serve Summy Heights.

TRAFFIC ENGINEER REQUESTED TO CHECK INTO LACK OF STREET LIGHTING ON PICARDY PLACE.

Councilman Smith requested Mr. Hoose, Traffic Engineer, to check into the lack of street lighting on Picardy Place, which is about a four block street running parallel with Roswell Avenue, and has a street light at each end but no more, and Mr. Hoose advised there may be a right of way problem. Councilman Smith asked the City Manager to follow up on the request.

PUBLIC HEARING ON APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR TAXICAB SERVICE FIXED ON SEPTEMBER 30, 1963.

At the request of the City Attorney, Councilman Dellinger moved that September 30th be fixed as the date for the public hearing on applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity for Taxicab service. The motion was seconded by Councilman Whittington, and unanimously carried.

CLAIM OF JOHN PATRICK FOR PERSONAL INJURIES DENIED.

Councilman Bryant moved that the claim of Mr. John Patrick, in the amount of \$240.00 for personal injuries allegedly sustained from stepping into an open water valve box on February 28, 1963, at South Boulevard and Kingston Avenue, be denied as recommended by the City Attorney as there is no evidence of negligence in any way on the part of the City. The motion was seconded by Councilman Albea, and unanimously carried.

SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM OF J. H. MCCLAIN FOR DAMAGES TO PROPERTY.

Upon motion of Councilman Bryant, seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried, the claim of Mr. James H. McClain, in the amount of \$118.40 for damages resulting from sewage backing up into his residence on January 20 1963 when the sewer line became stopped up due to roots in the main line, was authorized settled as recommended by the City Attorney.

SETTLEMENT OF CONDEMNATION AGAINST NELLIE G. JOHNSTON FOR SUGAW CREEK OUTFALL RIGHT OF WAY.

Councilman Smith moved that the offer of Mrs Nellie G. Johnston to settle the condemnation suit against her for right of way for the Sugaw Creek Outfall in the amount of \$150.00, be accepted as recommended by the City Attorney. The motion was seconded by Councilman Albea, and unanimously carried.

NEW CHAIRS FOR USE OF PUBLIC IN COUNCIL CHAMBER DISCUSSED AND CITY MANAGER REQUESTED TO ALSO PRESENT SIMILAR CHAIR WITH ARMS FOR COUNCIL TO VIEW BEFORE DECISION IS MADE.

The City Manager called attention to the five chairs of the type they are interested in placing in the Council Chamber for the use of the public and he asked for Council's reaction to the chair. Mr. Veeder pointed out that space is at a premium in the room and these five chairs occupy the same space of four of the chairs presently in use; that the new chair which is upholstered and may be folded is the type generally used for the service for which they are required by us, and this is the cheapest, nice looking, comfortable chair that could be found, that the price is about \$16.00 per chair.

The chairs were generally discussed by the Council, opinions being expressed that it was rather small and light weight in appearance and a chair with arms, as presently used, would be more comfortable.

Following the discussion, Councilman Jordan suggested that the City Manager also secure a chair of similar type but with arms for Council to view, and a decision could then be made between the two types.

ADJOURNMENT.

Upon motion of Councilman Whittington, seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried, the meeting was adjourned.

  
Lillian R. Hoffman, City Clerk